ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO EVALUATING THE EFFICIENCY OF THE NATIONAL INNOVATION SYSTEM

B.F.Azimov , Asia International University Associate Professor of the "Economics" Department

Abstract: This article analyzes international, foreign, and national methodological approaches to evaluating the efficiency of the national innovation system. The study reviews tools developed by organizations such as the Global Innovation Index, European Innovation Scoreboard, ASTRA, and The Economist, as well as models like IRIS, Karmazin's framework, and the author's proposed model – the Innovation Infrastructure Activity Index (IIAI). The paper introduces a modern method based on relative indicators covering potential, expenditures, and effectiveness, enabling fair assessment even under data scarcity.

Keywords: national innovation system, efficiency, innovation infrastructure, evaluation index, IRIS, international standards, individual methods, IIAI.

Innovative progress is today global in the economy strategic priority is considered Countries and regions economic of growth new drivers in determining and competitiveness in providing innovations important factor as they see National innovative system (NIS) – this state, business, scientific organizations and education institutions between institutional and economic relationships complex is, and its efficiency assessment innovative of politics adjective in determining solution doer importance profession will.

NIS efficiency impartial and wide comprehensive assessment for various at the level international, foreign and national methodological approaches is being used. In this article present of methodologies content, preference and disadvantages as well author by offer done innovative infrastructure efficiency evaluation model analysis will be done.

NIS on a macro level in evaluation international organizations by row global and regional standards current made. World on a scale **Global Innovation Index, Regional Innovation Scoreboard**, **Europe innovative scoreboard, OECD applications** the most many applicable international tools to the point enters. Innovative competence in determining **Oslo, Frascati** and **Canberra** applications methodological basis as service does.

In 2001 Latin America for **Bogota Guide** work developed[1] in 2008 and Europe Commission initiative with **PRO INNO EUROPE** project to work lowered, innovative activity assessment for **EIS, Innobarometer, INNO database** and **INNO- assessment** like tools current was done[2].

EIS four from the group consists of indicators system through innovative activity evaluates: human resources (5) indicator), knowledge create (6), distribute (5) and finance - market mechanisms (7) [3]. Regional to the extent and **RNSII, REUSII** and **RRSII** like quantitative indexes through innovative activity is evaluated[4].

[1] Дегтерёв Д.А. Международные стандарты и индексы оценки инновационной деятельности. Вестник РУДН, серия Экономика, 2011, № 2, Стр 91

[2] Дегтерёв Д.А. Международные стандарты и индексы оценки инновационной деятельности. Вестник РУДН, серия Экономика, 2011, № 2, Стр 92

[3] Innovation and Small Business - Volume 1. Brychan Thomas; Christopher Miller; Lyndon Murphy ,Page 117

[4] Innovation and Small Business - Volume 1. Brychan Thomas; Christopher Miller; Lyndon Murphy ,Page 117

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

In the USA innovative the system assessment in 2000 years by **ASTRA** (Alliance for Science and Technology Research in America). before drawn **Innovative elements periodic** through **the table** model It includes firm activity, R&D spending, capital, personnel, networks, products and services, management, macroeconomic environment, state policy and like national mentality factors systemic in a way is evaluated.

This model is from 2006 **Europe Innovation Trend Chart** in the context of again work climbed regional to the information relies on Innovative activity results of " **Work" release innovation Commitment: USA his/her own attractiveness how restore** " **possible** " named in the report publication done[5].

With this together with **Global Competitiveness In the** " Innovations " section of **the Index** R&D spending of companies, university- industry cooperation, state purchases and intellectual property protection like indicators to your attention is taken[6].

Analytical center The Economist annually calculates indices such as The Innovation Activity and Aggregated Innovation Stimulators, which include 82 countries[7]. The New Economy Index also assesses the innovative potential of countries based on 21 indicators[8].

National to the extent innovative the environment assessment for **integral index** models work developed. Of them one is **IRIS** (innovative the environment integral index of development) based on functional components (scientific - 0.33, personnel - 0.24, financial - 0.28, organizational - 0.15) sub-indexes is formed[9].

Other authors, including **S.A. Karmazin**, innovative infrastructure based on five components to evaluate offer reached: financial, legal, technological, information -expert and staff[10].

Some in models innovative of infrastructure risks with connected aspects both to account is taken. Financial risk-taking level based on the following formula is evaluated:

EN= Rf +b *(Rm - Rf) + S1+S2 [1]

Author by innovative infrastructure efficiency assessment for following relative to indicators based **Innovative infrastructure activity index (IIAI)** offer was done:

$$IIAI = \sqrt{potencial} * \sqrt{expenses} * \sqrt{effects}$$ [2]

This model every one at the level (macro, meso, micro) in 3 areas (potential, expenses, effects) out of 6 relative indicator based on infrastructure impartial and deep analysis to do service does. Formula relativity him/her every what area and at the time to account adaptation possible creates.

MYTH efficiency assessment according to international and national experiments world on a scale innovative politics efficiency analysis to do possibility gives. However, information lack,

---

[5] Innovation and Small Business - Volume 1. Brychan Thomas; Christopher Miller; Lyndon Murphy ,Page 117

[6] Дегтерёв Д.А. Международные стандарты и индексы оценки инновационной деятельности. Вестник РУДН, серия Экономика, 2011, № 2, Стр 97

[7] Дегтерёв Д.А. Международные стандарты и индексы оценки инновационной деятельности. Вестник РУДН, серия Экономика, 2011, № 2, Стр 97

[8] Erqyan Chju. Essays on Factors of Innovation, Regional Economic Impacts from Rangeland Fires, and Estimation of Health Impacts from Wildfires. A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the degree of Degree of Philosophy in Resource Economics by Erqian Zhu, December, 2010 page 7

[9] Дьяков И. И., Третьяк Н. А., Грищенко К. С. Оценка инновационной среды вузов. Современное образование, 2018 – 1., стр. 28

[10] КАРМАЗИН СЕРГЕЙ АЛЕКСАНДРОВИЧ. ОСОБЕННОСТИ ФУНКЦИОНИРОВАНИЯ РЕГИОНАЛЬНЫХ ИННОВАЦИОННЫХ ПОДСИСТЕМ В УСЛОВИЯХ КРИЗИСА И В ПОСТКРИЗИСНЫЙ ПЕРИОД. АВТОРЕФЕРАТ диссертации на соискание учёной степени кандидата экономических наук. Санкт-Петербург – 2021. СТР.19

disproportionate indicators and calculation in the methods differences effective to evaluate obstacle does.

Author by work climbed **IIFI model** this problems solution to do service capacity, spending and of the results mutual dependence to account received in case infrastructure in evaluation effective instrument as recommendation will be allowed.

References:

1. Дегтерёв Д.А. Международные стандарты и индексы оценки инновационной деятельности. Вестник РУДН, серия Экономика, 2011, № 2, Стр 92
2. Innovation and Small Business - Volume 1. Brychan Thomas; Christopher Miller; Lyndon Murphy ,Page 117
3. Erqyan Chju. Essays on Factors of Innovation, Regional Economic Impacts from Rangeland Fires, and Estimation of Health Impacts from Wildfires. A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the degree of Degree of Philosophy in Resource Economics by Erqian Zhu, December, 2010 page 7
4. Дьяков И. И., Третьяк Н. А., Грищенко К. С. Оценка инновационной среды вузов. Современное образование, 2018 – 1., стр. 28
5. КАРМАЗИН СЕРГЕЙ АЛЕКСАНДРОВИЧ. ОСОБЕННОСТИ ФУНКЦИОНИРОВАНИЯ РЕГИОНАЛЬНЫХ ИННОВАЦИОННЫХ ПОДСИСТЕМ В УСЛОВИЯХ КРИЗИСА И В ПОСТКРИЗИСНЫЙ ПЕРИОД. АВТОРЕФЕРАТ диссертации на соискание учёной степени кандидата экономических наук. Санкт-Петербург – 2021. СТР.19
6. Umarova, H. (2025). IN UZBEKISTAN, BANKRUPTCY RISKS ARE DECREASING ACCORDING TO RECOMMENDATIONS AND FORECASTS. *Journal of Applied Science and Social Science*, *1*(2), 527-531.
7. Raximova, L. A., & Qaxorova, J. (2025). XORIJIY INVESTITSIYALARNI JALB QILISH ORQALI MINTAQANI IJTIMOIY-IQTISODIY RIVOJLANTIRISH. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(5), 634-638.
8. Djurayeva, M. S., & Davlatov, A. (2025). BUGUNGI KUNDA MENEJMENTNING JAHONDA TUTGAN O ‘RNI. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(3), 151-155.
9. Aslanova, D. (2025). CHALLENGES OF IMPLEMENTING MODERN MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLES IN THE TOURISM INDUSTRY. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Innovations*, *1*(2), 119-121.
10. Rajabova, D. (2025). INNOVATIVE POTENTIAL AND EFFICIENCY OF INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES IN UZBEKISTAN. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, *1*(4), 955-960.
11. Ibragimov, A. T., & Atoyeva, M. (2025). MOLIYA SOHASI: RIVOJLANISH TENDENSIYALARI VA ISTIQBOLLARI. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(3), 168-172.
12. Bobojonova, M. J. (2025). GREEN ECONOMY AND ITS DEVELOPMENT STATUS. *SHOKH LIBRARY*.
13. Jumayeva, Z. B., & Toshova, A. R. (2025). IQTISODIY O ‘SISH VA MILLIY BOYLIK. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(3), 226-231.
14. Bazarova, M. (2025). SANOAT KORXONADA KADRLARNI RIVOJLANTIRISH STRATEGIYASINING O ‘ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(4), 555-560.

15. Naimova, N., & Bahronova, R. (2025). RAQAMLI MARKETING STRATEGIYALARI: BREND QIYMATINI OSHIRISH VA MIJOZLAR SADOQATINI SHAKLLANTIRISH VOSITASI SIFATIDA. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(5), 875-878.

16. Shadiyev, A. (2025). THE IMPORTANCE OF REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONAL MECHANISMS IN INCREASING GROSS REGIONAL PRODUCT. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, *1*(4), 1133-1136.

17. Ikromov, E. (2025). THE STATE BUDGET PROCESS IMPROVEMENT. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, *1*(2), 740-743.

18. Azimov, B., & Nazirov, H. (2025). THE IMPORTANCE OF EXTERNAL COMMUNICATION STRATEGY IN MODERN CONDITIONS. *Journal of Applied Science and Social Science*, *1*(2), 3-5.

19. Toshov, M. H. (2025). KICHIK BIZNES VA XUSUSIY TADBIRKORLIKNI RIVOJLANTIRISH. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(4), 539-547.

20. Алимова, Ш. А. (2025). ОПТИМИЗАЦИЯ НАЛОГОВОЙ НАГРУЗКИ В УСЛОВИЯХ ГЛОБАЛИЗАЦИИ: УРОКИ УЗБЕКИСТАНА. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(5), 58-63.

21. Bahodirovich, K. B. (2025, April). BALANCE SHEET STATEMENT: CONCEPT AND PRINCIPLES. In *CONFERENCE OF MODERN SCIENCE & PEDAGOGY* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 314-319).

22. Sodiqova, N. T., & Yuldasheva, H. (2025). ISHLAB CHIQARISH XARAJATLARINI KAMAYTIRISH-IQTISODIY TARAQQIYOTNING HAL QILUVCHI OMILI SIFATIDA. *Modern Science and Research*, *4*(5), 713-720.

23. Qudratova, G. M. (2025). MINTAQAVIY ILMIY-TADQIQOT INSTITUTLARI: RIVOJLANISH YO'NALISHLARI VA TAQQOSLAMA TAHLIL. *IZLANUVCHI*, *1*(6), 6-12.

24. Jumayev, B. (2025). THE ROLE OF REGIONAL BANKS IN UZBEKISTAN'S SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: CHALLENGES AND INNOVATIONS. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Innovations*, *1*(2), 523-526.

25. Izatova, N. (2025). ISSUES OF IMPROVEMENT OF PROFESSIONAL AND PERSONAL QUALITIES OF STUDENTS IN THE PROCESS OF ECONOMIC EDUCATION. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, *1*(2), 294-296.

26. Gafarova, D. (2025). THE PROCESS OF LIBERALIZING THE FOREIGN EXCHANGE MARKET IN UZBEKISTAN—SUCCESSES, RISKS AND LESSONS. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, *1*(4), 933-937.