ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

Dialogue of Cultures: Boris Pasternak and Abdulla Oripov in the Context of 20th-Century Philosophical Lyric Poetry

Babajanova Aziza Rustamovna,
Lecturer at the Department of Russian Language and Literature,
National Pedagogical University of Uzbekistan
azizababajanova191@gmail.com
(99)990-90-87

Abstract. The article is devoted to a comparative analysis of the philosophical lyric poetry of Boris Pasternak and Abdulla Oripov — two outstanding 20th-century poets whose work, despite cultural and linguistic differences, forms a distinctive dialogue within the context of world literature. The author examines biographical parallels, stylistic intersections, and shared thematic dominants, such as the pantheistic perception of nature, the ethical mission of the poet, and internal opposition to totalitarian ideologies. The analysis focuses on the poets' early works, in which love of life, metaphysical quests, and the role of the lyrical subject as a conduit of universal values are most prominently revealed. It is emphasised that the connection between the poets is not one of direct influence but of parallel development in spiritually oriented philosophical poetry, where nature serves as a metaphor for freedom and creative work as a form of inner resistance. The study highlights the significance of this cultural dialogue for contemporary literary scholarship and suggests prospects for further research.

Keywords: Boris Pasternak, Abdulla Oripov, philosophical lyric poetry, comparative literary studies, dialogue of cultures, pantheism, lyrical subject, poetics of nature, Soviet censorship, poetic ethics.

In an era of globalisation and cultural exchange, the study of interconnections between national literary traditions takes on particular relevance. Despite geographical and linguistic separation, 20th-century Russian and Uzbek poetry are united by shared challenges: revolutionary upheavals, the search for spiritual identity, and philosophical reflection on human existence. At the centre of our attention are two outstanding poets: Boris Leonidovich Pasternak (1890–1960), Nobel Prize laureate in Literature and author of the epic novel Doctor Zhivago, and Abdulla Oripov (1941–2016), National Poet of Uzbekistan and author of the republic's state anthem. At first glance their work seems remote from one another, yet it intersects in key themes: the harmony of nature and the human soul, the ethics of creativity, and resistance to totalitarian ideologies.

This article aims to conduct a comparative analysis of the lyric poetry of Pasternak and Oripov, focusing on their early works, in which a love of life and the lyrical subject as a conduit of universal values are most clearly revealed. We will examine biographical parallels, stylistic similarities, and the cultural dialogue these poets conducted through the word despite the censorship barriers of the Soviet era. The study's thesis is that the work of Pasternak and Oripov exhibits not direct influence but a parallel development of philosophical lyric poetry in which nature serves as a metaphor for freedom and the poet as guardian of ethical truth. The analysis draws on the texts of their works, memoirs, and literary scholarship, revealing how these authors enriched one another within the context of world literature.

Boris Pasternak was born in Moscow into an intellectual family: his father was the painter Leonid Pasternak, his mother the pianist Rozaliya Kaufman. The poet's childhood unfolded in the

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

atmosphere of the Silver Age, surrounded by encounters with Tolstoy, Chekhov, and Scriabin. Pasternak's early years were marked by the influence of Symbolism and Futurism; he belonged to the "Centrifuge" group and was friends with Mayakovsky and Akhmatova. Yet the 1917 Revolution and the subsequent repressions shaped his path as an "internal émigré". Pasternak refused emigration, preferring, as he wrote in letters, "to remain with Russia in her suffering". His lyric poetry of the 1910s–1920s (Twin in the Clouds, 1914; Over the Barriers, 1917) is filled with metaphysical quests in which nature is not mere background but a participant in human drama.

Abdulla Oripov was born in the remote village of Nekuz, Koson District, Kashkadarya Region of Uzbekistan. His childhood fell in the post-war years, when Soviet power was actively imposing collectivization and ideological control. Oripov began writing poetry in his youth, inspired by folklore and the classics of Uzbek literature — Navoi, Babur, Hamza. In the 1960s he studied at Tashkent Pedagogical Institute, where, under mentors such as Ozod Sharafiddinov, he became acquainted with Russian poetry. It was precisely then, in the atmosphere of Khrushchev's "Thaw", that Oripov and his peers discussed the "forbidden" Pasternak. As contemporaries recall, Oripov declared: "U yomon shoir emas" ("He is not a bad poet"), rejecting the official campaign against Pasternak after the 1957 Western publication of Doctor Zhivago.

The biographical parallels are striking: both poets grew up in cultural centres (Moscow and Tashkent), experienced revolutionary upheavals, yet chose the path of internal resistance. Pasternak lost friends to the Gulag; Oripov, in the era of Brezhnevite stagnation, fought for national identity. Their early verses are manifestos of love of life: in Pasternak's cycle My Sister, Life (1917), where summer symbolises chaos and rebirth; in Oripov's collection Fire Beneath the Journey (1967), where the native steppe becomes a metaphor for the indomitable strength of the spirit.

One of the key themes uniting Pasternak and Oripov is a pantheistic perception of nature. For Pasternak, as for the Romantics of the 19th century, the world is an organic whole in which man is "not master but guest". In the poem "February" (1912) he writes:

Black ink has been gathered in plenty, and down has conquered the inkwell. Like a scarecrow in the calm, the reed Weeps, the willow's fence in the inkwell.

Here the metaphor of ink and winter blackness gives way to spring awakening, symbolising the cyclical nature of existence. Nature in Pasternak is not an idyll but a drama: rain "writes" history, wind "reads" it.

Oripov develops this idea in an Uzbek context, integrating folk motifs. In the poem "Genetics" (from Selected Works, 2000) he turns to the epic Alpomysh: "The family left by our fathers, / The heritage left in the blood — it works". Nature here is the genetic memory of the people: steppe, irrigation canals, sorghum — symbols of continuity. As with Pasternak, rain and wind are co-authors of the poet: "I grew up in far-off Tashkent of that era. / And I remember the fields on the outskirts, / Where rows of sorghum stood right behind the house, / Where childhood flowed like a transparent canal." This is not simply landscape but philosophical allegory: man is part of the cosmos, where every element bears an ethical charge.

Comparative analysis of early poems reveals stylistic similarities. Both poets employ neologisms and synaesthesia: Pasternak's "gauze rustle of leaves", Oripov's "Uyqularning ovozi" (the voice of dreams). In both, the lyrical subject is an observer rather than a hero: he "listens" to the world rather than conquering it. In the context of Soviet censorship this was an act of protest: Pasternak hid Zhivago in verses about the garden, Oripov in images of his native village. As the

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

literary scholar Aziza Azimova notes in her analysis of their work, “the similarity in love of life and artistic-social ideas” renders their lyric poetry universal.

Pasternak and Oripov saw poetry not as escapism but as an ethical mission. In his 1930s essay “Notes on Poetry”, Pasternak asserted: “Poetry is a super-value; it stands above morality”. His “Doctor Zhivago”- is a novel about choice: Yuri Zhivago rejects ideology for the sake of love and art. Similarly, Oripov in the poem “She’r” (from Dream, 1980) proclaims: “She’r — payg‘ambar, / So‘z — ilohiy mujda” (Poetry is a prophet, / The word is divine tidings). For him poetry is “Onajonim” — the highest truth opposing conformism.

Pasternak’s influence on Oripov is indirect but palpable. In his student years Oripov, like many Uzbek intellectuals, secretly read Zhivago in samizdat. This shaped his view of the poet as a “free artist”. In his memoirs (A Single Word About Myself, 2000) Oripov mentions Pasternak as “Sho‘irning taqdiri” — the fate of a poet rejected by the authorities. Oripov himself endured censorship: his early verses were accused of “nationalism”, yet, like Pasternak, he did not emigrate, remaining “with the people”.

In Soviet times any connection between Pasternak and Oripov was forbidden: Pasternak was labelled a “cosmopolitan”, Oripov a “localist”. Yet the “Thaw” opened doors: in the 1960s Uzbek journals published (albeit truncated) translations of Pasternak. Oripov, a translator of Goethe and Pushkin, might have taken on Pasternak, yet preferred synthesis: in his poem “Faust” (inspired by Goethe) one hears the echo of Pasternak’s metaphor of a “covenant with nature”.

Today their legacy serves as a bridge between cultures. In Uzbekistan Oripov is a symbol of independence (the 1992 anthem), Pasternak an icon of freedom. Conferences such as “The Creative Heritage of Abdulla Oripov” (2021) discuss their parallels. In Russia editions of Zhivago with prefaces on Eastern influences emphasise how Oripov’s steppe motifs resonate with Pasternak’s Siberian landscapes.

Boris Pasternak and Abdulla Oripov are not merely poets of two continents but comrades-in-arms in the struggle for the soul of the word. Their lyric poetry, filled with philosophical depth and love of life, transcends borders, reminding us that true art lies in the harmony of man and world. Analysis of their early works reveals not influence but a kinship of souls: both saw poetry as salvation from chaos. In an age when cultures draw closer, their dialogue teaches us to value the universal within the national. Future research could deepen the comparison through archives of translations, uncovering new facets of this bridge.

References

1. Pasternak, B. L. Sobranie sochinenii v 5 tomakh. Vol. 1: Lirika. Moscow: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1989.
2. Oripov, A. Tanlangan asarlar: 4-zhildlik. Vol. 1. Tashkent: G‘. G‘ulom nomidagi Adabiyot va san’at nashriyoti, 2000.
3. Azimova, A. “Boris Pasternak va Abdulla Oripov she’rlarining tahlili.” Fostering Your Research Spirit: Conference Proceedings, 2025, pp. 1–10.
4. Abdulhakimova, O. Abdulla Oripov adabiy-estetika qarashlari. Monograph. Tashkent: TGULLL, 2020.
5. Davron, X. Abdulla Oripov. O‘z haqimda bir og‘iz so‘z. Xurshid Davron kutubxonasi, 2024.
6. Sultonqulova, F. “Abdulla Oripov she’riyatida metaforik obrazlar tizimi.” Jizzax davlat pedagogika universiteti ilmiy jurnali, 2023, no. 11, pp. 45–52.