ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-2

SOCIO-HISTORICAL PRECONDITIONS FOR THE FORMATION OF YOUTH CULTURE IN 20TH CENTURY AMERICAN SOCIETY

Fayzieva Nigora Khamidjanovna
first year Master's student,
Asia International University
E-mail: Nigorafayzieva2010@gmail.com

Abstract. This article examines the socio-historical conditions that shaped the emergence of youth culture and the social construction of adolescence in the United States from the late nineteenth to the late twentieth century. Drawing on historical, sociological, and postmodern perspectives, the study demonstrates that adolescence is neither a universal nor biologically fixed life stage, but rather a product of industrialization, urbanization, educational expansion, economic restructuring, and state regulation. The article traces how schooling, labor laws, mass media, consumer culture, and political movements contributed to the institutionalization of adolescence and the rise of a distinct youth identity characterized by autonomy, rebellion, and peer-based socialization. Particular attention is paid to class, race, gender, and power relations, highlighting how adolescent experiences have been uneven and deeply shaped by social inequality. By integrating poststructuralist critiques, the article challenges developmental models of adolescence and argues for an interdisciplinary understanding of youth as a historically contingent and politically regulated social category.
Keywords: youth culture; adolescence; social construction; industrialization; education; intersectionality; power and surveillance.

The emergence of youth culture in 20th century America cannot be understood without examining the socio-historical context that shaped it. This period was marked by significant social, economic, and political transformations, particularly from the 1920s to the 1970s. These changes fostered a distinct youth identity characterized by rebellion, creativity, and a quest for autonomy. The rapid industrialization and urbanization of the United States in the late 19th and early 20th centuries significantly influenced the formation of youth culture. As people moved from rural areas to cities in search of better economic opportunities, traditional family structures began to change. Historian Eric Hobsbawm notes that "the shift from agrarian to urban life created new social dynamics that influenced the behavior and identity of young people"[1]. With this migration, youth found themselves in environments that encouraged new forms of social interaction, often outside the supervision of adults. This transition marked the beginning of a new social landscape where young people could explore their identities more freely. Moreover, the expansion of public education during this period contributed to the development of a distinct youth culture. With more young people attending high school, the concept of adolescence became more pronounced. Sociologist Margaret Mead observed that "the prolonged period of adolescence in modern societies has allowed for the development of a distinct youth culture"[2]. Schools evolved into not just places of learning but also venues for socialization, where young people began to form their identities and cultural norms, thereby

[1] Hobsbawm E. Age of extremes: The short twentieth century, 1914–1991. — London: Michael Joseph, 1994. — 672 p.
[2] Mead M. Coming of age in Samoa. — New York: William Morrow, 1928. — 344 p.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

emphasizing their growing autonomy. This evolving autonomy was further facilitated by the post-World War II economic boom, which led to increased disposable income for families. As a result, greater consumerism among the youth emerged. The rise of the teenager as a distinct market segment in the 1950s influenced fashion, music, and leisure activities. Cultural critic David Reisman highlights that "the emergence of the teenager as a consumer class marked a significant shift in youth culture, as young people began to define themselves through their consumption choices"[3]. This consumer culture allowed youth not only to assert their identities but also to participate actively in shaping cultural trends. The influence of mass media and technological advancements during the 20th century further transformed youth culture. The advent of television, radio, and later, the internet, provided young people with access to diverse cultural influences. Music, particularly rock and roll in the 1950s and 1960s, became a powerful vehicle for self-expression and rebellion. Musicologist Simon Frith argues that "rock music has always been closely associated with youth culture, serving as both a reflection and a catalyst for the desires and frustrations of young people"[4]. This musical landscape became a platform for youth to voice their aspirations and dissent, further enriching their cultural identity. In addition to these socio-economic factors, political and social movements of the 1960s and 1970s played a crucial role in shaping youth culture. Young people became increasingly politically aware and engaged, challenging the status quo. Historian Todd Gitlin emphasizes that "the youth of the 1960s were not merely passive consumers but active participants in broader social change"[5]. This period saw young people taking to the streets to demand civil rights, peace, and social justice, solidifying their identity as a distinct social group. Their activism not only reflected their desires for change but also showcased their capability to influence societal norms and values. In summary, the formation of youth culture in 20th century America resulted from a complex interplay of socio-historical factors. Industrialization, urbanization, education, economic changes, media influence, and political activism all contributed to the emergence of a unique youth identity. Understanding these preconditions is essential for comprehending the dynamics of youth culture and its ongoing evolution in contemporary society.

Historical evidence demonstrates that adolescence has not been a universal or stable life stage but has varied significantly across time and cultures. In early colonial New England, for instance, distinctions between children and youth were minimal. Historical records of Puritan communities indicate that children as young as ten and youth in their late teens were perceived as possessing similar levels of maturity. They studied together, engaged in shared play, and performed household and economic labor side by side[6]. Strong interdependencies characterized family life, and even when children worked outside the home, their earnings typically contributed to the household economy.

For middle-class youth, apprenticeship under master craftsmen was common. These masters often assumed quasi-parental roles, providing training and discipline. However, with the onset of early industrialization, apprenticeship systems deteriorated. As workshops transformed into factories, young workers were increasingly exploited, assigned repetitive tasks without comprehensive training.

[3] Riesman D. The lonely crowd: A study of the changing American character. — New Haven: Yale University Press, 1950. — 403 p.
[4] Frith S. Sound effects: Youth, leisure, and the politics of rock 'n' roll. — New York: Pantheon Books, 1981. — 294 p.
[5] Gitlin T. The sixties: Years of hope, days of rage. — New York: Bantam Books, 1987. — 538 p.
[6] Mintz, S. Huck's Raft: A History of American Childhood. — Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2004. — 445 p.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

Enslaved children faced particularly harsh conditions; while younger children performed lighter labor, responsibilities and exploitation intensified dramatically after adolescence, with little concern for their physical or psychological well-being.

The Industrial Revolution fundamentally altered the social organization of youth in the United States. Large numbers of young men and women entered factory labor, working long hours often exceeding thirteen hours per day away from familial supervision[7]. These changes coincided with mass immigration, particularly following the Irish Potato Famine of 1846. By the mid-nineteenth century, immigrant populations constituted significant proportions of northeastern urban centers.

Elite and middle-class families increasingly viewed immigrant youth as a social threat, prompting spatial segregation and moral panic. In response, affluent families supported the expansion of high schools in the late nineteenth century as a means of isolating their children from immigrant, working-class, and "deviant" youth[8]. Nevertheless, formal schooling did not become the dominant youth experience until the mid-twentieth century.

Until the Great Depression, schooling remained optional for most youth. Universal secondary education emerged gradually, accelerating during World War II[9]. Initially, girls attended school at higher rates than boys, as boys' labor was considered economically indispensable. Over time, high schools evolved from parallel institutions into preparatory pipelines for higher education, particularly as colleges gained prestige and utility[10].

By the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, schools increasingly functioned as sites for moral regulation, nationalism, and ideological training. During periods of labor unrest and the spread of communist movements, schooling was explicitly framed as a mechanism for producing disciplined, patriotic citizens. While child advocates pushed for expanded access to education, industrial leaders resisted due to their reliance on cheap youth labor. This resistance waned as mechanization reduced labor demand and policymakers began to view schooling as a means of social control and crime prevention[11].

It was during the Great Depression that adolescence became formally codified as a distinct life stage through government regulation. Youth labor laws restricted employment for individuals under eighteen, prioritizing adult male employment under New Deal policies. Consequently, unprecedented numbers of young people entered high schools, spending prolonged periods with same-age peers.

The term teenager emerged around World War II, reflecting a newly recognized social category[12]. Wartime labor shortages temporarily restored youth employment opportunities, yet educational deferments encouraged continued school attendance. The postwar period institutionalized age segregation, disposable income, and peer-based socialization key conditions for the emergence of modern youth culture[13].

---

[7] Humphries, J. Childhood and Child Labour in the British Industrial Revolution. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010. — 439 p.

[8] Katz, M. B., Davey, I. E. Youth and Early Industrialization in a Canadian City // American Journal of Sociology. — 1978. — Vol. 84. — P. S81–S119.

[9] Savage, J. Teenage: The Creation of Youth Culture. — London: Random House, 2008. — 551 p.

[10] Hine, T. The Rise and Fall of the American Teenager. — New York: HarperCollins, 2000. — 336 p.

[11] Somerville L. H. Searching for signatures of brain maturity: what are we searching for? // Neuron. — 2016. — Vol. 92, № 6. — P. 1164–1167.

[12] Medovoi, L. Rebels: Youth and the Cold War Origins of Identity. — Durham:Duke University Press, 2005. — 400 p.

[13] Hine, T. The Rise and Fall of the American Teenager. — New York: HarperCollins, 2000. — 336 p.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

Postwar prosperity, mass media, and Hollywood narratives standardized adolescence as a national experience. Youth culture became associated with fashion, music, and subcultural identities. However, this experience remained uneven. Immigrant youth, racial minorities, sexual minorities, and working-class adolescents continued to experience markedly different forms of adolescence, often shaped by exclusion, surveillance, and punitive regulation[14].

The expansion of the juvenile justice system further institutionalized state control over youth, replacing family-based discipline with legal intervention. Youth sexuality laws became increasingly restrictive, particularly targeting girls, under the guise of protection[15].

Postmodern and poststructuralist scholars have offered some of the most influential critiques of psycho-biological models of adolescence. These scholars argue that adolescence is not merely a developmental stage but a discursive construct rooted in colonial science and state power. Drawing on critiques of Social Darwinism, they contend that evolutionary frameworks were used to hierarchize cultures and life stages, positioning European adult masculinity as the apex of human development[16].

Postmodernists further argue that adolescence became a site for surveillance, normalization, and discipline. Youth bodies and behaviors were measured, classified, and regulated through racialized and gendered norms that ignored social context[17]. Drawing on Foucault's concept of the panoptic on, scholars suggest that adolescents internalize social expectations through constant monitoring and assessment.

Since the 1960s, sociological research has emphasized the plurality of adolescent experiences, leading scholars to speak of adolescences rather than a singular adolescence. Ethnographic studies highlight how race, social class, gender, disability, religion, and sexuality fundamentally shape adolescent life trajectories.

Social class remains a primary determinant of educational access, health outcomes, and future opportunities. Poor and minority youth experience higher rates of school exclusion, limited access to technology, and disproportionate surveillance by social institutions[18]. Gender studies reveal that girls often experience declining self-esteem during adolescence, while boys gain social confidence, reinforcing gendered power hierarchies[19].

These intersecting dimensions of identity collectively shape the timing, duration, and meaning of adolescence, a phenomenon conceptualized as intersectionality.

Political theorists and youth advocates argue that adolescents constitute an oppressed social group subject to moral panic, punitive legislation, and systemic neglect[20]. Public discourse often exaggerates

[14] Rotundo, E. A. American Manhood: transformations in masculinity from the Revolution to the Modern Era. — New York: Basic Books, 1993. — 432 p.

[15] Champion, D. J. The Juvenile Justice System: Delinquency, Processing, and the Law. — Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2001. — 465 p.

[16] Paul, D. B. Darwin, Social Darwinism and Eugenics // The Cambridge Companion to Darwin. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003. — P. 214–239.

[17] Saltman, K. J. The social Construction of Adolescence // The critical middle School Reader. — 2005. — P. 15–20.

[18] Lareau, A. Invisible Inequality: Social Class and Childrearing in Black Families and White Families // American Sociological Review. — 2002. — P. 747–776.

[19] Arnett, J. J. Adolescence and Emerging Adulthood. — Boston, MA: Pearson, 2014. — 504 p. — ISBN 0205892493.

[20] Males, M. A. The Scapegoat Generation: America's War on Adolescents. — Monroe, ME: Common Courage Press, 1996. — 329 p.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

youth deviance while ignoring structural causes such as poverty and adult violence[21]. Policies such as zero-tolerance school discipline and juvenile curfews disproportionately affect marginalized youth. Cultural and literary perspectives complement sociological critiques by capturing the emotional complexity of adolescence. Literature aimed at youth often emphasizes future-oriented growth, while adult literature depicts more constrained developmental outcomes, reflecting societal ambivalence toward adolescent autonomy[22].

Taken together, historical, sociological, political, and postmodern analyses demonstrate that adolescence is neither universal nor biologically fixed. Rather, it is a socially constructed category shaped by historical conditions, power relations, and institutional practices. Contemporary understandings of adolescence remain deeply influenced by race, class, gender, and ideological assumptions, underscoring the need for critical, interdisciplinary approaches to youth studies.

References

1. Arnett, J. J. Adolescence and Emerging Adulthood. — Boston, MA: Pearson, 2014. — 504 p. — ISBN 0205892493.
2. Champion, D. J. The Juvenile Justice System: Delinquency, Processing, and the Law. — Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2001. — 465 p.
3. Frith S. Sound effects: Youth, leisure, and the politics of rock 'n' roll. — New York: Pantheon Books, 1981. — 294 p.
4. Gitlin T. The sixties: Years of hope, days of rage. — New York: Bantam Books, 1987. — 538 p.
5. Hine, T. The Rise and Fall of the American Teenager. — New York: HarperCollins, 2000. — 336 p.
6. Hine, T. The Rise and Fall of the American Teenager. — New York: HarperCollins, 2000. — 336 p.
7. Hobsbawm E. Age of extremes: The short twentieth century, 1914–1991. — London: Michael Joseph, 1994. — 672 p.
8. Humphries, J. Childhood and Child Labour in the British Industrial Revolution. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010. — 439 p.
9. Iversen, A. T. Change and Continuity: The Bildungsroman in English. — 2010. — 397 p.
10. Katz, M. B., Davey, I. E. Youth and Early Industrialization in a Canadian City // American Journal of Sociology. — 1978. — Vol. 84. — P. S81–S119.
11. Lareau, A. Invisible Inequality: Social Class and Childrearing in Black Families and White Families // American Sociological Review. — 2002. — P. 747–776.
12. Males, M. A. The Scapegoat Generation: America's War on Adolescents. — Monroe, ME: Common Courage Press, 1996. — 329 p.
13. Mead M. Coming of age in Samoa. — New York: William Morrow, 1928. — 344 p.
14. Medovoi, L. Rebels: Youth and the Cold War Origins of Identity. — Durham:Duke University Press, 2005. — 400 p.

[21] Wekerle C., Kerig P. K. Sexual and non-sexual violence against children and youth: Continuing conversations // Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma. — 2017. — Vol. 10, № 2. — P. 95–96.
[22]Iversen, A. T. Change and Continuity: The Bildungsroman in English. — 2010. — 397 p.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

15. Mintz, S. Huck's Raft: A History of American Childhood. — Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2004. — 445 p.
16. Paul, D. B. Darwin, Social Darwinism and Eugenics // The Cambridge Companion to Darwin. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003. — P. 214–239.
17. Riesman D. The lonely crowd: A study of the changing American character. — New Haven: Yale University Press, 1950. — 403 p.
18. Rotundo, E. A. American Manhood: transformations in masculinity from the Revolution to the Modern Era. — New York: Basic Books, 1993. — 432 p.
19. Saltman, K. J. The social Construction of Adolescence // The critical middle School Reader. — 2005. — P. 15–20.
20. Savage, J. Teenage: The Creation of Youth Culture. — London: Random House, 2008. — 551 p.
21. Somerville L. H. Searching for signatures of brain maturity: what are we searching for? // Neuron. — 2016. — Vol. 92, № 6. — P. 1164–1167.
22. Wekerle C., Kerig P. K. Sexual and non-sexual violence against children and youth: Continuing conversations // Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma. — 2017. — Vol. 10, № 2. — P. 95–96.