ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-9

STRATEGIC INNOVATION CAPABILITIES IN EXPORT-ORIENTED SUPPLY CHAINS: A MULTI-LEVEL MANAGEMENT APPROACH FOR TRANSITIONAL ECONOMIES

Mukhammadyaminova Shakhzoda
First-year Doctoral Student of
Tashkent State University of Economics
ORCID: 0000-0002-9547-3892

ABSTRACT

In an era of global volatility and accelerating technological change, export-oriented enterprises (EOEs) in transitional economies face mounting pressure to innovate systemically. This article proposes a multi-level analytical framework that integrates the Resource-Based View, Network Theory, and the Triple Helix model to conceptualise innovation capabilities within EOEs' supply chains. Using embedded case illustrations from Uzbekistan, three capability clusters are identified: internal operational routines, inter-firm agility, and institutional integration. A tailored digital maturity scale adapted from McKinsey is applied to assess EOEs' readiness for innovation-driven growth. Empirical data show that most Uzbek firms operate at intermediate levels of digital integration , and achieve the strongest results when digital tools are reinforced through learning routines, cross-functional collaboration, and policy alignment.

Keywords: innovation capability, export-oriented enterprises, digital maturity, transitional economy, supply chain innovation, Uzbekistan, Triple Helix, Network Theory

Export-oriented enterprises in transitional economies operate within environments that are often characterised by limited infrastructure, institutional fluidity, and fragmented innovation ecosystems [1]. These conditions create a paradox: while firms must compete in increasingly complex global value chains, they often lack the capabilities to innovate beyond process optimisation.

Uzbekistan represents a compelling case. Despite structural reforms and digitisation initiatives such as the "Digital Uzbekistan 2030" programme, EOEs in key sectors such as textiles, logistics, and agro-processing still face low levels of systemic integration and knowledge transfer[1][2].

To explore the strategic dynamics shaping innovation in transitional supply chains, this paper formulates a multi-tiered conceptual model tailored to the economic and institutional context of Uzbekistan. The framework is designed to address two core research questions: (1) Which categories of innovation capabilities are essential for export-oriented enterprises (EOEs) operating under volatile international market conditions? (2) In what ways do institutional factors in transitional economies influence the development, deployment, and scaling of such capabilities?

The study draws on three theoretical pillars: the Resource-Based View (RBV), Network Theory, and the Triple Helix model. The RBV focuses on internal resources—such as enterprise software systems, experiential know-how, and human capital—as foundations for sustained competitive advantage, particularly when these assets are embedded in organisational routines [3]. Network Theory contributes a meso-level lens, emphasising the strategic importance of inter-organisational relationships, including supplier alliances and logistics partnerships, in fostering innovation through information flow and joint problem-solving[4][5]. At the macro level, the Triple Helix model captures the dynamic interactions between government, industry, and academia that jointly shape the innovation landscape, particularly in environments marked by institutional transition and policy reform [6].

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-9

A qualitative, multiple case study approach was employed. Data were collected through reports from multilateral organisations (ADB, OECD, UNDP), industry publications, and case materials provided by IT Park Uzbekistan. Three EOEs were selected for detailed analysis: GERMES TEKS (textile sector), TransAsia Logistics (freight and supply chain), and UzAgroExport (agro-processing). Cases were chosen based on digital tool adoption, process transformation, and measurable export outcomes. GERMES TEKS achieved over 20% reduction in export lead times after implementing ERP systems and standardising workflows, supported by public innovation funding . TransAsia Logistics reduced border delays by 18% by integrating IoT-based fleet tracking with customs dashboards, enhancing both visibility and compliance [7]. UzAgroExport expanded to two new international markets after aligning its traceability protocols with national phytosanitary certification platforms[8].

A five-level digital maturity model, adapted from McKinsey, was used to benchmark EOEs' readiness [9]:

- Manual operations;
- Basic digital tools (e.g., Excel, local e-docs);
- Modular integration (e.g., CRM, partial ERP);
- End-to-end digital integration (ERP + SCM + dashboards);
- Predictive innovation (AI, simulation tools).

According to IT Park and UNDP, over 60% of EOEs in Uzbekistan remain at Levels 2–3. Barriers include low digital literacy, lack of skilled personnel, and weak coordination between enterprise needs and government support mechanisms [10].

The results affirm that innovation emerges through a layered interaction of internal capabilities, external collaboration, and institutional alignment. RBV provides explanatory power for how digital tools translate into competitive advantage when embedded in routines [11]. The role of inter-organisational agility—demonstrated by TransAsia—supports Network Theory's assertion that trust and information flow enhance adaptive performance [6]. The Triple Helix model underscores that public innovation ecosystems (like IT Park) can provide the foundation for scaling innovations—though in practice, such alignment remains partial [12].

Uzbekistan's progress in developing a digital economy is promising but uneven. While IT services exports reached $280 million in 2023, most EOEs outside of IT operate below full integration[1]. A coordinated focus on blended finance, workforce development, and interagency cooperation is required to close this maturity gap.

This study presents a comprehensive framework designed to assess and enhance innovation capabilities specifically in Emerging Organizational Environments (EOEs), with a particular focus on transitional economies. By combining elements from the Resource-Based View (RBV), Network Theory, and the Triple Helix model, the framework provides valuable diagnostic tools and strategic insights that can significantly benefit stakeholders.

For businesses, the introduction of a digital maturity scale serves as a practical and actionable tool that allows firms to benchmark their current capabilities and prioritize future investments effectively. This thoughtful approach enables organizations to identify areas for improvement and to allocate resources in a manner that drives innovation forward.

For policymakers, the study underscores the necessity for synchronized actions, emphasizing that infrastructure development must not operate in isolation. Rather, it should be accompanied by robust

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-9

capacity-building initiatives and tailored policy incentives that collectively foster an environment conducive to innovation and growth.

Looking ahead, the findings suggest avenues for future research that could involve applying this comprehensive framework to other economies or conducting firm-level surveys and longitudinal analyses. Such investigations could further illuminate the dynamics of innovation across different contexts, enriching our understanding of how to cultivate a thriving ecosystem for innovation in diverse settings.

REFERENCES:

1. United Nations Development Programme. (2023). *Uzbekistan digital economy assessment*. https://www.undp.org
2. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Greening global value chains: Uzbekistan case study*. https://www.oecd.org
3. Teece D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of sustainable enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal, 28*(13), 1319–1350. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640
4. Ayoub H. F., & Abdallah, A. B. (2019). The impact of supply chain collaboration on performance in SMEs. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, 4*(2), 85–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2017.03.002
5. Gulati R. (1998). Alliances and networks. *Strategic Management Journal, 19*(4), 293–317. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199804)
6. Etzkowitz H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: From national systems and "Mode 2" to a triple helix of university–industry–government relations. *Research Policy, 29*(2), 109–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00055-4
7. Asian Development Bank. (2023). *Uzbekistan: Trade logistics assessment*.
8. Food and Agriculture Organization. (2022). *Phytosanitary capacity evaluation in Central Asia*. https://www.fao.org
9. McKinsey & Company. (2022). *Digital transformation in manufacturing: Pathways for emerging markets*. https://www.mckinsey.com
10. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2023). *World investment report: Investing in sustainable value chains*. https://unctad.org
11. Teece D. J. (2014). The foundations of enterprise performance: Dynamic and ordinary capabilities in an (economic) theory of firms. *Academy of Management Perspectives, 28*(4), 328–352. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2013.0116
12. World Bank. (2024). *Uzbekistan country development overview*. https://www.worldbank.org
13. Barney J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108
14. IT Park Uzbekistan. (2024). *Annual innovation report*. https://it-park.uz