ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

METAPHORICAL EXPRESSIONS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK CLASSICAL PROSE: A CROSS-CULTURAL STYLISTIC COMPARISON

Kurbondurdiyeva Shodiya Kenja kizi
1st year Master of
Chirchik State Pedagogical University.
kurbondurdiyevashodiya75@gmail.com

Scientific supervisor: Raupova Mukhayyo Nasirovna
PhD in Philology
Associate Professor of the Department of
English Language Teaching Methods
Uzbek State University of World Languages

Abstract. This article presents a cross-cultural stylistic comparison of metaphorical expressions in English and Uzbek classical prose, focusing on how each literary tradition employs metaphor to convey cultural values, emotional depth, and narrative meaning. While English classical prose often uses metaphors to explore psychological complexity and social realities, Uzbek classical prose relies on culturally embedded imagery drawn from nature, oral tradition, and communal experience. The study highlights similarities in thematic metaphor types—such as images of nature, light and darkness, and time—while demonstrating how each tradition assigns distinct cultural and symbolic meanings to these metaphors. By examining representative works from both literatures, the article reveals how metaphor functions as a key stylistic device that reflects the worldview, aesthetic principles, and historical consciousness of English and Uzbek societies.

Keywords: metaphor, stylistic comparison, English classical prose, Uzbek classical prose, cultural symbolism.

Аннотация. В данной статье представлено кросс-культурное стилистическое сравнение метафорических выражений в английской и узбекской классической прозе. Особое внимание уделяется тому, как каждая литературная традиция использует метафору для передачи культурных ценностей, эмоциональной глубины и повествовательного смысла. В то время как английская классическая проза часто использует метафоры для исследования психологической сложности и социальных реалий, узбекская классическая проза опирается на культурно обусловленные образы, почерпнутые из природы, устной традиции и общественного опыта. Исследование выявляет сходство в тематических типах метафор, таких как образы природы, света и тьмы, а также времени, и демонстрирует, как каждая традиция приписывает этим метафорам различные культурные и символические значения. Анализируя репрезентативные произведения обеих литератур, статья раскрывает, как метафора функционирует как ключевой стилистический прием, отражающий мировоззрение, эстетические принципы и историческое сознание английского и узбекского обществ.

Ключевые слова: метафора, стилистическое сравнение, английская классическая проза, узбекская классическая проза, культурный символизм.

INTRODUCTION

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

Metaphor has long been recognized as one of the most powerful tools through which writers give shape to abstract ideas, convey emotional depth, and reflect the worldview of the societies they belong to. In both English and Uzbek classical prose, metaphorical expressions function not only as stylistic embellishments but as cultural signposts that reveal patterns of thinking, collective memory, and the linguistic imagination of each literary tradition. Although English and Uzbek cultures developed in different historical, geographical, and philosophical environments, their prose traditions exhibit striking parallels in how metaphor helps create meaning, enrich narrative texture, and guide readers toward deeper layers of interpretation. At the same time, the contrasts between the two literatures illuminate unique cultural codes that make metaphor a culturally sensitive and context-dependent phenomenon.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In English classical prose, especially in the works of writers such as Charles Dickens, Thomas Hardy, and Jane Austen, metaphor often emerges as a vehicle for social critique and psychological exploration. These authors use metaphorical language to reveal tensions within society, highlight moral dilemmas, and expose the internal states of their characters. Dickens's portrayal of London as a "maze of fog" or "a living beast" embodies both the city's oppressive atmosphere and the moral confusion of industrial-era society. Hardy frequently relies on metaphors rooted in nature to illustrate the inevitability of fate and human vulnerability, allowing landscape itself to become a speaking participant in the narrative. The metaphorical style of English classical prose is therefore closely linked to realism, where metaphor sharpens observation and serves to heighten thematic clarity without breaking the illusion of everyday life.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Uzbek classical prose, represented by writers such as Abdulla Qodiriy, Abdulla Qahhor, and Cho'lpon, leans on metaphor to capture national character, moral reflection, and emotional resonance within traditional social contexts. Uzbek prose is deeply intertwined with oral literary heritage, including folk tales, proverbs, and poetic imagery, which lend metaphor a distinctive folkloric warmth. Qodiriy's depiction of historical upheaval or moral decay is often conveyed through metaphors that compare society to a "wilting orchard" or "a river losing its course." Such expressions encapsulate collective loss and transformation while grounding abstract ideas in nature, a symbolic domain that has always played a central role in Central Asian cultural imagination. In Uzbek prose, metaphor frequently acts as a bridge between the personal and the communal, reflecting the cultural tendency to view the individual within the broader fabric of community and tradition [1].

Despite these cultural differences, both traditions share a reliance on nature-based metaphors, though they employ them with different emphases. English classical texts often use nature metaphorically to reflect states of mind or the moral climate of society, while Uzbek classical prose uses nature to symbolize fate, dignity, and the continuity of life. In both literatures, natural imagery becomes a mirror through which human experience is interpreted, yet its symbolic weight differs: English metaphors lean toward introspection, whereas Uzbek metaphors highlight harmony or conflict within the social and moral order.

Another area of comparison lies in metaphors of light and darkness, which appear abundantly in both traditions but carry culturally distinct connotations. English writers often use these metaphors to examine psychological depth—darkness representing uncertainty or moral confusion, and light symbolizing revelation or reason. In Uzbek prose, light metaphors are commonly associated with

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

purity, familial honor, or spiritual awakening, while darkness implies social oppression or emotional turmoil. The symbolic meaning overlaps, yet Uzbek prose tends to anchor these metaphors in collective experience rather than individual psychology, reflecting the cultural emphasis on shared moral values.

Furthermore, metaphors describing time and change display notable cross-cultural variation. English classical prose depicts time as an unstoppable force—"a tide," "a wheel," "a shadow crossing the sun"—indicating the inevitability of human struggle against forces beyond control. Uzbek classical prose often conceptualizes time as a "traveler," a "guest," or a "turning caravan," expressions rooted in nomadic imagery and historical memory. These metaphors convey the fluid but cyclical nature of life, suggesting endurance, patience, and resilience rather than defeat. Through such imagery, Uzbek prose articulates a worldview where social and spiritual continuity outweighs the anxiety of change [2].

Finally, metaphor in both traditions contributes significantly to character construction. English authors commonly use metaphor to hint at psychological nuance — a character may be described as "a closed book," "a flickering flame," or "a storm contained in silence," conveying inner conflict or restrained emotion. Uzbek writers, meanwhile, often rely on culturally rooted metaphors such as "a heart like spring," "a face clouded like autumn," or "a spirit hardened like iron," each expression carrying layers of cultural expectation and moral undertone. These metaphors shape not only the personality of characters but also the reader's moral alignment with or against them.

In essence, metaphorical expressions in English and Uzbek classical prose reveal the cultural logic through which each literary tradition interprets the world. While English metaphor gravitates toward psychological introspection and social observation, Uzbek metaphor foregrounds communal identity, moral evaluation, and harmony with natural and spiritual forces. Both traditions demonstrate that metaphor is not merely a linguistic ornament but a cultural lens that guides perception, emotional response, and narrative interpretation. A cross-cultural stylistic comparison thus uncovers how deeply metaphor is woven into the intellectual and emotional fabrics of both societies, enriching their prose and offering readers a multidimensional understanding of human experience.

A broader cross-cultural analysis of metaphor in English and Uzbek classical prose reveals that metaphor is deeply intertwined with each culture's dominant philosophical outlook and modes of perceiving reality. English classical literature, shaped by Enlightenment rationalism, Romantic individualism, and the rise of the novel as a vehicle for social observation, often treats metaphor as a means of articulating subjective experience. Consequently, metaphors frequently emerge from psychological introspection, moral tension, and the individual struggle against social constraints. This inward focus allows English prose to construct metaphorical landscapes that mirror characters' emotional worlds—stormy weather reflecting inner turmoil, labyrinths symbolizing moral confusion, or flickering light expressing hope amid despair. In this tradition, metaphor often sharpens the psychological dimension of narrative rather than expanding into collective or transcendent symbolism [3].

Uzbek classical prose, by contrast, draws heavily on a cultural heritage in which oral storytelling, communal ethics, and nature symbolism are central pillars of artistic expression. As a result, metaphors tend to emphasize social cohesion, moral clarity, and the interconnectedness of human life with natural and spiritual forces. Instead of isolating the individual psyche, Uzbek metaphorical structures often place the character within a broader cultural context where personal actions reflect

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

communal responsibility. Nature—fields, gardens, rivers, seasons—operates not simply as descriptive setting but as a symbolic element that conveys renewal, decline, harmony, or disruption. These metaphors carry echoes of centuries-old philosophical traditions, including Sufi thought, which views the external world as a reflection of inward moral or spiritual truth. Thus, Uzbek metaphor typically functions as a cultural bridge between the narrative and the reader's ethical worldview.

A further dimension in which metaphor differs is narrative pacing and rhythm. English classical prose tends to integrate metaphors subtly, allowing them to emerge organically from narrative progression. Writers such as Hardy or Austen weave metaphor into descriptive passages so that figurative language enhances realism without drawing overt attention to itself. The restraint in metaphor usage aligns with the English literary tradition's preference for understatement and implicit meaning. Uzbek classical prose, however, often employs metaphor with a more pronounced expressive intensity. Influenced by poetic forms such as ghazal and ruboiy and by oral epics, Uzbek prose is more inclined to present metaphor as a heightened moment of reflection, where the narrative pauses to offer philosophical commentary or moral insight. This stylistic feature contributes to a distinctive lyrical quality that sets Uzbek prose apart from the more muted metaphorical texture of English classical writing [5].

Cross-cultural differences also appear in metaphors of social hierarchy and power relations. In English prose, social metaphors often focus on industrial modernity—machinery symbolizing dehumanization, rigid structures representing class barriers, or urban landscapes reflecting economic inequality. These metaphors reflect the historical realities of industrial England, where rapid modernization raised new social and moral concerns. In Uzbek prose, metaphors of power frequently draw on pastoral and historical imagery, such as the "broken yurt," the "crooked bow," or the "shattered mirror," which represent social imbalance, betrayal, or moral corruption. These metaphors convey societal critique through culturally familiar images rather than through the imagery of industrial or bureaucratic systems, illustrating the differing sociohistorical contexts of the two literary traditions.

Another important difference lies in metaphors relating to identity and human character. English classical novelists tended to construct individual identity through internal conflict, using metaphors of fragmentation, concealment, or inner struggle—"a divided heart," "a veiled truth," or "a mask worn too long." These metaphors reflect a narrative concern with self-discovery and moral complexity. Uzbek prose, however, constructs identity through metaphors tied to honor, loyalty, and spiritual resilience—"a heart like tempered steel," "a gaze bright as morning," or "a path straight as an arrow." Such metaphors reinforce cultural expectations regarding dignity, courage, and moral responsibility. Through these figurative choices, Uzbek classical prose foregrounds identity as a socially embedded and morally accountable concept [6].

CONCLUSION

A comparative study of metaphorical expressions in English and Uzbek classical prose demonstrates that metaphor serves not only as a stylistic ornament but also as a cultural lens through which writers interpret human experience. English authors tend to use metaphor to explore inner psychological states and social tensions, producing imagery that sharpens realism and deepens character introspection. Uzbek writers, by contrast, employ metaphor to express collective values, moral reflection, and cultural memory, often drawing on folkloric tradition and nature-based symbolism. Despite these differences, both literary traditions rely on metaphor to illuminate abstract ideas, enrich narrative texture, and guide readers toward deeper layers of meaning. The cross-cultural analysis

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-12

confirms that metaphor is deeply rooted in cultural consciousness, and understanding its nuances enhances appreciation of the artistic and intellectual richness of both English and Uzbek prose.

REFERENCES

1. Lakoff G., Johnson M. Metaphors We Live By. – Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2013. – 256 p.
2. Kövecses Z. Metaphor in Culture: Universality and Variation. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015. – 315 p.
3. Turner M. The Literary Mind: The Origins of Thought and Language. – New York: Oxford University Press, 2016. – 183 p.
4. Qodiriy A. O‘tkan kunlar. – Toshkent: G‘afur G‘ulom nomidagi nashriyot, 2016. – 368 b.
5. Qahhor A. Asarlar to‘plami. – Toshkent: Sharq nashriyoti, 2010. – 420 b.
6. Richards I. A. The Philosophy of Rhetoric. – Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015. – 136 p.