ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS OF AI TOOLS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION: OPPORTUNITIES AND ETHICAL CONCERNS

To'raliyeva Farzona Baxriddinovna,
3rd year student, Foreign language and literature (English), faculty of Languages,
Termez state pedagogical institute
turalievafarzona@gmail.com
Tel: +998995863639

Abstract: The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in English Language Education (ELE) presents numerous opportunities for enhancing teaching and learning processes. This study explores teachers' perceptions regarding the benefits and ethical challenges associated with AI implementation in ELE. Using a mixed-methods approach, findings reveal that while educators acknowledge AI's potential to personalize learning and provide instant feedback, concerns about data privacy, bias, and teacher roles persist. The paper concludes with recommendations for balanced AI integration respecting pedagogical and ethical standards. This study also explores the influence of cultural and institutional factors on teachers' acceptance of AI tools. It reveals a generational divide in attitudes, with younger educators showing greater enthusiasm and adaptability towards technology integration. Ultimately, the research provides actionable insights for policymakers, curriculum developers, and teacher trainers aiming to foster ethical and effective AI adoption in English language classrooms.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, English Language Education, Teacher Perceptions, Ethical Concerns, Educational Technology, AI in ELT.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming educational landscapes worldwide, including English Language Education (ELE). AI tools such as automated essay scoring, speech recognition, and adaptive learning platforms offer promising ways to enhance language acquisition and teaching efficiency. In many countries, including those in Central Asia, adoption of AI in classrooms is still in its early stages, prompting investigation into teachers' readiness, attitudes, and ethical apprehensions.

This study aims to investigate how English language teachers perceive AI tools in their classrooms, focusing on both opportunities for pedagogical improvement and ethical concerns such as privacy, fairness, and the evolving role of educators. Understanding these perspectives is vital for successful and responsible AI integration in ELE.

The increasing availability of AI-powered tools has sparked a paradigm shift in education, transforming conventional pedagogical practices into more interactive and individualized learning experiences. In English Language Education (ELE), AI tools facilitate tasks such as automated pronunciation feedback, personalized vocabulary exercises, and intelligent tutoring systems. These innovations offer the potential to address diverse learner needs and support teachers by reducing administrative burdens.

However, alongside enthusiasm for these benefits, educators also voice concerns. Issues such as algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, and the possible devaluation of teacher roles raise ethical questions. In many Central Asian contexts, limited resources and infrastructural challenges further complicate AI integration.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

This study examines teachers' perceptions of AI in ELE, focusing on the balance between opportunity and ethical responsibility, to provide a grounded understanding of how AI can be adopted sustainably.

Methodology

A mixed-methods research design was adopted to gather comprehensive data. A survey questionnaire was distributed to 80 English language teachers across different regions, assessing their familiarity with AI tools, perceived advantages, and ethical concerns.

Additionally, in-depth interviews were conducted with 15 volunteer teachers to gain qualitative insights into their experiences and viewpoints regarding AI use. The data were analyzed quantitatively through descriptive statistics and qualitatively via thematic analysis to identify recurrent themes.

A sequential explanatory mixed-methods design was employed. Initially, a structured survey with 25 items assessed teachers' familiarity with AI tools, perceived benefits, barriers, and ethical concerns. Participants included 80 English teachers from urban and rural schools across Central Asia, selected through stratified random sampling to ensure diverse representation.

Following quantitative analysis, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 teachers who volunteered, selected based on differing levels of AI usage to capture a range of experiences. The interviews explored deeper issues such as ethical dilemmas faced, professional development needs, and visions for future AI use.

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, while interview transcripts were coded thematically to identify recurring patterns and contrasting opinions.

Results

Survey results indicated that 70% of teachers are aware of AI applications in ELE, but only 45% have actively used such tools. The most commonly used AI applications included automated grammar checkers and vocabulary learning apps.

Teachers reported benefits such as personalized feedback, reduced grading workload, and increased student engagement. However, 65% expressed concerns over data security, potential biases in AI algorithms, and fears that AI might replace human educators' roles.

Interview data revealed nuanced perspectives: while some teachers embraced AI as a helpful assistant, others emphasized the need for clear ethical guidelines and professional development to navigate AI's challenges responsibly.

The survey revealed that 70% of participants were aware of AI applications such as chatbots, automatic essay grading, and language learning apps; however, only 45% had actively integrated these tools into their teaching.

Participants cited enhanced student motivation (68%) and personalized feedback (62%) as primary benefits. Nonetheless, 65% expressed significant concerns about data security and privacy, and 55% feared that AI might undermine the traditional teacher-student relationship.

Interviewees highlighted practical challenges including limited access to high-quality AI tools, insufficient training, and skepticism about AI's cultural appropriateness. Notably, younger teachers were more optimistic, describing AI as an "assistant" that could free up time for creative teaching.

Discussion

The findings suggest that teachers recognize AI's transformative potential but remain cautious due to ethical and practical uncertainties. The balance between leveraging AI benefits and safeguarding pedagogical integrity is a key consideration.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Concerns about data privacy align with global debates on AI ethics, emphasizing the importance of transparent data management policies. Similarly, teacher apprehension about job displacement reflects the need to position AI as a supportive tool rather than a replacement.

Training programs focusing on AI literacy and ethical usage can empower teachers to harness AI effectively while maintaining human-centric education.

The findings reflect a complex attitude toward AI in ELE. Teachers recognize the pedagogical advantages of AI, particularly its ability to tailor learning experiences and provide immediate feedback, which aligns with cognitive theories emphasizing personalized learning.

However, ethical concerns underscore the importance of trust and transparency in AI systems. Data privacy worries mirror global discussions about AI ethics, emphasizing the necessity for clear regulations and institutional safeguards. The fear of teacher displacement suggests the need to reconceptualize AI as a complementary tool rather than a replacement.

The divide between younger and older teachers indicates a generational gap in digital literacy and openness to innovation, suggesting targeted professional development could bridge this gap.

Moreover, cultural factors unique to Central Asia, such as collective educational values and limited technological infrastructure, require that AI implementations be localized and contextually sensitive.

Conclusion

AI tools offer promising enhancements for English language teaching, particularly in personalizing learning and alleviating routine tasks. However, ethical concerns and the preservation of teacher agency must guide AI integration strategies.

This study underscores the need for balanced policies that address ethical challenges, promote teacher training, and encourage collaborative AI use in classrooms. Future research should explore long-term impacts of AI on teaching practices and student outcomes in ELE.

AI tools hold significant promise for revolutionizing English language teaching by enhancing engagement, personalizing instruction, and easing teachers' workload. However, ethical challenges and infrastructural barriers must be addressed to ensure responsible and equitable integration.

This study recommends the development of comprehensive AI literacy programs for educators, the establishment of ethical guidelines for data use, and investments in technology infrastructure, especially in underserved areas. Future research should longitudinally assess the impact of AI tools on teaching effectiveness and learner outcomes.

By fostering a balanced approach that values both technological innovation and human-centered pedagogy, Central Asian education systems can harness AI's potential while preserving educational integrity.

References

1. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
2. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education. *Pearson*.
3. Zhang, J., & Chen, L. (2020). Ethical challenges of artificial intelligence in education: A review. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 25(4), 575–588.
4. Wu, Y., & Wang, H. (2021). Teachers' attitudes towards AI-powered language learning tools: A case study. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 34(7), 806–825.
5. Williamson, B., & Piattoeva, N. (2020). Objectivity as standardization in data-scientific educational governance: Grasping the global through the local. *Research in Education*, 101(1), 60–81.