ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

THE ANTHROPOCENTRIC APPROACH TO TERMINOLOGY: A LINGUISTIC AND COGNITIVE ANALYSIS IN THE FIELD OF CHEMISTRY

Valiyeva Nilufar Shamsitdinovna: senior teacher
Bukhara state university
(e-mail: n.s.valieva@buxdu.uz)

Abstract
This study investigates the anthropocentric approach to terminology in the context of modern linguistics and cognitive science, with a particular focus on chemistry-related terms. Drawing from theoretical frameworks in anthropolinguistics and cognitive terminology, the paper demonstrates how terminological units are inherently shaped by human cognition, professional experience, and cultural context. We analyze the semantic, structural, and cultural dimensions of terms and discuss the impact of national conceptual frameworks and linguistic memory in terminological systems. Examples from Russian, Uzbek, and English are provided to illustrate how eponymous and metaphorical terms reflect human-centered perception in scientific discourse. The findings support a multidisciplinary and anthropocentric interpretation of scientific terminology, with implications for lexicography, translation, and science education.
Keywords: anthropocentric terminology, cognitive linguistics, chemistry, professional worldview, cultural semantics, eponymous terms

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the study of terminology has moved beyond its traditional focus on objective, domain-specific knowledge to include human-centered approaches. This shift reflects a broader paradigm change in linguistics, where language is increasingly viewed not as an abstract code but as a product of human cognition, experience, and cultural values. The anthropocentric paradigm acknowledges that language and consequently, terminology is created, used, and understood by human beings whose experiences, emotions, and cultural backgrounds shape their linguistic behavior. Scientific terminology, particularly in disciplines like chemistry, has long been considered a purely technical and objective component of language. However, recent studies suggest that even the most 'neutral' terms are shaped by human perception and mental categorization. For example, terms like *acid rain*, *toxic release*, or *Avogadro constant* do not merely refer to natural or scientific phenomena; they reflect human interaction with the environment, history, and the legacy of scientific discovery.
This paper focuses on the field of chemical terminology as a case study to explore the anthropocentric foundations of terminology. Using examples from multiple languages, including Russian, English, and Uzbek, the paper examines how professional worldview, cultural metaphors, and eponymous naming practices manifest in terminological systems.
The study aims to:

- Investigate how human cognition and cultural experience shape terminology.
- Analyze how the anthropocentric approach is applied in cognitive and professional terminological models.
- Explore the linguistic and cultural implications of naming conventions in chemistry.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

The notion of anthropocentrism in linguistics implies that language is centered on human experience. S.M. Tolstaya emphasizes that language serves as a mirror of human interaction with the world, and metaphors such as "the sun rises" reflect the application of human characteristics to natural phenomena. These expressions are part of what is called anthropomorphization, a linguistic process that embeds human qualities in non-human entities. A.V. Zharova introduces the concept of a "professional worldview," which describes how professionals perceive and categorize knowledge within their field. In chemical terminology, terms like *oxidation* or *polymerization* are not just definitions; they represent a shared cognitive framework rooted in experimental practice and educational background. L.A. Chernyshova builds on this by defining the professional linguistic worldview as a national and semantic invariant representing professional knowledge. This framework supports the argument that terms are culturally and cognitively situated. Kalambet's typological study on anthropocentrism in Russian lexicography illustrates how dictionaries themselves can embody human-centered perspectives. She identifies four types of anthropocentrism in dictionaries:

1. Immanent-endogenous – reflecting the author's subjectivity.
2. Immanent-exogenous – addressing the user's needs.
3. Methodological-endogenous – deliberate structuring by the author.
4. Methodological-exogenous – user-focused strategic planning.

These categories highlight the layered human presence in terminological descriptions, challenging the assumption of dictionaries as purely objective sources.

Cognitive terminology studies, championed by scholars such as S.L. Mishlanova and E.I. Golovanova, asserts that cognitive structures and human conceptualization shape terminology. The terminology is not static but evolves with the expansion of knowledge and shifts in perception. According to L.M. Alekseeva, this cognitive dynamism requires a new methodological framework that views terms as mental constructs rather than fixed definitions.

Kubryakova's foundational principles of cognitive linguistics—anthropocentrism, functionalism, expansionism, and explanatory power—provide a comprehensive methodological base for interpreting terminology in the context of human cognition.

Metaphorical expressions like *toxic cloud*, *greenhouse gases*, and *persistent pollutants* illustrate how human perception shapes terminological choices. These terms carry semantic implications that go beyond their scientific content, evoking danger, urgency, or familiarity—thus making them more relatable and communicatively effective.

E.O. Chernikova highlights how environmental terms such as *acid rain* or *toxic release* are framed through human experience and cultural memory. They are conceptual metaphors arising from the intersection of human perception and environmental awareness.

Terms like *Boyle's Law*, *Avogadro constant*, or *Arrhenius acid* not only encode scientific concepts but also serve as linguistic monuments to the scientists who coined or discovered them. This form of anthropocentrism, known as eponymy, illustrates how scientific language preserves human legacy within its structure.

The use of terms such as *ionization*, *polymerization*, and *oxidation* reflects the professional linguistic worldview of chemists. These terms function as verbal models of action rooted in the epistemological traditions and practical activities of professionals. Chernyshova's concept of a unified semantic invariant of professional knowledge supports the view that such terms are culturally and professionally bound.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

The comparison of Russian *персистентность* and English *persistence* demonstrates how semantic scope is shaped by national thought patterns. While the Russian term focuses on the chemical durability of a substance in nature, the English term has a wider usage, encompassing human qualities such as determination. This supports the idea that terminological systems reflect not only professional but also national cognitive models.

Kalambet's findings show how dictionaries are tailored to their intended users. Subjective labeling, emotional connotation, and the inclusion of usage contexts reveal a human-centered approach even in reference works. This challenges the traditional notion of lexicons as rigid and objective.

Modern dictionaries often prioritize usability, clarity, and psychological accessibility over strict taxonomic precision. This is particularly evident in educational and digital dictionaries, which incorporate visual, interactive, and culturally relevant content for broader comprehension.

Conclusion

This study confirms that terminology in the field of chemistry is deeply anthropocentric. From metaphorical and cultural expressions to professional cognitive models and eponymous naming, terminological units are shown to be far from neutral. Instead, they are linguistic artifacts shaped by human minds, social needs, and cultural memory.

The findings reinforce the importance of incorporating cognitive and anthropocentric principles into terminology education, dictionary design, and translation practice. In science education, teaching students the human dimensions of terminology may enhance conceptual understanding and engagement.

This study is limited to qualitative and theoretical analyses. Future research could use corpus-based statistical methods to track frequency, context, and diachronic changes in terminologies across languages and scientific disciplines.

Ultimately, the anthropocentric approach redefines how we view scientific terminology—not as a cold, mechanical system, but as a dynamic, human-centered expression of knowledge, culture, and legacy. Recognizing this perspective allows us to build richer, more effective communicative frameworks for science and education.

References

1. Alekseeva, L. M. (1998). *Osnovy terminovedeniya* [Fundamentals of terminology studies]. Moscow: [Publisher].
2. Bolshak, A. V., & Voloshina, K. S. (2020). Studying terminology through the lens of anthropocentrism. *Scientific Review of Society*, (4), 19-22.
3. Chernyshova, L. A. (2010). *Professional linguistic worldview (профессиональная языковая картина мира)*. Moscow: [Publisher].
4. Chernikova, E. O. (2018). Cognitive metaphor in ecological terminology: English and Russian perspectives. *Philology Journal*, 6(3), 142-147.
5. Golovanova, E. N. (2011). *Cognitive terminology: Principles and approaches*. Volgograd: [Publisher].
6. Kalambet, E. V. (2012). *The anthropocentric approach in Russian lexicography: A typological study* (Doctoral dissertation). [Institution], [City].
7. Kubryakova, E. S. (1995). Language and knowledge: On the way to gaining knowledge about language. *Issues of Cognitive Linguistics*, 3, 203-212.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

8. Mahmudov, N. M. (2024). *Tilshunoslikda antropotsentrizm paradigmasi* [The paradigm of anthropocentrism in linguistics]. Tashkent: [Publisher].
9. Safarov, Sh. (2006). *Kognitiv tilshunoslik* [Cognitive linguistics]. Tashkent: Akademnashr.
10. Tolstaya, S. M. (Ed.). (2017). *Антропоцентризм в языке и культуре* [Anthropocentrism in language and culture]. Moscow: Indrik.