ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

THE TYPOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SEMANTIC FEATURES OF NOUNS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES

Ro‘ziqulova Nigora
Uzbekistan state World languages
4-year student

Abstract:This article examines the semantic features of nouns in English and Uzbek languages from a typological perspective. The study analyzes categories such as concreteness, countability, animacy, definiteness, gender, and collective meaning. Nouns, being a central part of speech in both languages, reflect important grammatical and semantic features. By comparing how English and Uzbek categorize and express noun semantics, we identify both universal and language-specific features. Special attention is paid to countability and definiteness, where both languages show significant structural differences.

Keywords: nouns, semantics, English, Uzbek, countability, typology, definiteness

Introduction

Nouns (also called nominals) are a fundamental part of speech in every language. They represent people (man, teacher), places (London, school), things (book, chair), and abstract ideas (freedom, love). However, languages differ in how they classify and mark various semantic features of nouns. English and Uzbek represent two languages from different families — Indo-European and Turkic, respectively — yet they both demonstrate systematic organization of noun semantics.

This article aims to compare and analyze these features through a typological lens, showing both similarities and differences in how nouns function in these two languages.

Main body

The Nature of Nouns in English and Uzbek

In both English and Uzbek, nouns perform similar grammatical roles: they act as subjects, objects, or complements in a sentence. However, their semantic properties and grammatical behavior can differ significantly.

Feature	English example	Uzbek example
Concrete	Table,car	Stol, mashina
Abstract	Freedom, fear	Erkinlik, qo‘rquv
Countable	Apple, book	Olma, kitob
Uncountable	Water, rice	Suv, guruch
Collective	Familya, group	Oila, guruh
Animate	Man, child	Inson, bola
Inanimate	Rock,building	Tosh,bino

Countability (Sanaluvchanlik)

This is one of the most important semantic features of nouns, and one of the most typologically different between English and Uzbek.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Countable Nouns

In English, countable nouns can be pluralized and used with numbers:

one book, two books

a car, some cars

In Uzbek, countable nouns behave similarly, though there is no article system:

bitta kitob, ikki kitob

bitta mashina, mashinalar

Uncountable Nouns

English uncountable nouns cannot be pluralized or used with numbers directly:

✗ two waters → ✓ two bottles of water

some information ✗ informations

Uzbek also has uncountable nouns, but they can sometimes appear in plural form to indicate variety or abundance:

suv (water) → suvlar (types of water or large quantities)

ma'lumot (information) → ma'lumotlar (pieces of information)

Example Comparison:

- *English: I need some rice.*
- *Uzbek: Menga guruch kerak.*
- *English: He gave me useful information.*
- *Uzbek: U menga foydali ma'lumot berdi.*

English distinguishes definiteness through the use of articles:

a book – indefinite

the book – definite

This affects meaning and reference in context.

Uzbek: No Articles, Context-Based:Uzbek does not use articles. Instead, definiteness is conveyed by word order, context, or suffixes:

Kitob o'qidim. – I read a book.

Kitobni o'qidim. – I read the book.

Here, the accusative case (-ni) indicates definiteness.

- Example:

English: A student entered the room. The student sat down.

Uzbek: Bir talaba xonaga kirdi. Talaba o'tirdi.

English grammar distinguishes between animate and inanimate nouns, especially in pronouns:who (for people), which (for things)

Uzbek does not have such grammatical separation, but semantic animacy still exists:

Bola keldi. – The child came. (animate)

Kitob yo'qoldi. – The book was lost. (inanimate)

Gender

- English:

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Male/female distinctions: he/she

Gendered nouns: actor/actress, waiter/waitress

- Uzbek:

No grammatical gender

U – used for both male and female

This difference often causes ambiguity when translating from English to Uzbek.

Collective and Mass Nouns

English collective nouns:team, family, government

Uzbek equivalents:jamoa, oila, hukumat

In English, such nouns can take singular or plural verbs depending on context:The team is winning. / The team are arguing.

In Uzbek:amoa yutmoqda. (always singular verb form)

Semantic Fields and Culturally Marked Nouns:Some nouns reflect cultural-specific concepts and may lack direct equivalents:

English: privacy, weekend, sandwich

Uzbek: mehmondo‘stlik, osh, salomlashish

These differences highlight the role of culture in shaping noun semantics.

Conclusion

Nouns in both English and Uzbek carry important semantic features such as concreteness, countability, animacy, definiteness, and gender. However, the ways in which these features are expressed differ significantly due to typological distinctions between the two languages. English relies heavily on articles and morphological markers, while Uzbek uses context, word order, and suffixes.

Understanding these differences is crucial for language learners, translators, and linguists, as it reveals how meaning is constructed and conveyed across languages.

References

1. Crystal, D. (2003). The Cambridge Encyclopedia of the English Language. Cambridge University Press.

2. Bobojonov, Sh. (2005). Hozirgi O‘zbek adabiy tili. Toshkent: O‘qituvchi.

3. Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language. Longman.

4. Swan, M. (2005). Practical English Usage. Oxford University Press.

5. Kornilov, G. (2010). Tipologiya yazykov mira. Moskva: URSS.