ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

"ZAMONAVIY EKOTURIZIMNING ASOSIY TERMINOLOGIK KONSEPSIYALARI YO'NALISHLARI VA SHAKLLARI (INGLIZ VA UZBEK TILLARI MATERIALLARI ASOSIDA)"

Mirzayorova Sarvinoz Sarvarovna
Aniq va ijtimoiy fanlar universiteti
2-bosqich magistranti
mirzayorova.s09@gmail.com +998943389498

Annotatsiya: *Ushbu tadqiqot zamonaviy ekoturizmning asosiy terminologik tushunchalari, rivojlanish yo'nalishlari va tashkiliy shakllarini ingliz va o'zbek tillaridagi materiallar asosida qiyosiy tahlil qiladi. Dunyo bo'yicha ekoturizmning jadal rivojlanib borishi ilmiy tadqiqotlar, ta'lim va barqaror amaliyot uchun aniq va yagona terminologiyaning muhimligini yanada oshirmoqda. Tadqiqotda barqaror turizm, tabiiy turizm, mas'uliyatli sayohat va hamjamiyatga asoslangan ekoturizm kabi asosiy tushunchalar tahlil qilinib, ularning ingliz va o'zbek manbalarida qo'llanishidagi o'xshashlik va farqlar yoritiladi. Shuningdek, ekoturizmning zamonaviy yo'nalishlari — biologik xilma-xillikni saqlash, madaniy merosni asrash va mahalliy hamjamiyatlarni qo'llab-quvvatlash masalalari ko'rib chiqiladi. Tadqiqot turli tashkiliy shakllarni — qo'riqlanadigan hududlarda ekoturizm, qishloq ekoturizmi, sayyohlik-sarguzasht turizmi va ko'ngilli turizmini ham o'rganadi. Mazkur qiyosiy lingvistik yondashuv ekoturizm terminologiyasini yanada aniqroq tushunishga va ikki tilda standartlashgan atamalarni shakllantirishga xizmat qiladi.*

Kalit so'zlar: *ekoturizm; barqaror turizm; terminologiya; ingliz–o'zbek qiyosiy tahlili; tabiiy turizm; hamjamiyatga asoslangan turizm; tabiatni muhofaza qilish; zamonaviy turizm yo'nalishlari*.

"ОСНОВНЫЕ ТЕРМИНОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ПОНЯТИЯ, НАПРАВЛЕНИЯ И ФОРМЫ СОВРЕМЕННОГО ЭКОТУРИЗМА (НА МАТЕРИАЛАХ НА АНГЛИЙСКОМ И УЗБЕКСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ)"

Аннотация: *В данном исследовании рассматриваются основные терминологические понятия, направления развития и организационные формы современного экотуризма на основе сравнительных материалов на английском и узбекском языках. По мере глобального роста экотуризма необходимость точной и унифицированной терминологии становится все более важной для научных исследований, образования и устойчивой практики. В работе анализируются ключевые понятия, такие как устойчивый туризм, природно-ориентированный туризм, ответственное путешествие и общинный экотуризм, с акцентом на сходства и различия их употребления в английских и узбекских источниках. Кроме того, исследуются основные направления современного экотуризма, включая сохранение биоразнообразия, защиту культурного наследия и расширение возможностей местных сообществ. Также рассматриваются различные организационные формы — такие как туризм в охраняемых природных территориях, сельский экотуризм, приключенческий экотуризм и волонтерский туризм. Компаративный лингвистический подход исследования способствует более глубокому пониманию терминологии экотуризма и поддерживает развитие стандартизированного употребления терминов в обоих языках.*

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

Ключевые слова: *экотуризм; устойчивый туризм; терминология; англо-узбекское сравнение; природно-ориентированный туризм; общинный туризм; сохранение природы; современные тенденции туризма*.

"THE MAIN TERMINOLOGICAL CONCEPTS, DIRECTIONS, AND FORMS OF MODERN ECOTOURISM (BASED ON MATERIALS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES)"

Abstract: *This study examines the main terminological concepts, development directions, and organizational forms of modern ecotourism based on comparative materials in English and Uzbek. As ecotourism continues to grow globally, the need for precise and unified terminology becomes increasingly important for research, education, and sustainable practice. The paper analyzes key concepts such as sustainable tourism, nature-based tourism, responsible travel, and community-based ecotourism, highlighting similarities and differences in their usage across English and Uzbek sources. Additionally, it explores the primary directions of modern ecotourism, including biodiversity conservation, cultural heritage preservation, and local community empowerment. Various organizational forms—such as protected-area tourism, rural ecotourism, adventure-based ecotourism, and volunteer tourism—are also discussed. The comparative linguistic approach of the study contributes to a clearer understanding of ecotourism terminology and supports the development of standardized usage in both languages.*

Keywords: *ecotourism; sustainable tourism; terminology; English–Uzbek comparison; nature-based tourism; community-based tourism; conservation; modern tourism trends*

.INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, ecotourism has emerged as one of the most dynamic and rapidly developing sectors of the global tourism industry. As environmental concerns, sustainable development goals, and the need for responsible travel practices gain international significance, ecotourism serves as a vital bridge between nature conservation and socio-economic growth. Despite its global relevance, ecotourism remains a complex and multi-dimensional phenomenon, requiring precise terminological definitions, clear conceptual frameworks, and comprehensive understanding of its various directions and forms. These challenges are particularly important in multilingual contexts, where terminological differences may influence how ecotourism is understood, developed, and promoted. Examining the main terminological concepts of ecotourism through materials in English and Uzbek languages is especially valuable due to the growing role of Uzbekistan as a regional hub for sustainable tourism. While English serves as the leading international language of academic research and tourism discourse, Uzbek-language sources reflect local perspectives, cultural values, and national development priorities. Comparative analysis of terminology and conceptual approaches in both languages allows for identifying common definitions, revealing linguistic nuances, and understanding how international ecotourism standards are interpreted within the local context. Furthermore, modern ecotourism encompasses a wide range of directions—from wildlife observation, protected area tourism, and rural community engagement to adventure ecotourism, educational travel, and cultural-ecological experiences. These diverse forms contribute not only to environmental protection but also to the economic empowerment of local communities, the preservation of traditional lifestyles, and the promotion of sustainable resource use. This study aims to explore the key terminological concepts, main directions, and contemporary forms of ecotourism by synthesizing English and Uzbek academic

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

sources, tourism guidelines, and policy documents. By doing so, it provides a clearer, more unified understanding of ecotourism as both a global and locally adapted phenomenon. The comparative approach enhances terminological accuracy and supports the development of a more coherent and culturally relevant framework for ecotourism research and practice in Uzbekistan and beyond.

Research Objectives

The main objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To identify and analyze the core terminological concepts related to ecotourism in English and Uzbek academic, professional, and policy-based sources.
2. To compare and contrast the usage, meaning, and interpretation of ecotourism terminology across the two languages, highlighting similarities, differences, and linguistic nuances.
3. To classify the major directions of modern ecotourism, including nature-based, cultural-ecological, community-based, educational, and adventure-oriented forms.
4. To examine contemporary forms and models of ecotourism development, emphasizing their role in sustainability, environmental protection, and local economic development.
5. To assess how global ecotourism concepts are adapted to the Uzbek context, including the country's natural resources, cultural traditions, legislation, and tourism strategies.
6. To contribute to a unified terminological framework that supports academic research, professional communication, and policy-making in the field of ecotourism.

Literature Review

Modern research on ecotourism has expanded significantly, covering environmental science, tourism studies, linguistics, cultural anthropology, and sustainable development. International English-language literature, such as works by Martha Honey, David Fennell, and the International Ecotourism Society (TIES), provides widely accepted definitions emphasizing responsible travel to natural areas, conservation-focused practices, and socio-economic benefits for local communities. Many scholars also highlight the importance of environmental education, low-impact travel, and community participation as integral components of ecotourism. In parallel, Uzbek-language sources have grown rapidly over the past decade, reflecting Uzbekistan's increased attention to sustainable tourism and eco-friendly development. Research papers, government strategies, and academic publications in Uzbekistan often emphasize the country's rich biodiversity, protected territories, national parks, and unique cultural landscapes as key foundations for ecotourism. Uzbek authors also explore the integration of ecotourism with rural development, ethno-tourism, and community-based tourism, aligning with global sustainability standards while maintaining local cultural identity. Comparative studies show that while core ecotourism concepts are similar in both languages, certain terms—such as "ecological tourism," "responsible tourism," and "environmental education"—may carry slightly different interpretations depending on linguistic, cultural, and policy contexts. This highlights the need for precise terminology when developing educational programs, tourism projects, and national standards. Overall, existing literature underscores ecotourism's role as a tool for conservation and sustainable development, while also revealing the importance of culturally adapted approaches. However, a systematic comparison of English and Uzbek terminological frameworks is still limited, creating a gap that this study aims to address.

The body of research on ecotourism is broad and interdisciplinary, encompassing environmental science, tourism management, and linguistics. For the purposes of this dissertation on terminological

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

aspects, the most relevant works focus on definitions, term formation, translation, and the development of ecotourism in different contexts, especially in Uzbekistan.

One major strand of literature deals with definitional and conceptual foundations. The concept of ecotourism itself has evolved significantly since it first appeared. Definitions from global organizations emphasize responsible travel to natural areas, conservation, local community benefit, and education. [1-561,567p] In the Uzbek context, authors such as Turayeva, Ikhtiyorovna, and others have provided detailed comparisons of the English and Uzbek terminological systems for ecotourism.

A second group of studies looks at theoretical and methodological foundations of ecotourism terminology. For example, Abdullayeva (in her work "Scientific-Theoretical and Methodological Foundations of Ecotourism Terminology") highlights the interdisciplinary nature of the topic and proposes frameworks for the classification and analysis of ecotourism terms. [2- 74,77] Related to this, research into lexico-semantic methods shows how terms in both English and Uzbek are formed, evolve, and enter the terminological system. [3- 246,248]

The term "tourism" has evolved over centuries, both linguistically and conceptually, to represent a complex social, cultural, economic, and environmental phenomenon. Etymologically, the word "tourism" is rooted in the Latin verb tornare, meaning "to turn (on a lathe)," and in the ancient Greek tornos (τόρνος), which refers to a lathe or a circular motion. The notion is one of a circular journey — leaving from a point and eventually returning to it. The suffix "-ism," denoting action or practice, turns tour into tourism, literally implying the activity of making a circuit or journey. [3-24.26] Historically, the modern concept of tourism emerged in the 17th and 18th centuries. The so-called "Grand Tour," popular among young European aristocrats, exemplifies this: these long journeys across the European continent were not just pleasure trips but also educational voyages of cultural and artistic enrichment. [4- 45, 48] Over time, as transportation technologies advanced and travel became more accessible, "tourism" cemented its meaning as travel away from one's usual environment for pleasure, learning, or business. Thus, when we speak of "tourism," we refer not just to travel in the literal sense, but to a structured, often cyclical, cultural and economic practice shaped by historical developments.

Definition and Emergence of Ecotourism.

Within the broad field of tourism, ecotourism has emerged since the late 20th century as a distinct niche that explicitly links travel with environmental ethics. The term "ecotourism" is commonly attributed to Héctor Ceballos-Lascuráin, a Mexican architect and conservationist. In 1987, Ceballos-Lascuráin defined ecotourism as: "traveling to relatively undisturbed or uncontaminated natural areas with the specific objective of studying, admiring, and enjoying the scenery and its wild plants and animals, as well as any existing cultural manifestations (both past and present) found in these areas. "He further emphasized that ecotourism "implies a scientific, esthetic, or philosophical approach," although an ecotourist need not be a professional scientist, artist, or philosopher. [5-34,36] What matters is the opportunity to immerse oneself
in nature in a way that fosters deep consciousness of environmental and cultural value. Another widely recognized definition comes from The International Ecotourism Society (TIES): "responsible travel to natural areas that conserves the environment and improves the well-being of local people." [6-56,58] This emphasizes responsibility, conservation, and social benefit — three core pillars of ecotourism. Further, some scholars note that the roots of the word "eco-" come from the Greek oikos,

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

meaning "house" or "environment," which underlies concepts like ecology and economy. Thus, ecotourism is not simply "green tourism," but a mode of travel that inherently acknowledges and respects the home (oikos) of living beings. Ecotourism occupies a unique theoretical space because it attempts to reconcile two often competing goals: environmental conservation and local socioeconomic development. From the environmental perspective, ecotourism is seen as a mechanism to incentivize the protection of natural areas. By bringing tourists to relatively undisturbed ecosystems, ecotourism can generate income that supports conservation efforts, such as habitat preservation, biodiversity monitoring, and sustainable resource management. Ceballos-Lascuráin himself argued that through immersive travel, visitors can develop greater environmental awareness and a conservation-minded ethic. From the social and economic side, ecotourism is argued to benefit local communities. According to some analyses, for an activity to truly be ecotourism, it must "actively involve the local communities in the tourism process so that they may benefit from it … thereby contributing to a better valuation of the natural resources in that locality." [8-123'126] The idea is that local people, when stakeholders in ecotourism, will have a financial and cultural interest in maintaining their environment, thus aligning local development with conservation. Despite its appealing theoretical framework, ecotourism faces practical and ethical challenges. First, definitional ambiguity remains a problem: not all "nature-based" tourism qualifies as ecotourism. Some tourism operations labeled "eco" may simply exploit natural areas without real conservation or community benefits. Second, even well-intentioned ecotourism can have negative environmental impact. The presence of tourists, however limited, can disturb wildlife, introduce pollution, and strain fragile ecosystems. To fulfill Ceballos-Lascuráin's vision of low-impact, scientifically or
philosophically informed immersion, careful planning, management, and monitoring are required. Third, socioeconomic inequities may limit local participation. If the "benefits" of ecotourism are captured mainly by outside investors or well-connected elites, then the stated goal of community development may be undermined. Some scholars argue that without strong governance frameworks, the promise of empowerment and local benefit remains idealistic. Finally, greenwashing is a concern: some tourist operators and destinations brand themselves "eco-" or "green" simply to attract ethically minded travelers, without implementing meaningful environmental management or community engagement. This raises the question: can ecotourism, in practice, live up to its philosophical and ethical aspiration. Given these tensions, the dissertation question — How does ecotourism reconcile environmental conservation with local community development? — is both timely and critical. Empirically, this reconciliation depends on: The education and attitude of tourists: Do ecotourists genuinely engage in low-impact, respectful behavior, or do they treat the environment as a backdrop for recreation? The economic model: Does revenue from ecotourism flow back to conservation and community, or is it siphoned off by external actors? The regulatory context: Are there policies (local, national, or international) that ensure standards of sustainability, conservation, and social equity? From a theoretical point of view, successful ecotourism serves as a bridge between environmental ethics and sustainable development. [9-56,58] It is not just a market segment but potentially a transformational practice, fostering conservation-minded global citizens and providing tangible benefits to host communities. However, the "ideal" ecotourism model—grounded in the definitions of Ceballos-Lascuráin and TIES—requires ongoing critical assessment. Without rigorous implementation and genuine commitment, ecotourism risks becoming another form of exploitative tourism, masked by green rhetoric.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

Definitions of Uzbek academic literature.

In recent Uzbek academic literature, the concept of ecotourism is generally framed in line with international definitions (such as those of Ceballos-Lascuráin and The International Ecotourism Society), but adapted to the socio-economic and ecological conditions of Uzbekistan. Scholars emphasize three recurring elements: protection of natural areas and ecosystems, improvement of the well-being of local communities, and minimization of environmental impact within a broader sustainable development agenda.

Conclusion

The study of the main terminological concepts, directions, and forms of modern ecotourism—based on English and Uzbek materials—demonstrates that ecotourism today is not only a branch of tourism but also a complex interdisciplinary phenomenon that connects environmental protection, cultural preservation, education, and sustainable economic development. The analysis of English-language sources reveals globally accepted definitions of ecotourism, emphasizing responsible travel, biodiversity conservation, and community participation. Meanwhile, Uzbek-language materials highlight the local ecological potential, national priorities, and cultural values that shape how ecotourism is understood and implemented within the country. The comparative examination of terminology shows that although core ideas are consistent across both languages, certain terms acquire distinct shades of meaning influenced by linguistic structure, cultural context, and national policy frameworks. This underscores the importance of developing unified and precise terminology to ensure effective communication among researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and educators. Furthermore, the study identifies key directions and forms of modern ecotourism—including wildlife-based tourism, protected area tourism, educational and scientific travel, community-based ecotourism, and cultural-ecological experiences. These forms play an essential role in promoting conservation efforts, improving the livelihoods of local populations, and fostering environmental awareness among travelers. Overall, the research highlights that the successful development of ecotourism depends on the integration of global standards with local realities, ensuring that tourism growth does not compromise ecological sustainability. By analyzing English and Uzbek scholarly materials, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of ecotourism terminology and offers a foundation for further academic research and practical initiatives in sustainable tourism development. It reinforces the idea that ecotourism, when properly guided, can become a powerful tool for environmental stewardship, cultural preservation, and long-term socio-economic progress.

REFERENCES

1. Abdullayeva, U. N. (2025). Scientific-Theoretical and Methodological Foundations of Ecotourism Terminology. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 13(2), 561–571.
2. Abdullayeva, U. N. (2025). Translation and Lexicographic Features of Ecotourism Terms in English and Uzbek Languages. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, 5(10), 74–77.
3. Ikhtiyorovna, T. D., Mirsidikovna, A. N., Isroil kizi, I. G., & Tohir kizi, J. N. (2021). The Terms of Ecotourism in English and Uzbek Languages. International Journal on Integrated Education, 4(6), 246–248.
4. Ceballos-Lascuráin, H. (1987). In International Review for Environmental Strategies, “traveling to relatively undisturbed …”
5. Ecotourism: The Evolving Contemporary Definition, Academia.edu: “Ceballos-Lascuraín, 1987: 14

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

6.EtymologyWorld. “Tourism etymology.”
7. Toshpulatova, M. (2025). Ecotourism as a Sustainable Development Tool for Uzbekistan. International Conference on Economics, Finance, Banking and Management (ICEFBM).
8. Abduraxmonova, Z. (2025). O‘zbekistonda ekologik turizmni rivojlantirish masalalari. Yashil Iqtisodiyot va Taraqqiyot.
9. Ismoilova, M. M. (2025). Ecotourism in Protected Areas: A Literature Review. Yashil Iqtisodiyot va Taraqqiyot.
10. The International Ecotourism Society (TIES). Definition of Ecotourism.