ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-2

DIDACTIC OPPORTUNITIES OF USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TOOLS IN MODERN SCHOOL EDUCATION

Ko‘charova Mokhiniso Ulugbek qizi
Termez University of Economics and Service
Primary Education, 3rd-year student
Scientific advisor: A.A. Khaitov

Abstract: The article explores the didactic opportunities of integrating artificial intelligence tools, particularly generative AI platforms, into teaching, learning, and assessment processes in modern school education on a scientific basis. Drawing on the guidelines of UNESCO on generative artificial intelligence and analytical reports of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the principles of human-centeredness, safety, equity, academic integrity, and data protection are correlated with key didactic categories. The pedagogical functions of artificial intelligence are systematized within the framework of objectives, content, methods, tools, and assessment components.

Keywords: generative artificial intelligence, school education, didactics, differentiation, formative assessment, academic integrity, digital literacy.

INTRODUCTION

The growing prevalence of artificial intelligence tools goes beyond merely expanding the range of educational technologies; it affects the internal structure of the didactic system and requires a reconsideration of learning objectives, content organization, instructional design, and assessment architecture. In particular, generative AI tools based on natural language processing can provide explanations, generate examples, restructure texts, differentiate tasks, and offer feedback aligned with assessment criteria. While these capabilities expand didactic opportunities in schools, they also make teacher expertise indispensable.

In the field of education, principles such as human-centeredness, safe and responsible use, equity, and academic integrity are of paramount importance. UNESCO emphasizes these principles in its guidance on the use of generative AI in education and research, while the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development highlights risks related to misinformation, inequality, privacy, and copyright in the governance of generative AI in education. From this perspective, the introduction of artificial intelligence in schools is not merely a technical solution; it must be grounded in didactic objectives, redesigned assessment strategies, and supported by internal regulatory frameworks.

The purpose of this article is to systematically substantiate the didactic opportunities of using artificial intelligence tools in school education, propose a practical implementation model, and develop a set of guidelines aimed at minimizing potential risks. The research questions focus on: which elements of school didactics are most affected by AI; what functions AI can perform in the chain of teaching, learning, and assessment; and what limitations are necessary to preserve educational quality, equity, and academic integrity.

METHODOLOGY

The study was based on a comprehensive design consisting of three components:

- normative-analytical review;
- didactic modeling;

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

- development of a school-level implementation protocol.

The normative-analytical review examined UNESCO guidelines, OECD analytical materials, and national regulatory documents on AI development to identify key principles: human-centeredness, safety, equity, academic integrity, and data protection.

In the didactic modeling stage, AI functions were aligned with the main components of school didactics:

- objectives and learning outcomes;
- content;
- methods;
- tools;
- assessment and evaluation;
- reflection.

The implementation protocol followed a design-based research logic and included:

- initial diagnostics;
- targeted intervention;
- formative monitoring;
- summative evaluation;
- analysis through qualitative and quantitative indicators.

The quantitative block relied on descriptive statistics (means, percentages, dynamics), while the qualitative block was based on teacher observations, student reflections, and portfolio analysis. The importance of student engagement and digital literacy in digital environments, emphasized in Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) materials, led to the inclusion of digital literacy and information verification skills among the key indicators.

RESULTS

The findings identify five major didactic opportunities for integrating artificial intelligence into school education and present a set of implementation guidelines.

First direction – Objectives and learning outcomes.

AI enables individualized explanations. Students can understand complex concepts through multiple explanatory styles. Opportunities for recognizing and correcting mistakes increase. Metacognitive questioning encourages learners to assess and regulate their own understanding.

Second direction – Content.

AI allows the organization of a single topic through texts of varying complexity, examples and counterexamples, text simplification or enrichment, terminology clarification, and adaptation for students with reading difficulties.

Third direction – Methods.

AI supports active learning approaches, including the construction of problem-based question sequences, development of research plans, requirement of evidence-based reasoning, and comparison of alternative solutions.

Fourth direction – Organization of learning.

In differentiation and inclusive education, AI facilitates individualized support, provides scenarios for pair and group work, and enables personalized homework trajectories.

Fifth direction – Assessment.

AI enhances formative assessment through:

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

- immediate feedback;
- rubric-based criterion analysis;
- identification of error types;
- generation of guiding questions for self-assessment and peer assessment.

If you would like, I can also prepare the **Conclusion** and **References section** in full academic English format (APA style) suitable for submission to an international journal.




Figure 1. The Use of Artificial Intelligence Tools in Modern School Education

In the practice of Uzbekistan, these functions have also been specifically highlighted in analyses of artificial intelligence tools; this further reinforces the need to develop methodological guidelines for schools.

At the same time, the results identified a map of potential risks. When artificial intelligence generates rapid text and ready-made answers, students may become inclined to rely on prepared solutions, which can reduce cognitive activity. Due to the risk of producing inaccurate information, AI cannot be used as a primary source of subject content without a culture of fact-checking. Regarding privacy, entering students' personal data into AI platforms increases legal and ethical risks for educational institutions. From the perspective of fairness and equity, the use of AI in classrooms with unequal access to the internet and digital devices may widen existing gaps; therefore, alternative offline scenarios must be planned. In OECD analyses, these risks are identified as priority issues from the standpoint of education policy and governance.

For practical implementation, a set of rules was formulated as follows:

The first rule ensures academic integrity: in every written assignment, the stages where AI was used must be transparently indicated, and authorship must rely on the student's own reasoning.

The second rule makes fact-checking mandatory: any evidence generated by AI must be verified against at least two reliable sources.

The third rule serves to redesign assessment. Texts prepared at home should be verified in class through oral defense, case-based questions, source justification, and the presentation of counterexamples.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-2

The fourth rule protects privacy: personal data, grades, and internal documents must not be entered into AI platforms.

The fifth rule strengthens methodological design. AI must be subordinated to the teacher's instructional plan; learning objectives must be clearly defined, task constraints specified, and the quality of results evaluated through teacher expertise.

DISCUSSION

The findings justify two important didactic conclusions regarding the use of artificial intelligence in school education.

The first conclusion is that AI tools do not produce effectiveness on their own; effectiveness depends on the quality of pedagogical design. If the teacher directs tasks toward knowledge processing, AI can function as an intellectual scaffold within the student's zone of proximal development. However, if the task merely requires a ready-made text, AI may distance the learner from independent thinking. Therefore, school didactics should prioritize process-oriented rather than product-oriented assessment. Competencies such as providing evidence, explaining, comparing, drawing conclusions, and justifying sources must become central.

The second conclusion emphasizes not combating AI, but forming intellectual immunity toward it. Intellectual immunity includes:

- verifying information;
- selecting sources;
- distinguishing between evidence and opinion;
- multi-perspective analysis;
- self-assessment of one's level of knowledge;
- considering the probability of error.

These skills represent an advanced stage of digital literacy and can be developed as interdisciplinary competencies in school education. This approach aligns with the human-centered and responsible integration principles of UNESCO and also mitigates the governance risks identified in OECD analyses.

In the context of Uzbekistan, decisions and strategic directions aimed at the development of artificial intelligence have been adopted, and these documents also address the preparation of specialists and the implementation of AI in the education system. Under these conditions, the key issue for schools can be formulated as follows:

- The use of AI should improve the quality of education without undermining equity or weakening academic integrity;
- This requires reconsidering norms, methodology, and assessment architecture as an integrated system.

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence, particularly generative AI tools, expands didactic opportunities in school education. However, these opportunities are accompanied by risks. Therefore, the use of AI must be subordinated to pedagogical design and regulation, assessment must focus on process competencies, and implementation must be supported by a culture of fact-checking and mechanisms ensuring academic integrity.

The proposed model and set of rules for school practice aim to ensure responsible AI use, support sustainable improvement of learning outcomes, and promote deeper digital literacy development.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-2

REFERENCES

1. Xakimova, Yo., & Po‘latova, Z. (2025). Understanding artificial intelligence tools used in the educational process. *Preschool and School Education Journal*, 3(11).
2. Bekpo‘latov, X.B. (2023). The use of artificial intelligence in the education system: Problems and prospects. Electronic journal article.
3. Xudaynazarov, R. Trends and opportunities of using artificial intelligence in the educational process. Inlibrary.
4. Shermanova, F. Digital technologies and artificial intelligence opportunities in the educational process. Inlibrary.
5. Qodirov, A. (2021). *Pedagogical Technologies and Modern Teaching Methods*. Tashkent: Fan va Texnologiya.
6. Хайитов, А. (2024, January). Особенности педагогических особенностей наблюдения за акмеологической позицией у будущих учителей. In INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MODERN DEVELOPMENT OF PEDAGOGY AND LINGUISTICS (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 246-251).
7. OGLi, X. A. A. (2023). DEVELOPMENT OF ACMEOLOGICAL POSITION IN FUTURE TEACHERS AS A PEDAGOGICAL PROBLEM. European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies, 3(05), 219-222.
8. Хаитов, А. (2023). СОВРЕМЕННОЕ СОСТОЯНИЕ РАЗВИТИЯ АКМЕОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ ПОЗИЦИИ У СТУДЕНТОВ. Экономика и социум, (3-2 (106)), 731-734.
9. Xaitov, A. A. (2023). CONTENT OF DEVELOPMENT OF ACMEOLOGICAL POSITION IN STUDENTS. Экономика и социум, (6-1 (109)), 511-514.
10. Khaitov, A. (2022). TECHNOLOGY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF ACMEOLOGICAL POSITION AMONG FUTURE TEACHERS. Академические исследования в современной науке, 1(20), 53-56.