ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-8

GRAMMATICAL TRANSFER AND INTERFERENCE PROCESSES IN THE UZBEK LANGUAGE

Qodirova Dilfuza G‘opirjon qizi
PhD Student, Namangan State University
Email: qodirovadilfuza3313@gmail.com| Phone: +998 99 322 33 13

Abstract. This article presents a systematic analysis of the processes of grammatical transfer and interference emerging as a result of linguistic contact between Uzbek and other languages. Language contact, particularly with English and Russian, has triggered notable grammatical changes in contemporary Uzbek. The paper examines various types of interference occurring at phonetic, morphological, syntactic, and lexical-semantic levels, supported by real-life examples. Bilingualism, code-switching, and structural transfer of language units are identified as the main mechanisms of interference, while the social and psychological factors behind these processes are also explored. Based on the findings, the study evaluates the evolving grammatical borrowings and normative shifts in modern Uzbek speech from a scientific perspective.

Key words: grammatical interference, language transfer, bilingualism, code-switching, grammatical borrowing, lexical-semantic shift, language contact, loanwords, syntactic distortion.

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary era, processes such as globalization, the intensification of transnational communication (transnational communication refers to language and cultural exchange that crosses borders through the internet, migration, trade, diplomacy, education, and other fields), the advancement of information technologies, and the spread of multilingualism have significantly expanded the scope of interlingual influence. In particular, the active role of English and Russian as global means of communication has had a noticeable impact on various levels of the Uzbek language – phonetic, lexical, grammatical, and stylistic. Within this context, the phenomena of grammatical transfer and interference are gaining special significance.

Grammatical transfer refers to the process by which linguistic units borrowed from a foreign language undergo grammatical adaptation, structural transformation, and integration into specific communicative contexts within the native language. Grammatical interference, on the other hand, is a linguistic phenomenon that arises from the collision of different language systems, often resulting in deviation from grammatical norms, structural disruption, or the emergence of artificial constructions. These two processes are closely interconnected: they typically begin with lexical borrowing and gradually penetrate the morphological and syntactic structures of the language.

This article examines the theoretical foundations, practical manifestations, and communicative implications of grammatical transfer and interference in the Uzbek language. The primary focus is on grammatical units borrowed from English and Russian, their adaptation into Uzbek, and the observable shifts in grammatical patterns across formal texts, social media, youth discourse, and mass communication platforms.

The relevance of this study lies in the fact that grammatical transfer and interference represent one of the most dynamic and significant aspects of contemporary Uzbek language development. Therefore, this article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of these phenomena from a scholarly perspective, exploring their distinctions, interrelation, modes of manifestation in speech, and their

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-8

influence on linguistic norms.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The issue of interference has been extensively studied in global linguistics and analyzed from various perspectives by numerous researchers. Uriel Weinreich was the first to introduce the concept of "interference" into scientific discourse, defining it as a deviation from linguistic norms in the speech of multilingual individuals. According to Weinreich, in language contact situations, elements of one language are transferred into another–not only at the lexical level but also structurally and grammatically. This process may occur consciously or unconsciously, influenced by social and psychological factors [7, p. 44].

Weinreich also emphasized that interference can affect all levels of language: phonetics, morphology, syntax, and semantics, each responding differently to language contact [7, p. 62].

American linguist Einar Haugen analyzed the sociological and communicative aspects of interference and introduced the concept of code-switching into linguistics. Haugen described code-switching as a process in which bilingual speakers incorporate elements from one language into their speech in another language. He considered this one of the key mechanisms of linguistic interference and viewed it as a dynamic process of language contact, leading to active change and adaptation within the language system [4, p. 44].

Sarah G. Thomason and Terrence Kaufman explained grammatical interference as the preservation of the source language's syntax in borrowed grammatical units. They argued that lexical borrowings often carry accompanying grammatical structures into the recipient language, and that the adaptation process frequently retains the syntactic and morphological forms of the source language [8, p. 37].

Don Winford analyzed grammatical interference as a process involving shifts and transfers within the language system. He viewed interference as a phenomenon that arises through borrowing or code-switching, encompassing not only lexical items but also direct transfers of grammatical structures and syntactic models. From this perspective, the grammatical patterns borrowed into Uzbek from English and Russian–such as word order, auxiliary verb constructions, and passive forms–can be interpreted through the mechanism of interference described by Winford [10, p. 29].

Bechert and Wildgen, instead of using the term "interference," proposed the concept of "Sprachmischung" (language mixing), interpreting language contact as a stage in the formation of a new language system. They argued that the process of interference stimulates internal structural changes in a language and enables us to view language as a dynamic and evolutionary process [1, p. 178].

Claudia Riehl highlighted the overly negative connotation of the term "interference" and suggested replacing it with the term "transfer." Nonetheless, in modern contact linguistics and contrastive studies, "interference" remains the dominant term [7, p. 22].

Heine and Kuteva studied language contact at both semantic and grammatical levels, noting that structures accompanying lexical borrowings introduce new conceptual and syntactic models into the language system. Their theory demonstrates that grammatical interference can arise not only through code-switching but also via the process of linguistic transfer [5, p. 88].

In Uzbek linguistics, interference is considered a relatively new concept. For instance, O.S. Akhmanova's Dictionary of Linguistic Terms does not include an entry or definition for this term. However, S.M. Lokshina's Concise Dictionary of Foreign Words includes the term and provides a brief explanation. It is noted that the term derives from the Latin components inter and ferens, meaning "mutual influence." Interference can be interpreted broadly or narrowly; in linguistics, its

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

linguistic explanation is considered most relevant.

Azim Hojiyev's Explanatory Dictionary of Linguistic Terms defines interference as follows: "Interference (Lat. inter – mutual + ferens – transfer) is the phenomenon of transferring features of the native language into the language being learned. This language is typically unrelated to the native language. For example, a speaker who thinks in Russian may express the sentence 'карандаш упал' as 'qalam yiqildi' in Uzbek." This definition illustrates that interference is a complex phenomenon with not only linguistic but also psychological and social dimensions [11, p. 45].

M.T. Zokirov, on the other hand, explains it as the involuntary transfer of language skills and habits ingrained in the native language into a second language being learned. He also notes that linguistic interference may appear in non-linguistic phenomena as well, adding a socio-psychological dimension to its characterization.

Additionally, scholars such as E.M. Akhunzyanov, I.A. Zimnyaya, A.A. Leontyev, N.M. Kurmanbaev, and A.A. Zalevska have studied interference as a psychological phenomenon, describing it as the transfer of skills and habits associated with one activity into the framework of another activity.

The literature reviewed above demonstrates that grammatical interference in the Uzbek language is not only of theoretical importance but is also a highly relevant issue in terms of practical language acquisition and the development of linguistic norms.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a combination of modern linguistic approaches and methods to identify, analyze, and describe the processes of grammatical transfer and interference in the Uzbek language, as shaped through contact with English, Russian, Arabic, and Persian. To ensure analytical depth and scholarly rigor, the research is grounded in the following methodological frameworks:

Descriptive analysis – for the systematic observation and characterization of linguistic phenomena as they naturally occur in speech and texts;

Contrastive analysis – to compare and highlight differences and similarities between Uzbek and the source languages involved in contact;

Structural-syntactic analysis – to examine how borrowed grammatical units affect or alter the syntactic framework of Uzbek;

Semantic-pragmatic analysis – to explore the meaning, usage, and contextual function of transferred or interfering structures;

Contact linguistics model – to provide a theoretical basis for understanding how language systems interact, overlap, and influence one another during sustained contact.

These methods have enabled a coherent, systematic, and scientifically grounded examination of grammatical transfer and interference in Uzbek. The integration of these approaches ensured both the reliability of the findings and the analytical depth of the study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Interference is a relatively new yet crucial concept in linguistics. It refers to a linguistic phenomenon that arises when two or more language systems come into contact. Uriel Weinreich defines interference as "deviations from linguistic norms in the speech of a bilingual individual"–that is, it occurs when elements of one language are transferred into another (Weinreich, 1953, p. 44). Interference may manifest across various linguistic layers, including phonetics, morphology, syntax, lexis, and stylistics.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-8

Borrowed words are lexical units from other languages that have undergone phonetic, morphological, and lexical adaptation in Uzbek. They emerge as a result of language contact and often act as a medium through which interference occurs.

While borrowings typically appear at the lexical level as individual words or phrases, interference is more likely to manifest at the structural and grammatical levels. Borrowed words serve as vehicles of interference: together with them, foreign grammatical and syntactic structures are introduced, contributing to systemic transformations in the recipient language.

For example, the English verb submit has entered Uzbek as a lexical borrowing. However, its use in passive constructions such as “submit qilindi” (instead of the correct native form topshirildi) illustrates interference. In such cases, the interplay between borrowing and interference exemplifies the complex mechanisms of language contact.

To analyze this scientifically, the following formula can be proposed:

$$I = f(B + C + T)$$

I – Interference

B – Bilingualism

C – Code-switching

T – Transfer (lexical or structural)

Let us examine an example from the Uzbek media (Gazeta.uz):

“Mitinglar to‘g‘risidagi qonun loyihasi tez kunlarda qaytadan jamoatchilik muhokamasiga qo‘yiladi.”

This sentence illustrates the formula as follows:

Bilingualism (B): The speaker is likely influenced by English or Russian due to exposure through media, education, or official communication. The word miting has entered Uzbek as a borrowing due to this bilingual environment.

Code-switching (C): The foreign-origin word miting is used in an otherwise Uzbek sentence, showing code-switching at the lexical level.

Transfer (T): The borrowed word miting is morphologically adapted (plural form with -lar, used in possessive-genitive structure with to‘g‘risidagi), demonstrating transfer at the syntactic and morphological levels.

Thus, the interference here is evident not only in the choice of lexical items but also in their morphological and syntactic adaptation within the Uzbek sentence structure. Although miting is now considered an accepted borrowing, its integration still reflects the structural influence of the source language.

This illustrates how borrowings serve as a component of interference, allowing the entry of new structural elements into the language. These processes typically occur in bilingual or multilingual environments, influencing nearly every layer of the language system. As such, linguistics must examine interference in its various dimensions – phonetic, lexical-semantic, morphological, syntactic, and grammatical.

1. Phonetic Interference

Phonetic interference occurs when the phonemic and orthographic features of a foreign language affect the phonetic system of the native language. For example, the Russian-derived words direktor and elevator are often pronounced as direktir and elevatir in Uzbek. Such pronunciations deviate from standard norms and illustrate interference at the phonetic level through incorrect accentuation or vowel/consonant shifts.

2. Lexical-Semantic Interference

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-8

Lexical-semantic interference involves the narrowing, broadening, or distortion of a word's original meaning during borrowing.

Table 1.

Analysis of lexical-semantic interference

№	Word	Language	Original Meaning	Narrowed Meaning in Uzbek	Explanation
1	*Toj*	Persian	Any headwear or head ornament	Only a royal crown	Used exclusively as a symbol of royalty in Uzbek
2	*Ikra*	Russian	Fish roe	Caviar; also vegetable-based spreads	Broader culinary meaning in Russian narrowed in Uzbek
3	*Mayonez*	French	Any emulsified sauce	Only egg-and-oil-based sauce	Other sauces excluded from this category in Uzbek
4	*Bank*	Italian	Financial institution, credit center	Only a place to store or withdraw money	Narrowed to physical bank branches
5	*Restoran*	French	Restaurant or dining facility	Only high-end dining establishments	Excludes informal cafés or eateries
6	*Kassa*	Russian	Cash desk, payment point	Only store checkout or ticket counter	Financial functions generalized in Russian, narrowed in Uzbek
7	*Komanda*	Russian	Command, group, team	Only sports team	Military and administrative meanings omitted
8	*Sarpo*	Persian	Clothing that covers the whole body	Only ceremonial clothing gift	Original meaning lost in cultural reinterpretation
9	*Aksiya*	Russian	Stock, campaign	Only commercial sale event	Financial sense is rare or misunderstood in Uzbek
10	*Sabo*	Persian	Early morning breeze	Only poetic use as "spring wind"	Lost semantic depth, retained only in literary style

Lexical-semantic interference may also lead to semantic errors or incorrect usage, especially in translation, casual speech, youth slang, and under the influence of borrowed words in mass media and social networks.

3. Morphological Interference

Morphological interference occurs when borrowed words are inflected according to the morphological rules of the recipient language. For example, the Russian word shkaf ('wardrobe') in Russian is used with a preposition as v shkafe ('in the wardrobe'), while in Uzbek, it is adapted as shkafda, replacing the preposition with a locative case suffix. This type of adaptation is considered a morphological transfer at the structural level, as the foreign element is modified to align with the recipient language's grammatical system.

4. Syntactic Interference

Syntactic interference refers to the phenomenon where the sentence structure, word order, verb-noun agreement, prepositions, and other syntactic elements of one language are incorrectly or artificially transferred into another language as a result of contact. This type of interference is most commonly

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-8

observed in the speech of bilingual speakers, in translations, or among language learners.

Syntactic interference can result in grammatical errors, semantic ambiguity, communication breakdowns, and violations of linguistic norms. The influence is especially prominent when Uzbek comes into contact with the syntactic structures of Russian and English.

5. Grammatical Interference (Generalized Category)

Grammatical interference encompasses linguistic deviations arising when grammatical constructions, categories, and rules from one language are transferred into another. It typically involves elements such as verb tenses, gender, case, or word order. This type of interference is especially common in bilingual contexts, where speakers transfer grammatical models from their native language into another (Thomason & Kaufman, 1988; Haugen, 1956).

Table 2.

Analytical table of grammatical interference: structural models, examples, and explanations

No	Type of Interference	Example	Source	Linguistic Explanation
1	Russian-style noun phrase structure	*Navoi viloyati hokimligi binosi* → *Hokimlik binosi*	Official documents, media	In Russian, the possessive noun appears at the end (*здание администрации области*); this structure contradicts the normative Uzbek syntactic model.
2	English-style izafa structure	*City Center* (instead of *Shahar markazi*)	Shopping malls, advertisements	The English [modifier + noun] pattern is replacing the native [noun + modifier] (izafa) construction.
3	Mixed expressions in youth speech	*Projectni approve qildim*	Telegram, social media	Along with borrowed words, grammatical patterns like auxiliary verb + predicate structure are transferred via the PAT model from English.
4	Incorrect izafa in book titles	*Liderlik san'ati* (instead of *Yetakchilik san'ati*)	Psychology books, translations	A direct translation of *The Art of Leadership* from English, disrupting the normative Uzbek izafa structure.
5	Russian model in organization names	*Xalq ta'limi vazirligi huzuridagi agentlik*	Official documents	Mirrors the Russian *при министерстве...* model, resulting in an artificially extended izafa construction in Uzbek.
6	Local place names in English adaptation	*Samarkand Region Tourism Office*	Tourism banners, foreign media	Follows the English word order (Region + Sector + Office), which is inconsistent with the Uzbek grammatical requirements for izafa structures.
7	Code-switching in event and advertisement names	*Startup Week, Business Forum, IT Park Events*	Online advertising, posters	These noun phrases follow English structural models without grammatical adaptation to Uzbek; izafa construction is bypassed entirely.

Each of the above forms of interference affects a specific level of the linguistic system. While they

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-8

can be studied as separate phenomena, they are often interrelated and appear simultaneously. In particular, grammatical interference deserves special attention as it frequently serves as the root and conceptual basis for the other types.

Identifying, analyzing, and understanding these interference patterns is essential for tracking language change and understanding the mechanisms of linguistic contact. As such, the study of interference has become one of the most relevant areas in contemporary linguistics.

CONCLUSION

As a result of intensified globalization and increasing cross-linguistic communication, lexical borrowings and grammatical interference in the Uzbek language are manifesting across various levels of the language system. This article focused specifically on grammatical interference, examining linguistic deviations at the levels of morphology, syntax, and izafa constructions. The study highlighted not only the theoretical underpinnings of interference, but also its practical manifestations in modern spoken and written Uzbek, particularly within social media, official documents, advertisements, and youth discourse.

As borrowed lexical items enter the Uzbek language, they are often accompanied by foreign grammatical and syntactic structures, which exert influence on the structural norms of Uzbek. In particular, units borrowed from Russian and English have introduced artificial adaptations in word order, izafa constructions, prepositional patterns, and verb phrase formations. Drawing upon the theories of Weinreich, Haugen, Thomason & Kaufman, Heine & Kuteva, this article proposed a conceptual model of interference, formalized as: $\boldsymbol{I = f(B + C + T)}$ (Interference as a function of Bilingualism, Code-switching, and Transfer)

This model was used to analyze the mechanisms of interference in multilingual environments.

The study shows that lexical-semantic interference leads to narrowing or widening of meanings in borrowed words, and sometimes even semantic errors. These phenomena are most commonly observed in translations, slang usage, and digital discourse. Meanwhile, morphological interference emerges through the adaptation of borrowed words into forms inconsistent with Uzbek inflectional rules, and syntactic interference appears as alterations in sentence structure and disruptions of the izafa system.

Grammatical interference, in turn, serves as a comprehensive outcome and integrative category encompassing the effects of all aforementioned types. Through this form of interference, foreign grammatical models are increasingly being institutionalized in modern Uzbek usage. For example, phrases like “The Art of Leadership” are rendered as “Liderlik san’ati”, and expressions such as “City Center” are directly adopted in commercial and urban naming conventions–clear evidence of such influence.

While the identified forms of interference may contribute to language modernization and universalization, they simultaneously pose risks to literary norms, semantic clarity, and the integrity of national linguistic identity. Therefore, it is essential to assess interference not only as a natural phase of language development, but also to critically identify, interpret, and mitigate deviations from established norms.

Future research must examine the impact of interference on education, children’s language development, official administrative language, journalism, and advertising style. It is also vital to develop normative and lexicographic frameworks aimed at minimizing negative linguistic transfers. As the Uzbek language currently navigates an active phase of its evolution, linguistics must approach

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-8

interference not solely as a deficit, but as a dynamic and integral aspect of language change.

REFERENCES

1. Bechert, H., & Wildgen, W. (1991). Sprache und Sprachmischung. Berlin: De Gruyter.
2. Clyne, M. (2003). Dynamics of Language Contact. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
3. Contact de langues: Interférence grammaticale en français. (2009).
4. Haugen, E. I. (1969). The Norwegian Language in America: A Study in Bilingual Behavior (2nd ed.). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
5. Heine, B., & Kuteva, T. (2005). Language Contact and Grammatical Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
6. Myers-Scotton, C. (2002). Contact Linguistics: Bilingual Encounters and Grammatical Outcomes. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
7. Riehl, C. (2004). Sprachkontakt. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
8. Thomason, S. G., & Kaufman, T. (1988). Language Contact, Creolization, and Genetic Linguistics. Berkeley: University of California Press.
9. Weinreich, U. (1953). Languages in Contact: Findings and Problems. New York: Linguistic Circle of New York.
10. Winford, D. (2003). An Introduction to Contact Linguistics. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
11. Hojiyev, A. (2002). Tilshunoslik terminlarining izohli lug‘ati. Toshkent: O‘zbekiston Milliy Ensiklopediyasi Davlat Ilmiy Nashriyoti.