ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-2

# EARLY DETECTION OF DEVELOPMENTAL DELAYS IN CHILDREN UNDER FIVE USING SCREENING TOOLS IN PRIMARY CARE

***Kodirov Khusanboy Solievich***
*Senior Lecturer, Department of pediatrics,*
*Faculty of Medicine, ASMI*

**Abstract.** Early childhood development is a sensitive period in which cognitive, language, motor, and socio-emotional skills rapidly emerge and shape later school readiness, health, and participation. In primary care, clinicians see most children repeatedly through well-child visits, making this setting ideal for early identification of developmental delays and timely referral to early intervention services. This article reviews a practical primary-care methodology for early detection in children under five, emphasizing the complementary roles of developmental surveillance and standardized screening. It summarizes when to screen, how to select tools, and how to interpret results, with a focus on implementation steps that are feasible in busy clinics, including parent-completed questionnaires, brief autism-specific instruments, and structured follow-up pathways. Evidence-informed approaches to communication with families, documentation, referral, and quality improvement are outlined. The article argues that the benefit of screening depends not only on tool accuracy, but also on workflow integration, clinician training, family engagement, and reliable access to evaluation and early intervention.

**Keywords:** developmental screening; developmental surveillance; primary care pediatrics.

## INTRODUCTION

Developmental delays and disorders are common reasons for long-term educational and health support, yet many children are identified later than necessary, after missed opportunities in the first years of life. The first five years are characterized by fast neural plasticity, meaning that earlier support can improve functional outcomes and reduce family stress. Primary care is uniquely positioned to detect concerns early because it combines repeated contacts with families, trusted relationships, and routine preventive visits. However, relying only on informal impressions during brief consultations is risky: subtle language, social, or problem-solving delays may not be obvious, and parental concerns can be overlooked when families feel uncertain about what is typical. For these reasons, professional guidance increasingly emphasizes the systematic use of standardized, validated screening tools alongside ongoing developmental surveillance. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends standardized developmental screening at specific well-child ages and autism-specific screening at key points in the second year of life [1]. Public health programs similarly encourage monitoring milestones and acting early when concerns arise [2]. At the same time, evidence reviews remind clinicians to interpret screening carefully, recognizing that some domains such as speech and language have complex evidence bases and may require targeted pathways [3].

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Conceptual framework in primary care. Effective early detection starts with understanding the difference between developmental surveillance and developmental screening. Surveillance is a continuous, longitudinal process that includes eliciting caregiver concerns, taking a developmental history, observing the child, identifying risk and protective factors, and documenting findings across

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025



visits. Screening is the periodic use of a standardized tool to identify children who may need further evaluation. In practice, surveillance provides context and continuity, while screening adds measurement discipline and reduces the likelihood that quiet or socially masked delays will be missed. A useful way to view this framework is as a funnel. Surveillance casts a wide net at every contact, capturing concerns and risk (prematurity, low birth weight, chronic illness, adverse psychosocial factors, limited language exposure, parental mental health challenges). Screening then provides structured thresholds that trigger the next step: either reassurance and routine monitoring, repeat screening at a shorter interval, or referral for diagnostic evaluation and early intervention. When clinics treat screening as an isolated event, children may receive a score but not a pathway; therefore, the methodology must include decision rules and follow-up commitments, not only questionnaires.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

When to screen and what to screen for. Timing matters because developmental skills emerge in predictable sequences. The AAP guidance highlights universal standardized developmental screening at 9, 18, and 30 months, with autism-specific screening at 18 and 24 months [1]. These time points align with clinical reality: by 9 months, early social communication and motor milestones show meaningful variability; by 18 months, expressive language, play, and early self-help skills can reveal delays; by 24 months, social reciprocity and joint attention concerns are clearer; and by 30 months, higher-level language and problem-solving can be observed more reliably. Clinics may add locally relevant points, such as screening at 12 months in settings with high prematurity rates or at 48 months when preparing for school entry. Importantly, screening should also be triggered at any age when parents or clinicians have concern, or when a child has a known risk factor. Public-facing milestone resources reinforce this message by encouraging families to act early rather than waiting for the next scheduled visit [2].

Selecting appropriate screening tools for primary care. Tool choice should match three criteria: developmental domains covered, feasibility in a busy clinic, and evidence for validity in similar populations. For general development, parent-completed questionnaires are widely used because parents observe the child in multiple contexts and can report behaviors that may not appear in a short visit. The Ages and Stages Questionnaires (ASQ-3) is a common option covering communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem solving, and personal-social domains, designed for ages 1–66 months [4]. A major implementation advantage is that it can be completed in a waiting room or at home, scored quickly, and repeated over time to monitor progress. Another family-centered approach is the Parents' Evaluation of Developmental Status (PEDS), which elicits structured parental concerns and links them to decision pathways; recent reviews describe its use as a screening and surveillance tool for children up to eight years and emphasize its practical value for guiding follow-up actions [5]. Tool selection should also consider cultural and language adaptation. A tool may be psychometrically strong but perform poorly if translated informally, if items do not fit local play practices, or if caregivers are unsure how to interpret questions. Therefore, clinics should prefer officially adapted versions where available, train staff in consistent explanation of items, and document the language used during completion.

Autism-specific screening typically complements general screening rather than replacing it. Autism features may be present even when gross motor and basic self-help skills appear typical. Brief, validated autism screeners at 18 and 24 months are recommended by pediatric guidance [1]. In

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025



primary care methodology, autism screening should be integrated into the same workflow as general screening: distribute the tool, score it, apply follow-up questions when indicated, and document a clear pathway for referral or monitoring. Screening tools are not diagnostic tests, so clinicians should communicate results as an estimate of risk that helps decide next steps. The goal is to avoid two errors: false reassurance that delays are not present because the child looks active and playful during a short visit, and over-alarm that labels a child based on one score without considering context, caregiver report quality, and clinical observation.

## CONCLUSION

Early detection of developmental delays in children under five is most effective when primary care combines continuous developmental surveillance with periodic standardized screening integrated into routine well-child workflows. Guidance recommending universal developmental screening at key ages and autism-specific screening in the second year provides a practical backbone for program design [1]. Within this backbone, clinics must choose feasible validated tools, ensure culturally and linguistically appropriate administration, interpret results with structured follow-up plans, and maintain reliable referral pathways that close the loop. Evidence uncertainty in specific domains, such as universal screening for speech and language in asymptomatic children, should be understood as a call for thoughtful, targeted pathways rather than inactivity [3]. Ultimately, screening is not a single score; it is a system of early recognition, family-centered communication, timely referral, and quality improvement. When this system works, children gain access to support during a period when intervention can make the greatest difference in function, participation, and long-term wellbeing.

## REFERENCES

1. American Academy of Pediatrics. Developmental Surveillance and Screening Patient Care. – 2025. – URL: https://www.aap.org/en/patient-care/developmental-surveillance-and-screening-patient-care/ (accessed: 04.02.2026).
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC's Developmental Milestones: Learn the Signs. Act Early. – URL: https://www.cdc.gov/act-early/milestones/index.html (accessed: 04.02.2026).
3. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for Speech and Language Delay and Disorders in Children Age 5 Years or Younger. Recommendation Statement. – 2024. – URL: https://www.uspre ventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/speech-and-language-delay-and-disorders-in-children-age-5-and-younger-screening (accessed: 04.02.2026).
4. Singh A., Yeh C. J., Boone Blanchard S. Ages and Stages Questionnaire: A global screening scale. Validity and reliability review. – 2016. – URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4943118/ (accessed: 04.02.2026).
5. Sheel H., et al. The Use of the Parents' Evaluation of Developmental Status in Clinical Practice. – 2024. – URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11727016/ (accessed: 04.02.2026).