ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF SPEAKING IN SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

Azizova Makharam Rashitovna
Senior Lecturer, Department of Languages and Humanities,
Andijan State Technical Institute

Abstract: This article examines the central role of speaking in second language learning as a complex, dynamic skill that integrates linguistic, pragmatic, cognitive and affective components. Drawing on contemporary proficiency frameworks and empirical research, the paper argues that speaking is not only a communicative outcome but also a key process through which learners construct meaning, develop self-regulation and participate in academic and professional communities. The analysis highlights the importance of systematic, pedagogically grounded instruction that provides opportunities for authentic interaction, strategic support and reflective practice.

Keywords: speaking skills; language acquisition; oral communication; communicative competence; second language pedagogy.

IKKINCHI TILNI O‘RGANISHDA OG‘ZAKI NUTQNING AHAMIYATI

Azizova Maxaram Rashitovna
“Tillar va gumanitar fanlar” kafedrasi katta o‘qituvchisi,
Andijon davlat texnika instituti

Annotatsiya: Ushbu maqolada ikkinchi tilni o‘rganishda og‘zaki nutqning markaziy o‘rni lingvistik, pragmatik, kognitiv va affektiv komponentlarni o‘zida mujassam etgan murakkab va dinamik ko‘nikma sifatida tahlil qilinadi. Zamonaviy malaka darajalari tizimlari va empirik tadqiqotlarga tayangan holda, maqola og‘zaki nutq nafaqat kommunikativ natija, balki o‘quvchilar mazmun yaratadigan, o‘z-o‘zini boshqarishni rivojlantiradigan va akademik hamda kasbiy hamjamiyatlarda faol ishtirok etadigan asosiy jarayon ekanini ta’kidlaydi. Tahlil haqiqiy muloqot, strategik yordam va reflektiv amaliyotga imkon beradigan tizimli, metodik jihatdan asoslangan ta’limning ahamiyatini ko‘rsatadi.

Kalit so‘zlar: og‘zaki nutq ko‘nikmalari; tilni o‘zlashtirish; og‘zaki kommunikatsiya; kommunikativ kompetensiya; ikkinchi tilni o‘qitish metodikasi.

ЗНАЧЕНИЕ НАВЫКА ГОВОРЕНИЯ В ИЗУЧЕНИИ ВТОРОГО ЯЗЫКА

Азизова Махарам Рашитовна
Старший преподаватель кафедры “Языков и гуманитарных наук”,
Андижанский государственный технический институт

Аннотация: В статье рассматривается ключевая роль навыка говорения в процессе освоения второго языка как сложного и динамичного умения, интегрирующего лингвистические, прагматические, когнитивные и аффективные компоненты. Опираясь на современные уровневые модели и эмпирические исследования, автор подчёркивает, что говорение является не только коммуникативным результатом, но и важнейшим процессом, посредством которого учащиеся конструируют смысл, развивают саморегуляцию и участвуют в академических и профессиональных сообществах. Анализ демонстрирует значимость системного, методически

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

обоснованного обучения, обеспечивающего условия для аутентичного взаимодействия, стратегической поддержки и рефлексивной практики.

Ключевые слова: навыки говорения; освоение языка; устная коммуникация; коммуникативная компетентность; методика обучения второму языку.

Spoken language plays a foundational role in second language learning because it is the primary medium through which social interaction, knowledge construction and identity negotiation take place in educational and professional contexts. In contrast to the relative permanence and editability of written language, speaking requires learners to process input, plan output and monitor their performance in real time, often under conditions of time pressure and high affective load. As Bygate notes, there is an important difference between knowledge of the language system and the ability to use that knowledge in spontaneous interaction, and it is precisely this ability that makes speaking such a complex and, historically, undervalued skill in language education [1]. When speaking is treated merely as an add-on to grammar or reading instruction, learners may accumulate declarative knowledge yet remain unable to participate effectively in basic conversations, let alone in the more demanding oral genres required at university or in the workplace.

From the perspective of contemporary proficiency frameworks, speaking is no longer seen as a simple matter of producing grammatically correct sentences but as a repertoire of communicative activities that integrate linguistic, pragmatic and strategic competences. The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) describes oral production and interaction across six reference levels (A1–C2) and provides detailed “can-do” descriptors for tasks such as sustaining a conversation, negotiating meaning, or giving a public presentation[2]. These descriptors conceptualize speaking as purposeful action in specific social situations, emphasizing both the co-construction of meaning with interlocutors and the mediation of texts, ideas and viewpoints. In this view, the significance of speaking lies not only in its communicative function but also in its role as a vehicle for participation in academic, civic and professional communities.

Research on the nature of spoken language shows that speaking involves more than the accurate retrieval of vocabulary and grammar; it requires the orchestration of multiple subskills, including discourse organization, turn-taking, management of breakdowns, and sensitivity to sociocultural norms. Bygate’s work highlights that proficient speakers must be able to adapt their language to different interactional demands, drawing on strategies such as ellipsis, formulaic expressions and real-time reformulation to maintain fluency under time pressure [1]. These insights underscore why learners who perform well on written tests may still struggle in face-to-face communication: speaking competence is built through repeated engagement in authentic or simulated oral tasks that mirror the unpredictability and reciprocal nature of real interaction.

Recent empirical studies confirm that learners themselves perceive speaking as the most salient and challenging dimension of their language learning experience. Survey-based research in various contexts reports that students frequently prioritise the ability to speak over other skills, associating it with future mobility, employability and social integration [5]. At the same time, learners report anxiety, lack of confidence and fear of negative evaluation in oral tasks, which can inhibit participation and slow down development of fluency. These findings highlight a paradox at the heart of second language education: speaking is both the most desired and the most anxiety-provoking skill, and its development cannot be left to incidental practice at the end of a lesson.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

In response to this challenge, contemporary pedagogy has moved toward holistic models of teaching speaking that integrate cognitive, affective and social dimensions of learning. Goh and Burns conceptualize speaking instruction as a cycle that includes raising learners' awareness of spoken discourse, providing rich input and planning time, engaging in communicative tasks, focusing on language features, repeating tasks with new goals, and guiding reflection on performance [3]. Within such a framework, speaking is not reduced to isolated performance tests but becomes a process of gradual skill building, supported by metacognitive strategies such as goal-setting, self-monitoring and evaluation of interactional success. The significance of speaking here is twofold: it is an outcome to be measured and a central process through which learners develop self-regulation and communicative confidence.

Another powerful argument for the centrality of speaking in language curricula comes from course design research that emphasizes the need for substantial "meaning-focused output". Nation and Newton propose that well-balanced programs should devote a significant proportion of classroom time to activities where learners use the language to convey messages, negotiate meaning and collaborate on tasks [4]. In their four-strands model, speaking is indispensable for at least two strands: meaning-focused output and fluency development. In such activities learners are pushed to retrieve and recombine language quickly, approximate target forms, employ communication strategies and gradually increase the complexity of their spoken discourse. Without sustained opportunities for oral production, the other strands—meaning-focused input and language-focused learning—are unlikely to result in functional communicative competence.

Furthermore, speaking plays a crucial mediating role in integrated-skill pedagogy. Oral interaction frequently serves as a bridge between receptive skills (listening and reading) and written production, allowing learners to process and transform information, clarify understanding, and experiment with new language in a relatively low-stakes environment before committing it to written form. In content-based and task-based instruction, small-group discussions, problem-solving tasks and project presentations provide spaces where learners jointly construct disciplinary knowledge and practice the discourse conventions of specific fields. This is particularly important in technical and vocational education, where students must learn to discuss procedures, justify decisions and explain processes in the target language, not simply memorize terminology. In such contexts, speaking underpins the development of both professional identity and domain-specific expertise.

The affective and motivational significance of speaking should also not be underestimated. Successful participation in oral tasks can become a powerful source of self-efficacy, reinforcing learners' belief that they can function in the target language outside the classroom. Conversely, repeated experiences of communicative breakdown or public failure may strengthen negative self-images and increase avoidance behavior. Holistic approaches therefore stress the importance of creating psychologically safe speaking environments, where errors are treated as natural stages of development and where support is provided through scaffolding, pair and group work, and explicit training in interactional strategies [3]. In such environments, speaking is not merely a test of ability but a means of building a positive, agentive relationship with the language.

Finally, the institutional prominence of speaking is reflected in assessment practices at both national and international levels. High-stakes examinations increasingly include oral components that align with CEFR-like descriptors of interactional competence, collaborative problem-solving and mediation. This trend signals a broader shift away from viewing language proficiency as primarily

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-11

written and individual towards recognizing its inherently interactive and multimodal nature. For teachers, this shift implies that speaking cannot be treated as a peripheral skill; instead, it must be systematically planned, scaffolded and evaluated throughout the curriculum, with clear progression from simple transactional exchanges to complex, extended discourse.

In sum, speaking occupies a pivotal position in second language learning because it is the modality through which learners most visibly enact their communicative competence, participate in academic and professional communities, and construct their identities as legitimate users of the target language. Theoretical models, empirical research and contemporary proficiency frameworks converge in portraying speaking as a dynamic, context-sensitive skill that integrates linguistic, pragmatic, strategic and affective components. For these reasons, the significance of speaking goes far beyond the ability to "say sentences correctly": it lies in enabling learners to act, interact and belong in a second language.

References

1. Bygate, M. (1987). *Speaking*. Oxford University Press.
2. Council of Europe. (2020). *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment – Companion Volume*.
3. Goh, C., & Burns, A. (2012). *Teaching Speaking: A Holistic Approach*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Nation, I. S. P., & Newton, J. (2009). *Teaching ESL/EFL Listening and Speaking*. Routledge.

Hua, Z. (2024). Learners' perceptions of speaking proficiency in multilingual contexts. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 45(2), 134–149.