ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-2

CLINICAL EVALUATION AND NUTRITIONAL REHABILITATION OF GROWTH FALTERING IN EARLY CHILDHOOD

Makhpieva Guldonakhon Kabiljanovna,
PhD, Associate Professor of the Department of pediatrics,
Faculty of Medicine, ASMI

Abstract. Growth faltering in early childhood is a clinical signal rather than a diagnosis. It can reflect inadequate dietary intake, feeding skill problems, recurrent infection, chronic disease, psychosocial adversity, or a combination of these factors. Because the first 1,000 days and early preschool years are periods of rapid brain and body development, timely evaluation and nutritional rehabilitation can prevent avoidable morbidity and improve developmental potential. This article presents a practical, outpatient-oriented methodology for evaluating growth faltering and delivering nutritional rehabilitation in children under five. It integrates anthropometric interpretation using WHO growth standards, risk stratification to identify children who require urgent referral, a structured history and physical examination focused on feeding and illness patterns, targeted laboratory testing when indicated, and stepwise nutritional rehabilitation with monitoring for response and safety.

Keywords: growth faltering; failure to thrive; wasting; stunting; WHO growth standards; nutritional rehabilitation; pediatrics.

INTRODUCTION

Growth faltering—sometimes referred to as weight faltering or failure to thrive—is one of the most common and challenging presentations in pediatrics because it sits at the intersection of biology, nutrition, infection, family context, and healthcare access. A child's growth curve is a longitudinal "vital sign," and when it flattens or drops across centiles, clinicians must interpret that change as a prompt for systematic evaluation rather than as a single-number problem. The stakes are high: in early childhood, inadequate nutrition and recurrent illness can reinforce each other, leading to reduced lean mass gain, delayed motor development, impaired immune function, and lower resilience to subsequent infections. At the same time, the majority of children with growth faltering do not have a rare metabolic disorder; many have modifiable feeding, dietary, or psychosocial drivers that respond well to practical interventions when identified early. Because definitions and thresholds vary across settings, clinicians benefit from aligning terminology with internationally used growth standards and from applying structured pathways that distinguish acute malnutrition requiring urgent care from milder faltering that can be managed safely in outpatient settings with close follow-up. WHO growth standards and malnutrition indicators provide widely used reference points for undernutrition classification such as wasting, stunting, and underweight [1].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A clinic-ready approach starts with *measurement quality and pattern recognition*, because inaccurate anthropometry produces misleading clinical conclusions. Weight must be taken on calibrated scales with minimal clothing, length/height measured with appropriate boards, and mid-upper arm circumference considered where relevant. The interpretation should focus on the *trajectory*, not merely the current percentile. A commonly used framing is that growth faltering is suggested when weight-for-age falls below a low percentile, when a child crosses down multiple major percentile

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

lines, or when weight-for-length/height indicates thinness for stature [2]. However, for programmatic and global comparability, WHO indicators classify stunting as height-for-age below −2 standard deviations (SD), wasting as weight-for-height below −2 SD, and underweight as weight-for-age below −2 SD based on WHO child growth standards [1].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The next step is a *structured clinical evaluation* that is deliberately biased toward common causes and modifiable barriers. History should be built around four domains: intake, absorption/utilization, losses, and context. Intake assessment is not a vague "does the child eat well?" but a measurable description of daily patterns: breastfeeding status, complementary feeding frequency, portion sizes, texture progression, feeding duration, caregiver-child interaction during feeding, use of bottles at night, and the child's ability to self-feed. A 24-hour recall helps, but a "typical week" picture is often more informative. For toddlers, it is crucial to assess milk volume and replacement of solid foods, because excessive milk can reduce appetite for energy-dense meals and contribute to iron deficiency. Absorption/utilization questions target chronic diarrhea, greasy stools, vomiting, abdominal pain, recurrent respiratory symptoms, and signs of chronic inflammation. Losses include recurrent infections, parasitic exposure risk (depending on region), and urinary losses if renal disease is suspected. Context includes food insecurity, caregiver mental health, neglect risk, disrupted routines, and developmental or sensory issues that make feeding difficult. The physical exam should look beyond weight: hydration status, pallor, edema, skin/hair changes, oral health, signs of chronic respiratory disease, cardiac findings, hepatosplenomegaly, dysmorphic features, and neurodevelopmental tone and interaction. A practical principle is to consider that "growth faltering" can be the presenting sign of a wide differential, but most diagnoses become visible when clinicians combine growth pattern + targeted history + exam rather than ordering broad panels immediately. Reviews of growth faltering emphasize the central role of thorough clinical assessment and note that definitions vary and remain debated, reinforcing why structured evaluation is needed [2].

Laboratory testing should be *targeted and hypothesis-driven* to avoid delays and unnecessary costs. In a well-appearing child with mild faltering and clear dietary insufficiency, intensive lab work may be less useful than immediate nutrition intervention with close follow-up. In contrast, testing becomes appropriate when there are red flags such as chronic diarrhea, recurrent severe infections, persistent vomiting, unexplained fevers, edema, significant developmental regression, organomegaly, cardiac symptoms, or failure to respond to adequate nutritional rehabilitation. A pragmatic baseline set in many settings may include complete blood count (anemia/inflammation), ferritin or iron indices (if feasible), basic metabolic profile (electrolytes), and urinalysis, while additional tests are guided by symptoms (celiac screening where relevant, stool studies for persistent diarrhea, thyroid testing for suspected endocrine issues, tuberculosis screening in high-risk contexts, or HIV testing where clinically indicated). Importantly, clinicians should interpret "normal labs" cautiously: many nutritional problems are behavioral/environmental and will not show dramatic lab abnormalities early. Conversely, abnormal results should trigger a pathway, not a dead end—such as addressing iron deficiency, arranging GI referral for malabsorption suspicion, or evaluating chronic respiratory disease contributing to increased energy expenditure. Recent clinical discussions also highlight that the term "faltering growth" is increasingly preferred over "failure to thrive" because it is less stigmatizing and more descriptive, while still emphasizing the need for active monitoring and timely management [4].

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 10.25 / 2025

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-2

Nutritional rehabilitation in outpatient care should be planned as a *stepwise intervention with measurable targets*, not as general advice to "eat more." The core goal is catch-up growth while maintaining safety and feeding skill development. The plan typically includes increasing energy density and protein quality, correcting micronutrient deficiencies, and creating a feeding environment that supports intake. For infants, breastfeeding support is often central: assessing latch, milk transfer, feeding frequency, and maternal supply issues.

CONCLUSION

Growth faltering in early childhood is best approached as a structured clinical process that combines accurate measurement, longitudinal interpretation of growth patterns, risk stratification, targeted evaluation of common causes, and stepwise nutritional rehabilitation with close monitoring. WHO growth standards and malnutrition indicators provide a consistent framework for distinguishing wasting, stunting, and underweight, which supports both clinical decisions and linkage to nutrition programs. The 2023 WHO guideline on wasting and nutritional oedema underscores that management should be matched to severity and complication status, reinforcing the importance of identifying children who need urgent referral while supporting outpatient pathways for uncomplicated cases. In routine practice, most successful rehabilitation plans go beyond calories, integrating caregiver coaching, feeding environment improvement, micronutrient correction, and reliable follow-up; when response is inadequate, clinicians should reassess both adherence and the possibility of underlying disease. Using non-stigmatizing language such as "faltering growth" and maintaining a family-centered approach improves engagement and reduces drop-off from care pathways.

REFERENCES

1. [1] World Health Organization. Malnutrition in children: Definitions of stunting, wasting, underweight based on WHO Child Growth Standards. – URL: https://www.who.int/data/nutrition/nlis/info/malnutrition-in-children (accessed: 04.02.2026).
2. [2] Smith A. E., Shah M. Failure to Thrive. – In: StatPearls. – Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing, 2023. – URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459287/ (accessed: 04.02.2026).
3. [3] World Health Organization. WHO guideline on the prevention and management of wasting and nutritional oedema (acute malnutrition) in infants and children under 5 years. – Geneva: WHO, 2023. – URL: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240082830 (accessed: 04.02.2026).
4. [4] Fewtrell M., et al. An Update on the Diagnosis and Management of Faltering Growth in Infancy and Early Childhood. – Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism, 2024. – URL: https://karger.com/anm/article/80/Suppl.%201/18/915789/ (accessed: 04.02.2026).
5. [5] UNICEF. A Brief Guide to Updating National Guidelines on Child Wasting. – UNICEF, 2024. – URL: https://www.childwasting.org/media/1676/file/UNICEF-Brief-Guide-Updating-National-Guidelines-ChildWasting-Nov24_EN.pdf.pdf (accessed: 04.02.2026).