ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

CULTURAL AND LINGUISTIC ASPECTS OF VISUAL ART TERMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK

Associate Professor, PhD., Independent Researcher, Bukhara State University
Ochilova Mekhriniso Razokovna
ochilova.2021@list.ru

Abstract: This article examines the cultural and linguistic features of visual art terminology in English and Uzbek. It aims to identify how cultural context and linguistic structure influence the formation, usage, and translation of art-related terms in both languages. The research highlights the historical roots, semantic nuances, and conceptual differences that emerge when describing artistic elements across cultures. Using comparative analysis and selected corpus data, the study reveals both universal and culture-specific features of visual art vocabulary, offering insights for translators, lexicographers, and educators in the fields of art and language.
Keywords: visual art, terminology, English, Uzbek, culture, language, comparative linguistics, semantics

Introduction: Visual art is a reflection of culture, history, and human creativity. As such, the language used to describe and interpret works of visual art is deeply influenced by the cultural environment in which it develops. English, shaped by a long tradition of Western art history and criticism, possesses a rich and specialized lexicon of visual art terms. In contrast, Uzbek visual art terminology is influenced by Eastern aesthetics, Islamic art traditions, and Soviet-era classifications. Understanding the linguistic and cultural distinctions between these two systems is essential for accurate communication, translation, and educational development in art-related fields.

This article explores the differences and similarities in the structure, meaning, and cultural underpinnings of visual art terms in English and Uzbek. By analyzing how concepts like composition, color, form, and style are expressed linguistically, we gain a clearer view of how language shapes the perception of art.

Methodology: The study is based on a comparative linguistic approach supported by semantic analysis and limited corpus data. Primary sources include bilingual glossaries, academic literature, and selected texts from art criticism and education in both English and Uzbek. Key visual art terms were identified and compared for:

Morphological structure
Semantic field
Cultural associations
Usage in formal and informal discourse

Expert opinions from art historians and language specialists were also collected through short interviews to validate the cultural interpretation of terms.

Analysis and Conclusion: The comparative analysis revealed several important findings:

1. **Lexical Borrowings**: English includes many Latin, French, and Italian-origin art terms (e.g., fresco, chiaroscuro), while Uzbek includes Russian and Persian loanwords (e.g., mozaika, miniatyura).

2. **Semantic Specificity**: English terms often display narrower, discipline-specific meanings. In contrast, some Uzbek terms cover broader semantic fields, blending traditional and modern interpretations.
3. **Cultural Nuance**: Terms such as "iconography" or "installation" have direct cultural referents in English but may lack established equivalents in Uzbek, requiring descriptive translation or adaptation.
4. **Educational Influence**: The institutionalization of art education affects terminology usage. Western pedagogical models emphasize analytical vocabulary, while Uzbek education incorporates more narrative and descriptive elements.

In conclusion, understanding the cultural and linguistic dimensions of visual art terminology enhances cross-cultural competence in translation, teaching, and appreciation of art. Lexicographic work and collaborative cross-linguistic research are necessary for standardizing and enriching the Uzbek art lexicon to align with global discourse.

References:

1. Chilvers, I. (2004). *The Oxford Dictionary of Art and Artists*. Oxford University Press.
2. Lucie-Smith, E. (2003). *Visual Arts: A Dictionary of Modern and Contemporary Art*. Thames & Hudson.
3. Crystal, D. (2010). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Sodiqov, N. (2019). *San'atshunoslik atamalari izohli lug'ati*. Toshkent: Akademnashr.
5. Karimova, D. (2021). Terminologik tarjima va leksik tafovutlar. *Til va tarjima*, 2(1), 45–52.
6. Uzbekistan National Corpus (UNC). **http://corpus.uz**
7. TSB (2020). *O'zbek tilining izohli lug'ati*. Fan nashriyoti
8. .Razokovna, O. M. (2024). Creating an electronic database of terms used in the field of music and dance. *Conference on the Role and Importance of Science in the Modern World*, 1(2), 53-56.
9. Razzokovna, O. M. (2020). Suspense as a Literary Device in English Literature. *International Journal on Integrated Education*, 3(1), 168-172.
10. Mavlonova, U. K., Abulova, Z. Z., & Kodirov, D. K. (2020). Role play as a method of developing speaking skill. *Scientific Reports of Bukhara State University*, 3(1), 253-260.
11. Nigora, Q. (2023). The Genre Sonnet in the Poesis of Shakespeare. *International Journal on Integrated Education*, 6(3), 233-236.
12. Рахмонова, Д. (2021). Introducing innovations in The Preschool Education System with Talented Children. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, *4*(4).
13. Рахмонова, Д. (2021). WAYS TO DETERMINE TALENTED CHILDREN IN PRESCHOOL EDUCATION. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, *3*(3).
14. Makhmudovna, R. D. (2024). THE ROLE OF FICTION IN IMPROVING THE INTELLECTUAL POTENTIAL OF STUDENTS. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH ISSN: 2277-3630 Impact factor: 8.036*, *13*(02), 23-25.
15.