ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-1

THE FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF INDEPENDENT STATES (CIS)

Saidova Gavhar Sergeyevna
1st-year Master's Student, Urganch State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan

Abstract: The Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) was established in 1991 following the dissolution of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR). It emerged as a regional intergovernmental organization intended to manage the peaceful transition from a unified Soviet state to independent national governments while preserving political, economic, and cultural cooperation among former Soviet republics. The creation of the CIS was driven by deep economic interdependence, shared security concerns, and long-standing historical and social ties among its member states. This article analyzes the historical background of the CIS, the legal foundations of its establishment, and its main objectives and principles. It also examines the institutional framework of the organization and evaluates its significance in the post-Soviet space. The study concludes that although the CIS has faced limitations in achieving deep integration, it has played an important role in maintaining dialogue, stability, and cooperation among its member states during a critical period of political transformation.

Key Words: Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), dissolution of the Soviet Union, post-Soviet space, regional integration, independence, intergovernmental organization, political transition, economic cooperation, security cooperation

INTRODUCTION

The end of the Cold War and the collapse of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) marked a turning point in global political history. In 1991, the disintegration of the Soviet Union resulted in the emergence of fifteen independent states, fundamentally transforming the political map of Eurasia. This dramatic shift created significant political, economic, and security challenges for the newly independent republics, many of which had little prior experience as sovereign states. In order to manage the consequences of this transformation and to preserve existing ties, several former Soviet republics chose to establish a new framework for cooperation known as the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).

The CIS was formed as a voluntary association of independent states, aimed at ensuring a peaceful and orderly dissolution of the Soviet Union while maintaining collaboration in key areas such as economics, security, diplomacy, and humanitarian affairs. Unlike the USSR, the CIS was not designed to function as a centralized political entity but rather as an intergovernmental organization based on mutual consent and respect for national sovereignty. This structure allowed member states to pursue independent domestic and foreign policies while remaining connected through shared institutions and agreements.

The establishment of the CIS reflected both practical necessity and political compromise. Deep economic interdependence, shared infrastructure, common security concerns, and long-standing cultural and social connections made complete separation impractical in the short term. Therefore, the CIS played a crucial role in facilitating dialogue, reducing instability, and providing a platform for cooperation during the early years of independence. This article explores the formation of the

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-6, ISSUE-1

Commonwealth of Independent States, examining its historical background, objectives, and significance in the post-Soviet region.

Main Part

The formation of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) was the direct result of profound political, economic, and social changes that took place in the Soviet Union during the late 1980s and early 1990s. By this time, the USSR was experiencing a deep systemic crisis. The centrally planned economy was no longer capable of meeting the needs of the population, industrial productivity was declining, and shortages of basic goods became widespread. These economic difficulties contributed to growing public dissatisfaction and weakened confidence in the Soviet leadership.

At the same time, political reforms introduced under Mikhail Gorbachev, particularly perestroika and glasnost, unintentionally accelerated the fragmentation of the Soviet state. While these reforms were intended to modernize socialism and increase transparency, they also encouraged political pluralism and strengthened national movements within the republics. As a result, many Soviet republics began to demand greater autonomy and, eventually, full independence. By 1990, declarations of sovereignty had been adopted in several republics, signaling the erosion of central authority.

The failed August 1991 coup attempt by conservative elements within the Soviet leadership marked a turning point in the disintegration process. The collapse of the coup significantly weakened the power of the Communist Party and demonstrated that the central government could no longer control political developments. In the aftermath, the leaders of the republics increasingly pursued independent paths, making the preservation of the Soviet Union impossible. Under these conditions, the creation of the CIS became a pragmatic solution to manage the transition from a single state to multiple independent nations.

Establishment and Legal Framework of the CIS

The Commonwealth of Independent States was formally established on December 8, 1991, with the signing of the Belavezha Accords by the leaders of Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus. This agreement officially declared the dissolution of the Soviet Union and created the CIS as a new form of cooperation among independent states. The accords emphasized that the CIS was not a successor to the USSR but a voluntary association based on equality, sovereignty, and non-interference in internal affairs.

The legal foundation of the CIS was further strengthened on December 21, 1991, with the signing of the Alma-Ata Declaration and Protocol. Eleven former Soviet republics joined the organization at this stage, confirming their commitment to cooperation in political, economic, and security matters. The declaration outlined key principles of the CIS, including respect for international law, recognition of existing borders, and peaceful resolution of disputes. It also addressed practical issues such as the division of military assets and coordination of foreign policy during the transition period.

These agreements played a crucial role in ensuring a relatively peaceful end to the Soviet Union. Unlike many historical cases of state collapse, the dissolution of the USSR did not lead to large-scale armed conflict among its successor states. The CIS provided a legal and diplomatic framework that facilitated dialogue and cooperation at a critical moment of uncertainty.

Objectives, Functions, and Areas of Cooperation

The primary objective of the Commonwealth of Independent States was to promote cooperation among its member states while fully respecting their independence. One of the most important areas of cooperation was the economy. Given the deep economic interdependence inherited from the Soviet

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

period, the CIS aimed to preserve trade relations, maintain common infrastructure, and support economic reforms. Agreements on free trade, transportation, and energy cooperation were designed to reduce the negative economic impact of disintegration.

Security cooperation was another key function of the CIS. In its early years, the organization served as a platform for coordinating military issues, including the management of former Soviet armed forces and nuclear weapons. Over time, the focus shifted toward cooperation in combating terrorism, organized crime, drug trafficking, and other transnational threats. Although the level of military integration decreased, security remained an important aspect of CIS activities.

In addition to political and economic cooperation, the CIS also addressed social, cultural, and humanitarian issues. Efforts were made to protect the rights of citizens living outside their ethnic homelands, facilitate migration, and preserve cultural and educational ties. These initiatives reflected the shared historical and cultural background of the member states and highlighted the CIS's role in maintaining connections among post-Soviet societies.

Overall, the CIS functioned as a flexible and adaptive organization that allowed its members to cooperate selectively according to their national interests. While it did not achieve deep integration, it played a significant role in managing the complex transition period following the collapse of the Soviet Union and contributed to stability in the post-Soviet region.

Results

The analysis of the formation and early development of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) demonstrates that the organization played a significant role in managing the immediate consequences of the collapse of the Soviet Union. One of the most important results of the CIS was its contribution to a relatively peaceful dissolution of the USSR. Through legal agreements such as the Belavezha Accords and the Alma-Ata Declaration, the CIS provided a framework that reduced the risk of large-scale conflict among the newly independent states and helped regulate the transition to sovereignty.

Another key result was the preservation of political dialogue among former Soviet republics. The CIS created institutional mechanisms, including regular meetings of heads of state and government, which allowed member states to discuss common challenges and coordinate policies. This ongoing communication helped prevent complete political isolation and supported a degree of regional stability during the early years of independence.

In the economic sphere, the CIS achieved mixed results. While it succeeded in maintaining basic trade relations and cooperation in areas such as transportation and energy, it was less effective in creating a fully integrated economic space. Differences in national economic priorities, varying speeds of reform, and the desire to protect sovereignty limited deeper economic integration. Nevertheless, the CIS helped soften the economic disruption caused by the sudden breakdown of the Soviet economic system.

In terms of security, the CIS contributed to the coordination of military and security issues during the initial post-Soviet period. It played an important role in managing the division of former Soviet military assets and addressing common security threats. Over time, however, security cooperation became more limited as some member states pursued alternative alliances and security arrangements.

Overall, the results indicate that the CIS functioned more as a transitional and consultative organization than as a fully integrated union. Despite its limitations, the CIS succeeded in providing

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

stability, maintaining cooperation, and facilitating dialogue among its member states during a critical period of political transformation.

Conclusion

The formation of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) was a direct response to the unprecedented political transformation caused by the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991. Faced with economic disintegration, political uncertainty, and security risks, the newly independent states required a flexible mechanism to manage their transition to sovereignty while preserving essential forms of cooperation. The CIS emerged as a pragmatic solution that allowed former Soviet republics to separate peacefully without completely severing long-standing political, economic, and cultural ties.

This study has shown that the CIS was founded on principles fundamentally different from those of the Soviet Union. Rather than a centralized and hierarchical system, the CIS functioned as a voluntary intergovernmental organization based on equality, sovereignty, and non-interference in internal affairs. This structure reflected the priorities of the member states, which sought independence while recognizing the practical benefits of continued collaboration. As a result, the CIS became a platform for dialogue and coordination rather than a tool for deep political integration.

Although the CIS faced significant limitations, particularly in achieving strong economic and political integration, its historical significance should not be underestimated. The organization played a crucial role in ensuring a relatively peaceful dissolution of the USSR, maintaining communication among member states, and addressing common challenges during the early post-Soviet period. In areas such as trade, security coordination, and humanitarian cooperation, the CIS helped reduce the negative effects of sudden disintegration.

In conclusion, the Commonwealth of Independent States can be understood as a transitional structure shaped by the complex realities of the post-Soviet space. While its influence has evolved and, in some cases, diminished over time, the CIS remains an important example of how regional cooperation can contribute to stability during periods of major political change. Its experience highlights both the possibilities and limitations of integration among newly independent states seeking to balance sovereignty with cooperation.

References

1. Allison, R. (1996). Challenges of the post-Soviet era. London: Royal Institute of International Affairs.
2. Sakwa, R. (1998). Russian politics and society. London: Routledge.
3. Smith, G. (1999). The post-Soviet states: Mapping the politics of transition. London: Arnold.
4. Suny, R. G. (1993). The revenge of the past: Nationalism, revolution, and the collapse of the Soviet Union. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
5. Dawisha, K., & Parrott, B. (1997). The consolidation of democracy in East-Central Europe. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
6. Nahaylo, B., & Swoboda, V. (1990).Soviet disunion: A history of the nationalities problem in the USSR. New York: Free Press.
7. Commonwealth of Independent States. (1991). Alma-Ata Declaration and Protocol.
8. Yeltsin, B. (1994). The struggle for Russia. New York: Times Books.