ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

POETIC POTENTIAL OF LEXICAL UNITS (ON THE EXAMPLE OF KOMIL AVAZ'S NOVEL "QO'NALG'A")

Avezova Gulnoza Kamilovna - Urgench State Pedagogical Institute

teacher gulnazavezova603@gmail.com

Abstract: This article explores the poetic potential of lexical units in the Uzbek language, using Komil Avaz's novel "Qo'nalg'a" as a case study. It analyzes the linguopoetic features of antonyms and synonyms used in the novel with illustrative examples from the text.

Keywords: Literary fiction, artistic speech, linguopoetics, literary language, antonym, synonym, poetic mastery.

Language is not only a great legacy of a nation's history, culture, and spirituality, but also an invaluable treasure with immense expressive possibilities and high aesthetic value. As N. Mahmudov noted, "If language were merely a means of communication, it would be a simple, poor thing. However, language is an immeasurably rich, magical, spiritual, and beautiful phenomenon" [1, p.44]. Through this phenomenon—language—literary masterpieces rich in verbal jewels have been created. These literary works differ from each other in terms of language. The progress of social life, changes within it, the writer's worldview and its evolution over time inevitably influence the language of literary works. Therefore, when analyzing the language of a literary text, it is essential to compare it with the author's other works, to identify its unique features, and to analyze the linguistic elements that enhance its poetic value.

Analyzing a writer's language requires considering the historical context of the period, the author's worldview, the influence of contemporaries and predecessors, and the creative process itself. The perfection of a literary language depends not only on the use of lexical means but also on the writer's skill in selecting words and syntactic constructions and conveying nuanced meanings effectively.

In Uzbek literature, each talented writer exhibits a unique mastery of word usage. To determine the specific poetic skill in an author's word use, one must first examine the writer's attitude toward language.

Komil Avaz, a representative of the Khorezm literary school, is a renowned author whose poetic and prose works have been published and whose dramas have been staged. His novel "Qo'nalg'a" [2] explores the human quest for meaning from ancient times to the present day. In this work, Komil Avaz achieves a high level of artistic depiction by extensively employing the expressive power of language. It is worth noting that the author, through his rich artistic creativity, has made a significant contribution to the development and enrichment of the Uzbek language. In particular, he skillfully uses the poetic potential of lexical units in "Qo'nalg'a".

In Uzbek linguistics, the linguistic nature of antonyms, their roles at various language levels, and the issues of norms and formation have been studied in detail. As a result of these scientific investigations, a dictionary of Uzbek antonyms was compiled [3].

Researchers have noted that "the presence of antonyms in language serves as an effective means of enhancing the expressiveness, vividness, and emotional impact of literary speech" [4, p.18]. In Eastern literature, these expressive opportunities have been widely used. Antonyms serve as unique

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

stylistic devices that contrast and clarify characteristics of objects and phenomena. Komil Avaz makes effective and appropriate use of these language units. In his works, antonyms fulfill specific linguopoetic functions. For example: “A decorated building is just a piece of stone, objects and furniture are insignificant. Do you know where this person went? To the court of God. The Creator reclaimed what He had created. He departed from falsehood and arrived at truth” [1, p.94].

In this excerpt, the opposition of “falsehood–truth” enhances the meaning and artistic purpose of the text. Although such antonyms already exist in the language, the writer uses them with such skill that their contrast acquires a new, distinctive expression, enriching the text’s content. Here, falsehood symbolizes the mortal world, while truth refers to the eternal, spiritual realm.

The writer also employs other pairs of antonyms to increase the aesthetic impact of the text. Contrasting concepts and states intensify meaning: “We go around telling lies and defending them. Our eyes are in the sky, our hearts are on the ground” [1, p.94].

Here, sky–earth are not just antonyms but metaphorical units symbolizing the contrast between spiritual aspiration and physical reality.

Free–prisoner: “Though they are starving, they share their last piece of bread equally. They are prisoners! And these? These are the free, well-fed people. Their laws of living are different”[1, p.100].

Suffering–comfort: “Maybe it's just in my imagination—perhaps for them it's not suffering but comfort” [1, p.103].

In his work, the writer even juxtaposes figurative pairs used as similes to reflect the narrative reality:

Sorrow and pain–merriment: “In one place, a gathering like a protest, in another, sorrow and pain, elsewhere—merriment”

One of the language units that showcase the richness of the national language is the synonym. Therefore, studying and analyzing synonyms is a key task in linguistics, particularly in linguopoetics.

Language richness is measured not only by the number of lexical units but also by the breadth and depth of the meanings they convey. Synonyms are among the rarest treasures of a national language. There is no doubt that Uzbek possesses a vast wealth of such expressions. “Synonyms demonstrate the beauty of expressing a concept with subtle nuances in meaning, especially in the hands of poets and writers”[5, p.42].

Indeed, among lexical units, synonyms rank highest for their ability to reflect both the social and aesthetic dimensions of language. As S. Karimov notes: “Synonymy can be seen as a field that unleashes the boundless possibilities of creative speech. The writer’s labor with language primarily lies in working with synonymic units. Finding the right word to express an idea precisely is the essence of this difficulty. Selecting the appropriate synonym from a row of alternatives is the most effective means of conveying expressiveness and subjective evaluation, making it a vital linguistic tool in literature” [6, p.18].

Each synonym has distinct linguopoetic value in a literary text, especially when used as artistic descriptors. Studying their functions in literary language is important because it reveals how skillfully Komil Avaz employs the richness of Uzbek synonymy.

The following passage illustrates the author’s deep sensitivity to synonyms and their nuances:

“Besides, attending Brother Madyor’s trial in Moscow was harder for me than any torture. I was in great distress, felt ill, and lost strength. The doctors said my heart condition made flying impossible” [2, p.91].

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Here, the use of *torture–distress–illness–weakness* demonstrates the writer's mastery of using synonymic expressions to depict emotional and physical suffering.

The writer carefully selects from among synonymic expressions in accordance with the emotional state and purpose of the narrative, vividly conveying the inner world of characters and even the subtlest details of description: "The girl's light overcoat fits her slender figure… but perhaps due to the lack of shape in her eyebrows or a certain unease in her eyes, rather than fear, a sense of indifference seems to tie her to the ground"[2, p.103].

Or: "Seeing the beauty of womanhood, along with the signs of patience and endurance on some of their faces… one takes a lesson from it" [2, p.106].

It is known that "a speaker or writer must know the features of synonyms and choose the appropriate one to express their idea effectively" [6, p.42]. Komil Avaz skillfully uses synonyms to reveal the psychology of his characters, showcasing his linguistic craftsmanship.

Clearly, Komil Avaz is a master of the word. Every word he chooses carries layers of meaning. His deep appreciation of the Uzbek language and responsible use of each word is evident throughout his work. Therefore, studying the language of Komil Avaz's works and analyzing them from a linguopoetic perspective remains one of the important issues in Uzbek linguistics.

References:

1. Mahmudov, N. Ma'rifat manzillari. Tashkent: Ma'naviyat, 1999.

2. Avaz, K. Qo'nalg'a. Tashkent: Sharq Publishing House, 2003.

3. Rahmatullaev, Sh., Mamatov, N., Shukurov, R. Explanatory Dictionary of Uzbek Antonyms. Tashkent: O'qituvchi, 1980.

4. Yo'ldoshev, M. The Secrets of the Word "Cho'lpon". Tashkent: Ma'naviyat, 2002.

5. Qilichev, E., Qilichev, B. Fundamentals of Speech Culture and Stylistics. Bukhara: Bukhara State University, 2002.

6. Yo'ldoshev, M. Linguopoetics of Literary Texts. Tashkent: Fan, 2008.