ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

METHODS AND PRACTICE OF EVALUATION OF INVESTMENT PROJECTS IN JOINT-STOCK COMPANIES IN UZBEKISTAN AND KAZAKHSTAN

Dilnozakhon Mukhitdinova
Department of "Corporate Finance and Securities",
Tashkent State University of Economics,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan,
d.mukhitdinova@tsue.uz

Ibrokhim Avezov
Department of "Corporate Finance and Securities",
Tashkent State University of Economics,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan,
ibrohim6041@gmail.com

Abstract. This article presents a scientific analysis of investment project evaluation methods and practices in joint-stock companies of Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan. Relying on statistical data from the last decade (2015–2024), it compares the investment activity of major joint-stock companies in these countries and the financial techniques used to evaluate projects. The literature review encompasses classical project appraisal methods, including **Net Present Value (NPV)**, **Internal Rate of Return (IRR)**, and **payback period**, and examines their application in emerging market contexts. A review of studies published in high-impact international journals suggests that the quality of corporate governance and the institutional environment have a significant impact on the efficiency of investment decisions. The paper analyses the challenges and solutions in the investment project evaluation practices of Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, highlighting the need for improved corporate governance, the broader use of modern valuation methods, and consideration of ongoing economic reforms. The analysis section includes tables and figures based on real data, providing a comparative view of trends in both countries. In conclusion, recommendations are offered to enhance the effectiveness of investment project evaluation, underscoring the article's relevance for both scholars and practitioners, as well as its suitability for an international scientific journal.

Keywords: investment project, evaluation methods, joint-stock company, NPV, investment efficiency, corporate governance, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan.

Annotatsiya. Ushbu maqolada O‘zbekiston va Qozog‘iston aksiyadorlik jamiyatlarida investitsion loyihalarni baholashning nazariy va amaliy jihatlari tahlil qilingan. So‘nggi o‘n yillik (2015–2024) statistik ma’lumotlar asosida ushbu davlatlardagi yirik aksiyadorlik jamiyatlarining investitsion faolligi va loyihalarni moliyaviy baholash usullari solishtirildi. Adabiyotlar sharhida investitsion loyihalarni baholashning **sof joriy qiymat (NPV)**, **ichki foyda normasi (IRR)**, **to‘lov muddati** kabi klassik usullari hamda ularning rivojlanayotgan bozorlar sharoitidagi qo‘llanilishi yoritildi. Xalqaro yuqori iqtibosli jurnallardagi tadqiqotlar tahlili shuni ko‘rsatadiki, korporativ boshqaruv sifati va institutsional muhit investitsiya qarorlarining samaradorligiga kuchli ta’sir ko‘rsatadi. Maqolada O‘zbekiston va Qozog‘iston misolida investitsion loyihalarni baholash amaliyotidagi muammolar va yechimlar, xususan, korporativ boshqaruvni takomillashtirish, zamonaviy baholash usullaridan keng foydalanish va iqtisodiy islohotlar kontekstida statistik tendensiyalar tahlil qilingan. Tahliliy qismda real ma’lumotlar asosida jadvallar va grafiklar keltirilib, ular orqali ikki mamlakatdagi tendensiyalar qiyosiy ko‘rsatildi. Xulosalarda investitsion loyihalarni baholash samaradorligini oshirish uchun tavsiyalar berilib, maqola ilmiy-amaliy ahamiyatga ega ekanligi ta’kidlangan.

Kalit so‘zlar: investitsion loyiha, baholash usullari, aksiyadorlik jamiyati, NPV, investitsiya samaradorligi, korporativ boshqaruv, O‘zbekiston, Qozog‘iston.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

INTRODUCTION

Proper assessment and selection of investment projects are crucial factors in the long-term success of the enterprise and maximizing shareholder wealth. Financial theory emphasizes that a good investment decision means choosing a project that will increase the value of the enterprise and bring sustainable profits in the future[1]. Today, the economies of Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan are undergoing a process of rapid reforms in which large joint-stock companies play a significant role as locomotives of economic growth. In particular, in recent years, these countries have experienced a substantial increase in investment volume, accompanied by a corresponding rise in the share of gross capital investments in their GDP. For example, in Uzbekistan, it increased from ~22% in 2015 to 34% in 2023. [2]In this process, effective management of investment projects and the correct application of assessment methods are of great importance.

In Uzbekistan, economic liberalization programs implemented since 2017 have created broad opportunities for the private sector. As a result, investments in modernization and expansion projects at large enterprises have increased significantly. In Kazakhstan, since the country's independence, during the gradual transition to a market economy, large national companies (for example, enterprises within **the Samruk-Kazyna Fund**) have been leading the implementation of investment projects. The governments of both countries have implemented several reforms to enhance the investment climate and attract private capital, including the introduction of corporate governance codes, improvements to legislation protecting shareholder rights, and efforts to provide greater freedom for businesses by reducing state stakes. At the same time, there are still some problems in the practice of making investment decisions: improvements are required in such areas as the level of use of modern methods in project analysis, risk accounting, and diversification of financing sources.

The purpose of this article is to scientifically analyze the use of investment project evaluation methods in joint-stock companies in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, compare them with modern international experience, and identify existing problems and develop recommendations for their elimination.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In the theoretical literature on evaluating investment projects, the primary focus is on the concept of capital budgeting, which involves determining the project's effectiveness by reducing future cash flows to their present value. In classical financial theory, **the net present value (NPV)** method is recognized as the most optimal assessment tool, as it clearly shows the amount of increase in the project's value.[3]. Also, **the internal rate of return (IRR)** and **the discounted payback period** are widely used. Research indicates that in developed markets, companies primarily rely on discounted methods, such as the Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR). For example, a survey of U.S. companies found that more than 75% of financial managers use the NPV method when evaluating investment projects. In developing countries, simpler methods [4], such as a simple payback period or **accounting rate of return, are** sometimes used. Indicators – have also become popular

[1]Md. Anhar Sharif Mollah, Md. Abdur Rouf and SM Sohel Rana " A study on capital budgeting practices of some selected companies in Bangladesh "- Capital budgeting practices

[2] Based on World Bank data - thedocs.worldbank.org

[3] What is capital budgeting? - prophix.com

[4] Andor, Gyorgy & Mohanty, Sunil K. & Toth, Tamas, 2015. " Capital budgeting practices: A survey of Central and Eastern European firms," Emerging Markets Review, Elsevier, vol. 23(C), pages 148-172.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

because, in conditions of market uncertainty and capital constraints, investors prefer projects with faster returns.

In recent years, capital budgeting practices in many developing countries have undergone significant improvements and are now approaching international standards. For example, a study conducted in Bangladesh found that the majority of CFOs of joint-stock companies employ NPV and IRR methods in project evaluation, taking into account risks by adjusting the discount rate[5]. A large-scale survey conducted in Central and Eastern European countries found that capital budgeting practices are significantly influenced by the size of the firm, its international experience, and the corporate governance culture [6]. In particular, large and foreign-owned companies tend to use modern valuation techniques more frequently than small domestic firms. This is also due to the level of development of capital markets and the institutional environment.

The relationship between corporate governance and investment performance is also widely discussed in the academic literature. World Bank researcher S. Claessens (2006) argues that enhancing corporate governance in emerging markets can lead to more efficient investment decisions and contribute to economic growth.[7]. A well-known study by La Porta et al. found that in countries with low levels of legal protection for investor rights, companies are more cautious about investing and tend to choose smaller projects. In other words, when the institutional environment (legislation, judicial system, shareholder rights) is strong, companies are more likely to make significant and long-term investments.

There are also some scientific works on the topic of investment project management in the conditions of Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan. For example, Makhammadsidik Amonboev (2019) emphasises the need to introduce modern corporate governance principles to optimize investment activities in Uzbek joint-stock companies. [8]. He proposed a system of approving investment projects in several stages within the framework of corporate strategic planning, including planning and participation by the supervisory board. As a result, he concludes that it is necessary to strengthen the transparency and accountability of management to increase the efficiency of investment projects at the enterprise level. Additionally, some local economists have attempted to evaluate the effectiveness of investment projects using economic and statistical methods in their works. They analyzed the impact of investments attracted to regional projects on regional domestic products through econometric modelling and proposed measures to increase investment efficiency.

An analysis of foreign and domestic literature reveals that the use of modern financial methods in assessing investment projects, determining the correct discount rate that takes into account risks, and considering not only economic but also socioeconomic effects of the project are recognized as best practices. At the same time, in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, this practice is still in its formative stage,

---

[5] Md. Anhar Sharif Mollah, Md. Abdur Rouf and SM Sohel Rana " A study on capital budgeting practices of some selected companies in Bangladesh "- Capital budgeting practices

[6] Andor, Gyorgy & Mohanty, Sunil K. & Toth, Tamas, 2015. " Capital budgeting practices: A survey of Central and Eastern European firms," Emerging Markets Review, Elsevier, vol. 23(C), pages 148-172.

[7]Amonboev Mahammadsidik "Increasing the Effectiveness of Investment Management by Introducing Corporate Governance in Joint-Stock Companies"-Journal of International Business Research and Marketing, vol. 4, issue 5, pp. July 7-12, 2019

[8] Amonboev Mahammadsidik "Increasing the Effectiveness of Investment Management by Introducing Corporate Governance in Joint-Stock Companies"-Journal of International Business Research and Marketing, vol. 4, issue 5, pp. July 7-12, 2019

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

and joint-stock companies sometimes consider strategic development goals when selecting investment projects. For example, **non-financial assessment methods** —such as the strategic relevance of the project, socioeconomic impact, and innovative potential—can also play a crucial role in decision-making. In particular, large enterprises with state participation additionally assess their contribution to industry development, import substitution, or export potential.

In general, the literature review indicates that the theoretical principles for evaluating investment projects are universal; however, their practical application requires consideration of the specific institutional and macroeconomic conditions of each country. In the case of Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, improving corporate governance, maintaining accounting standards in line with international norms, and ensuring transparency increase investor confidence, making the project evaluation process more accurate and reliable. Therefore, the following sections will analyze in detail the trends and practical situations observed in recent years in these two countries.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology employed in the article adopts a qualitative, analytical, and comparative approach. Initially, the existing scientific literature on the topic and information from open sources were studied. Then, **secondary data** were collected based on the leading macroeconomic and micro-economic indicators that show the investment activity of joint-stock companies in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan. In particular, data such as the ratio of gross fixed capital formation (gross capital formation) to GDP in these countries for the period 2015–2024, changes in the number of joint-stock companies, stock market development indicators, and some financial results of large companies were analyzed. The primary sources of data were official statistical offices of the countries, the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund, and government investment reports. For example, the World Bank and IMF's data table "Selected indicators" by country allowed us to compare the dynamics of investment volumes relative to GDP from 2015 to 2023. The statistical indicators obtained in this way were presented in the form of graphs and tables using **the trend analysis method.**

The analysis employed **a comparative method to examine the indicators of the two countries. For example, the growth rates of investment indicators for Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan were compared, and the differences and their reasons were analyzed. Additionally, in some cases, elements of case stud**ies were utilized. For example, examples of investment programs of certain large joint-stock companies (such as "UzAuto Motors" or "KazMunayGas") were given, and their approaches to project evaluation and selection were discussed.

Another critical aspect of the methodology is the analysis of **economic, regulatory, and legal acts**. The Law "On Investments and Investment Activities" adopted in Uzbekistan in 2019 and the laws on investment promotion in Kazakhstan were reviewed. These documents regulate issues such as the procedure for implementing investment projects, benefits provided to investors, and the expertise required for projects. For example, the legislation of Uzbekistan clearly defines the concept of an "investment project". It consolidates the rights and obligations of the parties through an investment agreement between the investor and the executor. The law also establishes monitoring of investors' activities and control over the fulfillment of their obligations to increase the efficiency of state investments. Such regulatory requirements directly affect the process of evaluating and selecting projects.

In general, the research relies on a combination of methods, with quantitative statistical analysis complemented by qualitative expert assessments and information from scientific sources. This

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

approach facilitates a deeper understanding of the practice of evaluating investment projects in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, thereby enabling more informed scientific conclusions.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Analysis of the dynamics of investment processes in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan over the past decade enables us to determine the extent to which the investment activity of joint-stock companies in these two countries has increased, as well as the factors influencing the assessment of projects. First, we will focus on macro-level trends. Figure 1 below shows the rate of change in gross investment (investment in gross fixed capital formation, as a percentage of GDP) in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan for 2015–2024.



Figure 1. Trends in gross investment volume relative to GDP in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan in 2015–2024[9]

As can be seen from the graph above, in 2015–2017, Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan had almost the same indicators (~22–23% of GDP). However, starting in 2018, a significant difference emerged: Uzbekistan's investment volume increased sharply, reaching a record level of 36.8% of GDP in 2019. This growth was attributed to an increase in foreign investment inflow resulting from economic reforms in 2017–2018 and the state's launch of large-scale infrastructure projects. For example, in 2019, several large-scale industrial and energy projects were simultaneously implemented in the country, for which funds were attracted from the state and international financial institutions. As a result, the investment rate increased to an unprecedented level relative to GDP.

In Kazakhstan, the share of investments grew more steadily. As shown in the graph, Kazakhstan's indicator fluctuated between 22% and 28% between 2015 and 2020. While there was a short-term increase in 2017 (up to 26.4%), investment activity had already increased before the pandemic in 2020, reaching 28.8%. However, due to the global economic crisis and the pandemic in 2020, a slight decrease was observed in 2021–2022 (around 25%). By 2023, the share of investments in Kazakhstan

[9] *Compiled by the author based on World Bank data*

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

increased again and amounted to ~29.8%, which is still lower than in Uzbekistan. Thus, it is observed that Uzbekistan has overtaken Kazakhstan in terms of investment levels - which, in turn, indicates that investment processes in Uzbekistan have been more intensive in recent years.

Having analyzed these trends at the macro level, we now examine the practice of joint-stock companies. In Uzbekistan, by a decision adopted in 2016, the process of liquidating small and inactive joint-stock companies or converting them into LLCs began. As a result, the number of joint-stock companies in the country has decreased sharply, from ~4,555 in 2000 to only 659 in 2016. This change has "cleaned" the market, leaving only large and active joint-stock companies. By the beginning of 2024, the number of registered joint-stock companies in Uzbekistan has increased again, reaching ~688. In Kazakhstan, the number of joint-stock companies has remained relatively stable. Given that many enterprises operate in the form of limited liability companies (LLCs), joint-stock companies primarily comprise large companies.

Another criterion that indicates the investment activity of joint-stock companies is their participation in the stock market and the issuance of new shares. The Uzbek stock market has been developing slowly for many years; however, in recent years, a notable shift in this trend has occurred. For example, in 2023, for the first time in Uzbekistan, several large state-owned enterprises conducted initial public offerings (IPOs), including UzAuto Motors. As a result, the total capitalization of the stock market reached ~21% of GDP, while in 2021, this figure was only 6.7%. This growth is expanding the possibilities of new investment sources for joint-stock companies, including public share placements. Several large companies are also listed on the Kazakhstan stock market, and IPO and SPO processes have become more active, particularly following the establishment of the Astana International Financial Centre. However, the size of the stock markets of these two countries is still small.

In Uzbekistan, many large projects of joint-stock companies were implemented at the expense of foreign loans and investments, which is seen as a strategy for attracting external funds on favourable terms. However, this situation increases the external debt burden of enterprises and also leads to currency risk. For this reason, local researchers emphasize the need to strive **for improved equity in enterprise development. That is,** the implementation of projects through internal financing, the issuance of additional shares, or the reinvestment of profits increases the financial stability of enterprises. According to M. Amonboev, the fact that modernization projects in Uzbekistan are primarily funded by the state budget and international debt leads to an increase in external debt. Therefore, alternative sources for companies, such as the private capital market and the mobilization of shareholder funds, should become a priority. [10].

In Kazakhstan, large investment projects in the energy and infrastructure sectors are often implemented through companies with state participation, which attract loans from international financial institutions. For example, companies such as **KazakhGaz** and **Kazakhstan Temir Zholy** have financed gas pipeline construction and railway modernization projects through international bond issuance and loans. In this process, a financial assessment of the projects is necessarily carried out, and their future cash flows and ability to repay the loan are analyzed in detail. However, in some cases, due to the state guarantee, strategic importance also becomes decisive in the decision-making process, in addition to purely commercial efficiency.

[10] Amonboev Mahammadsidik "Increasing the Effectiveness of Investment Management by Introducing Corporate Governance in Joint-Stock Companies"-Journal of International Business Research and Marketing, vol. 4, issue 5, pp. July 7-12, 2019

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Although information on the methods used by joint-stock companies to assess investment projects is limited, the available evidence suggests that they are not lagging behind international best practices. Similar to the Bangladesh example cited above, the finance departments of large enterprises in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan are also aware of and using **discounted cash flow** methods. For example, the national company **"Uzbekneftegaz"** pays special attention to NPV and IRR calculations when analyzing its investment project portfolio. **"KazMunayGas"** also follows internationally accepted standards in assessing oil and gas projects. At the same time, in real practice, it is common **to assess risks by adding them to the discount rate** - that is, a higher discount rate is applied to projects with higher uncertainty, and their NPV is carefully reduced. For example, informal interviews with private sector representatives in Uzbekistan show that many enterprises calculate investment projects with a discount rate of 20-25% (taking into account inflation and currency risks), which in some cases leads to the abandonment of the project due to negative NPV.

Examination of project documentation is also a crucial stage in evaluating investment projects. In Uzbekistan, large projects with state participation are subject to mandatory economic expertise under the Ministry of Economic Development and Finance. This process verifies the feasibility of the project's parameters, cost estimates, expected benefits, and financial profitability in terms of costs. The legislation stipulates that projects with state investment will not be approved until the conclusion of this expertise is positive. This measure aims to increase investment discipline. Kazakhstan also has a similar procedure - a body known as **the "State Expertise"** reviews the feasibility studies of large infrastructure projects and confirms their effectiveness or makes recommendations for revision.

Summarizing the analytical data, we compare some key indicators of the investment activities of joint-stock companies of the two countries in **Table 1** (data for 2015 and 2023).

1-table

Some key indicators of investment activity of joint-stock companies in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan in 2015-2023

Indicator	Uzbekistan (2015)	Uzbekistan (2023)	Kazakhstan (2015)	Kazakhstan (2023)
Investments about GDP, %	22.1%	34.5%	22.9%	29.8%
Number of joint-stock companies (pcs)	~700	688	200	200
Initial Public Offerings (IPOs)	0 items	3 (in 2023)	1-2 (small)	1-2 (large)
Fund market capitalization, % GDP	~1-2%	~21%	~30%	~35%
A widely used assessment method	Payback (unspecified)	NPV and IRR are expanding	Payback, IRR	NPV and IRR are expanding
Project risk assessment	Subjective (less)	Added to the discount rate	Discount rate	Discount rate

As can be seen from the table above, Uzbekistan has achieved significant growth in investment volume, from 22% in 2015 to 34.5% in 2023. Kazakhstan has also seen a notable increase, although

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

the growth is not as sharp, from 22.9% to 29.8%. In terms of the number of joint-stock companies, Uzbekistan has seen a decrease as a result of deregulation. Currently, there are more joint-stock companies in Uzbekistan than in Kazakhstan, but most of them are relatively small enterprises. Although the number of joint-stock companies in Kazakhstan is smaller, they represent much larger enterprises.

Stock market development indicators are now growing in Uzbekistan. A significant development in this regard was the fact that the first initial public offerings (IPOs) were held in 2023. The capitalization of the Kazakhstan stock market is higher, as shares of several large companies are offered to international investors.

As for valuation methods, by 2015, many enterprises had traditionally relied on simple techniques; however, by the 2020s, the situation had changed, and NPV and IRR calculations began to be used more widely. This process is also driven by changes in corporate governance and the increased participation of foreign investors. There is also a comparative approach to risk accounting: previously, there was little practice in quantitatively assessing project risks. Currently, the method of accounting for risk by increasing the discount rate is widely used. In addition, some enterprises are trying to verify the sustainability of the project under different conditions by extracting the worst-case and best-case NPV values through **special case analysis** (what-if analysis) and **calculation scenarios.**

CONCLUSION

Based on the conducted analyses and comparative studies, it can be concluded that the system of evaluating investment projects in joint-stock companies of Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan is consistently developing and gradually approaching international standards. Uzbekistan has made a sharp leap in attracting investment in recent years, with the volume of investments growing rapidly, which has further increased the need for a thorough assessment of projects. Kazakhstan also has stable investment activity and is striving to diversify its economy through large national companies.

International experience confirms that the larger and more institutionally developed enterprises are, the more in-depth financial analysis they conduct when making investment decisions. In Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, as the number of joint-stock companies with a low state share increases and the capital market infrastructure develops, the process of project evaluation is improving.

At the same time, several issues remain to be addressed for both countries. First, **strengthening the institutional environment** must be continued; the legislation protecting investors must be fully implemented, contracts must be enforced, and creditors' rights must be effectively protected. In this regard, the Law "On Investments" and several resolutions adopted in Uzbekistan in recent years, as well as programs aimed at improving the investment climate in Kazakhstan, are essential steps. Secondly, **corporate governance and transparency** are necessary to increase the level of transparency. This is important not only for the confidence of foreign investors but also for improving the quality of domestic investment decisions. Suppose aspects such as the participation of independent directors, the activities of audit committees, and information disclosure standards are strengthened. In that case, the process of selecting projects within the enterprise will be carried out on a professional basis. Thirdly, it is necessary **to improve employees' skills** and implement a scientific approach in practice. This would be beneficial to conduct training on advanced methods for evaluating investment projects. Fourthly, it is necessary to strengthen the **project monitoring** system, as this will help analyse whether the target indicators set for each major investment project have been achieved and avoid repeating mistakes in future decision-making.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

In conclusion, establishing a robust system for evaluating investment projects is particularly crucial for developing economies like Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan. Because in conditions of limited resources, it is necessary to direct investment to the most profitable projects. The analysis presented in the article compared the experience of the two countries and showed their specific advantages and disadvantages. While new approaches to evaluation methods are being developed in Uzbekistan during the investment boom, Kazakhstan has a relatively stable system and is gradually incorporating international best practices. In the future, areas such as the use of **digital technologies** and **artificial intelligence in managing investment projects**, as well as the evaluation of joint investment projects within the framework of regional cooperation, will also be relevant for enterprises of both countries.

List of used literature

1. **Amonboev M.** ***Increasing the Effectiveness of Investment Management by Introducing Corporate Governance in Joint-Stock Companies***. Journal of International Business Research and Marketing, 4(5): 7–12 (2019).
2. **Mollah MAS**, Rouf MA, Rana SMS ***A study on capital budgeting practices of some selected companies in Bangladesh***. PSU Research Review, 7(2): 1–19 (2021).
3. **Andor G.**, Mohanty SK, Toth T. ***Capital budgeting practices: A survey of Central and Eastern European firms***. Emerging Markets Review, 23: 148–172 (2015).
4. **Bayhonov B.** et al. ***Econometric Analysis of Evaluation of Investment Projects Implemented in the Republic of Karakalpakstan***. World Economics and Finance Bulletin, 29: 79–86 (2023).
5. **Mukhitdinova D.** ***Development of the Stock Market and Emission Activity of Joint-Stock Companies in Uzbekistan: Trends and Prospects***. European Journal of Economics, Finance and Business Development, 3(5): 180–188 (2025).
6. **World Bank/IMF.** ***Uzbekistan: Selected Indicators*** (2024).
7. **World Bank/IMF.** ***Kazakhstan: Selected Indicators*** (2024).
8. **La Porta R.**, Lopez-de-Silanes F., Shleifer A., Vishny R. ***Investor protection and corporate governance***. Journal of Financial Economics, 58(1-2): 3–27 (2000).
9. **Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan.** ***Law "On Investments and Investment Activities"*** (2019).
10. **Chinkulov Q.** ***Analysis of the development of the stock market and the issuance activity of joint-stock companies in Uzbekistan***. International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management, 6(2): 274–282 (2018).