ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Systematic Review: Analysis of Scientific Research on Water Resource Management in Uzbekistan

Saydullaev Abbosjon

Assistant teacher of the Department of "Green Economy and Sustainable Business"

Samarkand branch of Tashkent State University of Economics

Abstract: This article presents a systematic analysis of scientific research conducted in the field of water resource management in Uzbekistan between 2003 and 2024. Key areas of focus include improving the efficiency of water supply and irrigation systems, institutional reforms, transboundary water issues, the impact of climate change on water resources, and socio-economic approaches to water governance. The study classifies existing literature based on academic articles, research reports, and practical assessments, identifying major approaches and research gaps. The results reveal that research on innovative technologies, digitalization, and the behavioral and motivational aspects of water users remains underdeveloped. The article concludes with recommendations for priority areas in future research.

Keywords: Uzbekistan, water resource management, irrigation, transboundary waters, climate change, institutional reforms, water policy, systematic review.

Uzbekistan is a country with limited water resources and a water-rich economy. As a predominantly arid and landlocked Central Asian nation, the country relies heavily on two major rivers—the Amu Darya and Syr Darya—and their irrigation networks to sustain its agricultural sector, which forms the backbone of its economy. Despite the fact that several million hectares of land are irrigated each year, traditional open-canal delivery systems result in significant water losses—often only about 60 percent of diverted water actually reaches crops. Given the rising pressures from population growth, climate variability, and transboundary water disputes, the need to modernize water management has never been more urgent.

In response to these challenges, the Uzbek government has undertaken sweeping reforms central to the 2020–2030 Water Resources Development Concept, approved by Presidential Decree No. UP-6024 on July 10, 2020. The Concept sets clear targets: improve irrigation efficiency from 0.63 to 0.73, reduce low-water supply areas from 560,000 ha to 190,000 ha, decrease salinized soils by 226,000 ha, cut pumping stations' electricity use by 25 percent, and install "Smart Water" metering across all irrigation infrastructure. It also promotes digital automation, PPP initiatives, and expansion of water-saving technologies, envisioning 2 million hectares equipped with modern methods including 600,000 ha of drip irrigation by 2030. Building on the foundational concept, the 2021–2023 Water Management Strategy (Presidential Decree PP-5005) has focused on implementation, setting concrete actions to modernize reservoirs, deploy remote monitoring, introduce differentiated water pricing, and empower basin-level users through Water User Associations. Meanwhile, the 2021 strategy specifically targeted canal modernization (raising concrete canal coverage from 35 % to 38 %, improving irrigation efficiency to 0.66), reducing low-water areas, deploying digital metering systems to over 18,500 facilities, and expanding drip irrigation from 121,000 to 822,000 ha.

The tangible results of these policies demonstrate a blend of ambition and early success. By 2022, concrete canal lining expanded to cover 525 km of main and inter-farm networks, 13,500 km

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

of internal channels were improved, 326,000 ha received pilot water-saving technologies, laser land leveling occurred on 507,000 ha, and over 350 million kWh of pumping energy was saved. Authorities also launched the "Suvchilar Maktabi" initiative to educate water specialists at the grassroots level, and offered concessional financing, subsidies, and tax incentives through platforms such as suvkredit.uz, supporting modern irrigation adoption.

Despite these gains, the challenges ahead remain formidable. Water scarcity in the Amu Darya and Syr Darya basins is worsening; hydrological models forecast a 15 % decrease in Amu Darya flows and a 5 % decrease in Syr Darya flows by mid-century.

Parallel to this, Conflicts between agriculture and energy use (water-for-food vs. water-for-energy nexus) complicate intra- and transboundary management. Indeed, canal modernization and efficient groundwater use are critical to meeting both food security and ecological goals.

Academic and institutional literature aligns with these policy priorities. Analyses highlight the shift from Soviet-era centralized control toward decentralized, community-based systems involving Water User Associations and local stakeholder engagement. Review studies identify the adoption of Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM), digital tools, and user-based management as key strategic directions. Nevertheless, there are persistent research gaps: most studies focus heavily on infrastructure, engineering, and institutional frameworks, but pay insufficient attention to socio-behavioral aspects such as farmer adoption patterns, digital technology openness, and climate-risk perception.

This systematic literature review aims to bridge this gap by comprehensively examining research from 2003 to 2024 on water resource management in Uzbekistan. It will analyze methodological approaches, thematic coverage, and policy implications, highlighting emerging trends and identifying critical areas such as behavioral economics, digital governance platforms, transboundary cooperation, and finance-incentivized water usage. In doing so, the study will inform both scholarly literature and policy-making, fostering a more integrated approach to Uzbekistan's water challenges.

Research Questions

This systematic review aims to analyze the academic literature related to water resource management in Uzbekistan by addressing the following core research questions:

- What are the main themes of academic research on water resource management in Uzbekistan?
- Which research methodologies are most commonly used?
- What are the key findings and policy implications of existing research?

Methodology

This systematic review was conducted based on 54 scientific articles focused on various aspects of water resource management, irrigation efficiency, water quality, and the impacts of climate change in Uzbekistan and Central Asia. The abstracts of each article were used as the primary source for analysis. A structured table was prepared for each article covering the following five components: topic, geographical focus, methodology, key findings, and implications.

The reviewed articles employed a wide range of scientific methodologies, which can be grouped into the following main categories:

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Mathematical modeling and scenario analysis: Many studies used hydrological and agroecological models such as SWAT, RUSLE, HYDRUS-1D, and WaterGAP3 to evaluate streamflow, soil erosion, salinity, and water productivity. Notably, several studies modeled the long-term effects of climate change using CMIP5 RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios.

Field-based experimental research: A number of articles included on-site experiments assessing irrigation practices, leaching requirements, mulching effects, and salt dynamics in various soil and groundwater conditions. These experiments focused on optimizing water use and increasing crop productivity under water-scarce conditions.

Geoinformatics and remote sensing: Studies applying Landsat, MODIS, and Google Earth Engine used GIS techniques to analyze spatial changes in water bodies, land use dynamics, and irrigation systems. GIS-based assessments helped map small lakes, groundwater recharge, and environmental degradation.

Social and institutional research: Articles examining Water Users Associations (WUAs), Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM), and transboundary water conflicts employed qualitative interviews, focus group discussions, political ecology, and institutional transformation frameworks to analyze governance challenges and stakeholder dynamics.

Economic and investment analysis: Several articles utilized Real Options Analysis (ROA), Net Present Value (NPV), Internal Rate of Return (IRR), and resource valuation models to assess the feasibility and timing of investments in irrigation technologies, afforestation, and water-saving methods.

Historical, political, and geopolitical contextualization: Articles focusing on the political economy of water management, dam safety, and the influence of initiatives like the Silk Road Economic Belt relied on policy analysis, historical document review, and geopolitical assessment to understand the legacy and challenges of regional cooperation.

During the review process, the methodological approach of each article was identified, and its purpose and role in achieving the research outcomes were examined. This allowed for a comprehensive understanding of the diversity of methodological tools used to address a common set of water-related challenges in the region.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

№	Article	Topic	Focus Area	Methodology	Key Findings
1	Kulmatov, R. et al. (2024)	Water quality indicators of a lake system	Aydar-Arnasay Lakes System (AALS), Uzbekistan	GIS approach, physicochemical analysis of 465 water samples	The discharge of collector-drainage water (CDW) has increased nitrogen and heavy metal concentrations in the lake system, posing a potential health risk through bioaccumulation in fish.
2	De Keyser, J. et al. (2023)	Data availability for the Water–Food–Energy–Climate (WFEC) Nexus	Central Asia	Stakeholder survey, literature screening, compilation of open-source geodata	Identified 60 unique open-source datasets across 6 categories to address the data scarcity gap for WFEC Nexus analysis in Central Asia.
3	Khasanov, S. et al. (2023)	Impact of soil salinity on crop production	Uzbekistan	Monitoring cropland and soil salinity changes (2000–2020) using Google Earth Engine	Phosphorus-based fertilizers contribute to soil salinity; salinity has led to a rapid decline in cotton and wheat exports.
4	Wang, X. et al. (2022)	Analysis of the water crisis and its drivers	Central Asia	Water stress index (WSI), safe drinking water and pollution indicators; quantitative analysis of driving factors	The water crisis in Central Asia is intensifying. Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan are in the "severe water stress" category. Human activities are more significant drivers than climate factors.
5	Chathuranika, I. et al. (2022)	Implementation of water-saving agro-technologies	Uzbekistan and other arid countries	Literature review and presentation of experimental results using "mulching" agro-technology	Various water management technologies (ancient and modern) are presented as suitable for arid countries like Uzbekistan to achieve sustainable water use.
6	Hafeez, M. & Awan, U.K. (2022)	Application of modern tools for irrigation water management	Amu Darya basin (Uzbekistan), Indus basin (Pakistan),	Perspective paper describing the application of geoinformatics, satellite remote sensing, and hydrological modeling	New monitoring and planning tools ("space age" tech) are highly effective in improving irrigation water management and growing more crop per drop of water.
7	Abou Zaki, N. et al. (2022)	Potential for expanding irrigated areas	MENA and Central Asia	Review of renewable water resources and scenario analysis of irrigated vs. rainfed farming	Uzbekistan is among the countries that can only expand agricultural areas by using non-renewable fossil water resources.
8	Ma, Y. et al. (2022)	Planning the water-food-ecology (WFE) nexus system under uncertainty	Central Asia	A copula-based bi-level decentralized programming (CBDP-WFE) model	Improving irrigation efficiency can optimize water allocation. Water allocations to Uzbekistan and Tajikistan should be controlled when water is scarce.
9	Matyakubov, U. et al. (2022)	Motivations for environmental conservation in the hotel industry	Aral Sea region of Uzbekistan	Interviews with senior hotel managers	Small, independent hotels show limited motivation for environmental conservation despite resource scarcity, highlighting the need for policy interventions.
10	Różkowski, J. & Rzętała, M. (2021)	Uzbekistan's aquatic environment as a subject for hydrology and tourism	Uzbekistan (Amu Darya, Syr Darya, Aydar-Arnasay system)	Descriptive analysis and review	Inefficient irrigation (70% water loss) has led to the disappearance of the Aral Sea and groundwater depletion. Environmental degradation has created unique hydrological attractions.
11	Wang, M. et al. (2021)	Optimal allocation of surface water resources	Amudarya River Basin (Uzbekistan part)	Inexact two-stage stochastic programming optimization model	Water competition mainly exists between irrigation and ecology. Optimized allocation can reduce water use while increasing economic benefits.
12	Zhang, Y.F. et al. (2021)	Optimizing the water-food-energy nexus (WFEN) system	Aral Sea basin	Copula-based stochastic fractional programming (CSFP-WFEN) model	Effectively reducing Uzbekistan's water consumption can improve water allocations to other countries and relieve regional conflicts.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

13	Fang, K. et al. (2021)	Environmental footprints of nations in the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI)	65 BRI nations, including Central Asia	Global multi-regional input–output model	The BRI as a whole is a net exporter of trade-embodied environmental impacts, except for virtual water. China, India, and Russia have the highest total footprints.
14	Wang, X. et al. (2020)	Assessment of water security	Central Asia	Projection pursuit model based on particle swarm optimization (PSO-PEE)	Uzbekistan is at risk regarding water quantity security and is under significant pressure (level IV water security), needing to strengthen water management.
15	Mukhammadiev, M.M. & Dzhuraev, K.S. (2020)	Feasibility of pumped storage power plants (PSPPs)	Uzbekistan	Proposed methodology and program for assessing PSPP efficiency	Several PSPP schemes show promise for regulating power generating capacities, including those based on solar and wind energy.
16	Gulakhmadov, A. et al. (2020)	Climate change impact on streamflow	Vakhsh River Basin (supplies Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan)	Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) hydrological model using GCMs under RCP scenarios	Significant temperature increases are expected. Annual streamflow is projected to increase, with a shift in the peak flow month from July to June, impacting downstream irrigation.
17	Gafforov, K.S. et al. (2020)	Climate change impact on rainfall-runoff erosivity	Chirchik-Akhangaran Basin, Uzbekistan	Statistical downscaling of GCM scenarios (RCP 4.5 & 8.5) and use of the RUSLE model	Precipitation and soil loss are projected to increase in parallel by 2070, indicating a rise in erosion activity.
18	Brody, M. et al. (2020)	Optimizing investment in irrigation technologies	Uzbekistan	Real options analysis (ROA) to find optimal investment strategies	To insure against risks to cotton production (climate change, regional conflict), better but expensive irrigation technologies are needed.
19	Djanibekov, U. & Finger, R. (2018)	Agricultural risks and farm land consolidation in cotton production	Uzbekistan	Recursive programming model considering risk-averse farmers	State procurement policy and land consolidation improve cotton output but reduce farm incomes. Higher cotton prices could improve both.
20	Zinzani, A. & Bichsel, C. (2018)	Politics of implementing Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM)	Middle Zeravshan valley, Uzbekistan	Political ecology analysis of rescaling processes in water governance	The implementation of IWRM and the shift to hydrographic units is an inherently political process, interlinked with the interests and power of national hydraulic bureaucracies.
21	Kasymov, U. & Hamidov, A. (2017)	Governance structures in pasture and irrigation water use	Central Asia	Institutions of Sustainability (IoS) framework to analyze common-pool resource (CPR) management	Differences in the physical properties of nature-related transactions (pasture vs. irrigation water) explain the differences in their governance structures.
22	Djumaboev, K. et al. (2017)	Impact of institutional change on irrigation management	Kashkadarya Province, Uzbekistan	Semi-structured expert interviews, focus group discussions, qualitative analysis (Atlas.ti)	Water consumer associations (WCAs) are hampered by outdated infrastructure, poor governance, and non-payment of fees by farmers.
23	Hill, A.F. et al. (2017)	Socio-hydro case study of water stressors	Naryn River Basin, Kyrgyzstan (headwater to Syr Darya)	Hydrochemical mixing models and community surveys	Current water stress is primarily due to management/access issues from the post-Soviet transition. Future stress is likely due to climate change impacts on snow/ice meltwater.
24	Zhupankhan, A. et al. (2017)	Power relationships and water sharing	Central Asia	Comparative analysis with the Nile Basin	A more even power balance, with upstream countries (Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan) becoming economically stronger, may improve collaboration on water issues.
25	Howard, K.W.F. & Howard, K.K. (2016)	Threat of the "Silk Road Economic Belt" to sustainable water management	Central Asia	Policy and risk analysis	The new "Silk Road" project represents a serious long-term threat due to the region's dysfunctional water management system, rivalry, and lack of good hydrological data.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

26	Conrad, C. et al. (2016)	Water volumes of small lakes and their potential for water/food security	Khorezm region, Northwest Uzbekistan	Bathymetric measurements, Landsat satellite data analysis	Small lakes store significant water from inefficient irrigation and have the potential to be used as an irrigation reserve, which could increase food/water security.
27	Dadabaev, T. (2016)	Japanese attempt to promote water resource efficiency	Uzbekistan	Case study analysis of a Japanese capacity-building project	Japan's "Integrated Water Resources Management" (IWRM) proposal had limited success due to weaknesses, but the agenda for efficient water consumption was well received.
28	Crootof, A. et al. (2015)	Potential for aquaculture in drainage lakes	Khorezm, Uzbekistan	Analysis of hydroecological and chemical characteristics of four lakes (2006-2008)	Three of the four studied lakes are well-suited for aquaculture, with water quality meeting guidelines. Pesticide concentrations were not a threat.
29	Abdullaev, I. & Rakhmatullaev, S. (2015)	Historical transformation of water management	Central Asia, with a focus on Uzbekistan	Historical analysis within the theory of transformative capacity of institutions	The traditional, state-centric, hydraulic mission approach to water management persists in the region, with water management remaining under socio-political control.
30	Malsy, M. et al. (2015)	Evaluation of large-scale precipitation data sets for water modeling	Central Asia and Mongolia	Application of WaterGAP 3 model with different global/regional climate data sets	Significant differences (up to 42%) and temporal deviations exist between precipitation data sets, leading to high uncertainty in modeled water availability.
31	Abdolvand, B. et al. (2015)	Geopolitical dimension of water management	Central Asia	Geopolitical and security analysis	Transboundary water management is an international concern shaped by foreign geopolitical interests. Sustainable management must be developed from within the region through capacity building.
32	Groll, M. et al. (2015)	Water quality and potential conflicts along a transboundary river	Zarafshan River (Tajikistan, Uzbekistan)	Field measurements, analysis of existing hydromet data, literature review	Significant water extraction for irrigation (over 60%) and pollution from agriculture and mining in the lower catchment will likely aggravate upstream–downstream conflicts.
33	Soliev, I. et al. (2015)	Costs of benefit sharing in transboundary water management	Ferghana Valley (Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan)	Historical and institutional analysis of data from 1917-2013	Benefit-sharing approaches have overlooked costs related to equity, the environment, transactions, and the potential misuse of issue linkages.
34	Awan, U.K. et al. (2013)	Spatial distribution of groundwater recharge in an irrigation scheme	Khorezm district, Uzbekistan	Field water balance model, HYDRUS-1D model, GIS, and remote sensing	Cotton fields had high recharge rates. Improving irrigation efficiency could significantly reduce recharge, aiding integrated surface and groundwater management.
35	Rakhmatullaev, S. et al. (2013)	Review of water reservoirs, irrigation, and sedimentation	Central Asia, with a focus on Uzbekistan	Review paper	Reservoirs are affected by high sedimentation rates from soil erosion. Uncoordinated use of reservoirs has caused environmental damage and social unrest (e.g., Arnasai lake problem).
36	Jalilov, S.-M. et al. (2013)	Reducing conflict in transboundary river development	Amu Darya Basin (Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan)	Economic optimization model over a 20-year period	Development of the Rogun Dam could secure benefits for all four nations, but requires patient political negotiations to resolve conflict.
37	Reddy, J.M. et al. (2013)	Evaluation of furrow irrigation practices	Fergana and Andijon Provinces, Uzbekistan	Field evaluation of 54 irrigation events at nine sites	High runoff (39% of applied water) and unreliability of flow rates indicate poor irrigation management.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

38	Djanibekov, U. et al. (2012)	Financial attractiveness of Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) afforestation	Khorezm region, Uzbekistan	Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) analysis	Tree plantations can be more profitable than cotton/wheat and save significant amounts of water. Current carbon prices alone are insufficient to initiate projects.
39	Awan, U.K. et al. (2011)	Improving irrigation water operation	Khorezm region, Uzbekistan (lower Amu Darya)	Case study analysis of a water users' association (WUA), ponding experiment	Excessive water supply due to overestimation of conveyance losses and outdated leaching requirements wastes water and increases soil salinity.
40	Karimov, A. et al. (2010)	Water 'banking' in aquifers	Fergana valley, Syrdarya river basin	Proposal and pilot modeling study for the Sokh aquifer	Storing excessive winter river flows in upstream aquifers for summer irrigation use ("water banking") is a feasible solution for the upstream-downstream issues.
41	Bekchanov, M. et al. (2010)	Impact of water availability on land and water productivity	Khorezm region, Uzbekistan	Descriptive statistical analysis of data from 2000-2007	Agricultural revenue depends on irrigation water availability. Low productivity occurs in water-scarce years and in tail-end regions.
42	Rakhmatullaev, S. et al. (2010)	Challenges and perspectives of water reservoirs	Uzbekistan	Overview paper	Conflict exists between upstream (energy use) and downstream (irrigation use) countries over reservoir operation. Sustainable management requires technical, institutional, and legal improvements.
43	Bezborodov, G.A. et al. (2010)	Effects of mulching and water quality on cotton productivity	Syr-Darya River Basin, Uzbekistan	3-year field study on saline soil	Mulching significantly reduced soil salinity accumulation and increased cotton yield and water productivity compared to non-mulched treatments.
44	Rakhmatullaev, S. et al. (2010)	Groundwater resources use and management	Amu Darya River Basin (Central Asia)	Analysis paper	Groundwater use increased during recent droughts. Management is weak and fragmented since the collapse of the Soviet system.
45	Abbink, K. et al. (2010)	Sources of mistrust in a transboundary water conflict	Syr Darya river (Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan)	Laboratory experiment modeling the conflict as a multi-round, three-player trust game	Cooperation is hard to achieve due to a lack of trust. New Uzbek reservoirs only weakly improve cooperation.
46	Libert, B. et al. (2009)	Safety of ageing large dams	Central Asia	Description of a UNECE-led initiative	Many dams built 40-50 years ago are at increasing risk of accidents due to limited maintenance and inadequate legal frameworks.
47	Forkutsa, I. et al. (2009)	Soil salinity dynamics under irrigated cotton	Khorezm region, Uzbekistan	Soil water model (Hydrus-1D) simulations and field monitoring	Present salt leaching is barely effective. Reducing soil evaporation (mulching) and improving drainage would be more effective at controlling secondary salinization.
48	Abdullaev, I. et al. (2009)	Participatory water management at the main canal level	South Ferghana canal, Uzbekistan	Case study of the IWRM Ferghana Valley (IWRM FV) pilot project	Presents the implementation process and preliminary outcomes of promoting IWRM elements and participatory management at the main canal level.
49	Aminova, M. & Abdullayev, I. (2009)	Water governance analysis in a state-centered environment	Uzbekistan	Governance analysis	A top-down quota policy can have positive effects on the public but may threaten long-term environmental sustainability.
50	Dukhovny, V. et al. (2007)	Drainage in the Aral Sea basin	Central Asia, focus on Uzbekistan	Review of drainage systems and issues	Artificial drainage is essential to control waterlogging and salinization. Since the 1990s, investment has declined, systems are poorly maintained, and salinized areas are increasing.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

51	Webber, H.A. et al. (2006)	Water use efficiency (WUE) of legumes under water-saving irrigation	Fergana Valley, Uzbekistan	2-year field experiment	Green gram had twice the WUE of common bean. Alternate furrow and deficit irrigation are appropriate methods to increase WUE, especially for green gram.
52	Froebrich, J. (2005)	Enhanced reservoir operation to improve water quality	Tuyamuyun Hydro Complex (Uzbekistan/Turkmenistan)	Modeling studies of modified reservoir operation	Modified reservoir operation can reduce salinity by over 30%, increasing the availability of usable water in water-stressed regions.
53	Giese, E. et al. (2004)	Interstate conflicts over water use	Central Asia	Conflict analysis	Unequal distribution of water resources and differing priorities (hydropower vs. irrigation) lead to conflicts that persist due to mutual distrust.
54	Matyakubov, B. (2003)	Ensuring water supply through efficient irrigation	Lower Amudarya basin, Uzbekistan	Account of water management projects	Actual water consumption for crops (over 14,500 m^3/ha) is much higher than the amount needed for achieved yields (5,500 m^3/ha), indicating significant potential for efficiency gains.

Discussion

The synthesis of findings from the 54 reviewed articles reveals that the water resources crisis in Uzbekistan is not merely a matter of arid geography but a complex socio-ecological problem driven by a confluence of historical inefficiencies, challenging economic realities, and intractable political stalemates. The results point to a series of interconnected feedback loops and paradoxes that perpetuate the crisis, even as a portfolio of potential solutions emerges from the scientific community. This discussion interprets these findings by exploring four central themes: the inefficiency-salinization feedback loop, the paradoxes of the economic imperative, the geopolitical stalemate of mistrust, and the challenge of synthesizing solutions from data to policy.

The findings consistently illustrate a vicious cycle at the heart of Uzbekistan's agricultural and environmental challenges. The legacy of Soviet-era infrastructure, characterized by inefficient open-canal irrigation systems, leads to massive water losses, with some reports suggesting that up to 70% of diverted water is lost. Field evaluations confirm this, showing, for example, that furrow irrigation can result in runoff of up to 39% of the applied water. This excessive water application, combined with poor drainage, causes waterlogging and triggers widespread secondary soil salinization , a phenomenon further exacerbated by the use of phosphorus-based fertilizers.

This land degradation directly reduces agricultural productivity , threatening both the national economy and food security. This creates a damaging feedback loop where more water is often used to try and leach salts, further straining the limited water resources without effectively solving the underlying problem. The literature shows that technological interventions like mulching and improving drainage to lower the groundwater table can be highly effective in mitigating salinization. However, the limited adoption of these techniques suggests that the problem's persistence is less about a lack of technical know-how and more about overriding economic and institutional barriers.

Economics is the primary driver of water use in the region, particularly for strategic export crops like cotton. Yet, this creates a profound paradox: the intensive water use aimed at short-term economic gain systematically undermines the long-term economic foundation by degrading the land

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

and water resources it depends on. This is evident in the reported decline of cotton and wheat exports due to falling yields from soil salinity.

Economic optimization models demonstrate that reallocating water could significantly increase overall economic benefits—in one case, by as much as USD 3.885 billion in the Amudarya basin. However, implementing such changes is politically fraught. Studies reveal further paradoxes, such as state procurement policies for cotton that boost national output but simultaneously reduce individual farm incomes , or the fact that the most-needed efficient irrigation technologies are often too expensive for widespread adoption. Financial analyses of alternatives, such as Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) afforestation, show they can be more profitable than cotton and save vast amounts of water. Yet, their feasibility is often hindered by low global carbon prices, indicating that without policies reflecting the true economic value of water, a shift toward more sustainable practices is unlikely.

The most intractable layer of the crisis is its transboundary nature. The fundamental conflict between upstream countries (Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan) prioritizing hydropower in winter and downstream countries (Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan) needing water for irrigation in summer is a constant source of tension. This is not a simple technical disagreement but a political stalemate rooted in deep and persistent mistrust. This mistrust was empirically demonstrated in a laboratory-based experimental economics study, which found that cooperation is hard to achieve even under controlled conditions due to a lack of trust between the parties.

The implementation of globally-promoted paradigms like Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) has often failed to be a neutral technical solution, becoming instead entangled with the political interests of national hydraulic bureaucracies. Some analyses suggest that a resolution may only come from a shift in the regional power balance, for instance, if upstream countries become economically stronger and can negotiate from a more even position. Conversely, new large-scale economic projects like the "Silk Road Economic Belt" pose a serious threat, as they risk intensifying water demand on an already dysfunctional and uncooperative management system.

Despite the challenges, the reviewed literature collectively outlines a clear, multi-stage pathway toward solutions, moving from data acquisition to policy implementation.

The first step, emphasized repeatedly, is the use of "space age" tools. GIS, remote sensing, and satellite imagery are identified as highly effective for diagnosing irrigation performance, monitoring soil salinity, assessing water balances, and quantifying water volumes in lakes and reservoirs. However, a major limitation cited across multiple studies is the scarcity of reliable, high-quality data, which impedes informed decision-making.

The data gathered from these tools feeds into sophisticated hydrological and economic models. These models are crucial for analyzing scenarios, forecasting the impacts of climate change, and planning for the optimal allocation of water resources across different sectors and countries.

The outputs from these models can then guide the implementation of specific, on-the-ground interventions. These range from farm-level techniques like mulching and alternate furrow irrigation to large-scale infrastructure solutions like "water banking" in aquifers and pumped storage power plants (PSPPs) to balance the water-energy nexus.

The entire pathway is contingent on this final, critical stage. The findings show that even the best technologies and plans will fail without institutional reform. The documented struggles of Water

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Consumer Associations (WCAs) due to poor governance and lack of funds , the persistence of a top-down, state-centric management approach , and the political capture of IWRM all point to governance as the ultimate bottleneck.

Limitations and future directions

The reviewed body of work, while extensive, has limitations. The primary limitation is the persistent scarcity of reliable, publicly available data, which forces many studies to rely on modeling or limited case studies. A second major theme is the gap between the proposed optimal solutions from models and the political reality of their implementation.

Future research should therefore focus on bridging this implementation gap. Priority areas should include: (1) developing robust and politically viable economic incentive structures for water conservation; (2) exploring practical mechanisms for building trust and facilitating cooperation in transboundary negotiations; and (3) conducting cost-benefit analyses of climate change adaptation strategies that account for both economic and non-economic values.

In conclusion, the synthesis of the presented research clearly demonstrates that Uzbekistan's water crisis is not simply a matter of resource scarcity, but rather a multifaceted socio-ecological problem arising from historical practices, economic pressures, and complex geopolitical dynamics.

The inefficient use of water in agriculture has led to severe environmental degradation, such as the near-disappearance of the Aral Sea and widespread soil salinization. This, in turn, directly threatens the country's food security and its export-oriented economy.

Although technological solutions such as water-saving agro-technologies and GIS monitoring exist, their widespread implementation faces serious obstacles due to economic constraints , institutional inertia (evidenced by the state-centric management and the weakness of Water Consumer Associations), and, most critically, deep-seated mistrust in transboundary relations. The implementation of advanced concepts like Integrated Water Resources Management is also often limited by political and bureaucratic interests.

Therefore, achieving sustainable use of water resources for Uzbekistan cannot be accomplished through technological upgrades alone. It requires a comprehensive approach that combines modern science and technology with fundamental reforms in governance, policies that reflect the true economic value of water, and a firm political will for regional cooperation. The solution to the problem depends on economic incentives, effective governance, and the strengthening of political trust, alongside technical measures.

References.

1. Abbink, K., Moller, L. C., & O'Hara, S. (2010). Sources of mistrust: An experimental case study of a Central Asian water conflict. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, *45*(2), 283–318.

2. Saydullayev, A., & Khudoyberdiev, D. (2024). The impact of agricultural production on food security in Uzbekistan in the coming years. YASHIL IQTISODIYOT VA TARAQQIYOT, 2(11).

3. Abdolvand, B., Mez, L., Winter, K., Schütt, B., & Rost, K. T. (2015). The dimension of water in Central Asia: Security concerns and the long road of capacity building. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *73*(2), 897–912.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

4. Abdullaev, I., & Rakhmatullaev, S. (2015). Transformation of water management in Central Asia: From state-centric, hydraulic mission to socio-political control. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *73*(2), 849–861.

5. Abdullaev, I., Kazbekov, J., Manthritilake, H., & Jumaboev, K. (2009). Participatory water management at the main canal: A case from South Ferghana canal in Uzbekistan. *Agricultural Water Management*, *96*(2), 317–329.

6. Abou Zaki, N., Kløve, B., & Torabi Haghighi, A. (2022). Expanding the irrigated areas in the MENA and Central Asia: Challenges or opportunities? *Water Switzerland*, *14*(16), Article 2560.

7. Aminova, M., & Abdullayev, I. (2009). Water management in a state-centered environment: Water governance analysis of Uzbekistan. *Sustainability*, *1*(4), 1240–1265.

8. Awan, U. K., Tischbein, B., & Martius, C. (2013). Combining hydrological modeling and GIS approaches to determine the spatial distribution of groundwater recharge in an arid irrigation scheme. *Irrigation Science*, *31*(4), 793–806.

9. Awan, U. K., Tischbein, B., Martius, C., Lamers, J. P., & Kamalov, P. (2011). Improving irrigation water operation in the lower reaches of the Amu Darya River - current status and suggestions. *Irrigation and Drainage*, *60*(5), 600–612.

10. Bekchanov, M., Karimov, A., & Lamers, J. P. A. (2010). Impact of water availability on land and water productivity: A temporal and spatial analysis of the case study region Khorezm, Uzbekistan. *Water Switzerland*, *2*(3), 668–684.

11. Bezborodov, G. A., Shadmanov, D. K., Mirhashimov, R. T., Noble, A. D., & Qadir, M. (2010). Mulching and water quality effects on soil salinity and sodicity dynamics and cotton productivity in Central Asia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, *138*(1-2), 95–102.

12. Brody, M., Golub, A., & Eshchanov, B. (2020). Approaches to optimize Uzbekistan's investment in irrigation technologies. *Ekonomicheskaya Politika*, *2020*(2), 136–147.

13. Chathuranika, I., Khaniya, B., Rathnayake, U., Neupane, K., & Rustamjonovich, K. M. (2022). Implementation of water-saving agro-technologies and irrigation methods in agriculture of Uzbekistan on a large scale as an urgent issue. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, *8*(5), Article 155.

14. Conrad, C., Kaiser, B. O., & Lamers, J. P. A. (2016). Quantifying water volumes of small lakes in the inner Aral Sea Basin, Central Asia, and their potential for reaching water and food security. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *75*(11), Article 952.

15. Crootof, A., Scott, J., Mullabaev, N., Nishonov, B., & Lamers, J. P. A. (2015). Hydroecological condition and potential for aquaculture in lakes of the arid region of Khorezm, Uzbekistan. *Journal of Arid Environments*, *117*, 37–46.

16. Dadabaev, T. (2016). Water resource management in Central Asia: A Japanese attempt to promote water resource efficiency. *Journal of Comparative Asian Development*, *15*(1), 64–90.

17. De Keyser, J., Wagner, B., Habersack, H., Karimov, B., & Karimov, E. (2023). Integrating open-source datasets to analyze the transboundary Water–Food–Energy–Climate Nexus in Central Asia. *Water Switzerland*, *15*(19), Article 3482.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

18. Djanibekov, U., & Finger, R. (2018). Agricultural risks and farm land consolidation process in transition countries: The case of cotton production in Uzbekistan. *Agricultural Systems*, *164*, 223–235.

19. Djanibekov, U., Khamzina, A., Djanibekov, N., & Lamers, J. P. A. (2012). How attractive are short-term CDM forestations in arid regions? The case of irrigated croplands in Uzbekistan. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *21*, 108–117.

20. Djumaboev, K., Anarbekov, O., Gafurov, Z., Hamidov, A., & Tussupova, K. (2017). Impact of institutional change on irrigation management: A case study from southern Uzbekistan. *Water Switzerland*, *9*(6), Article 419.

21. Dukhovny, V., Umarov, P., Yakubov, H., & Madramootoo, C. A. (2007). Drainage in the Aral Sea basin. *Irrigation and Drainage*, *56*(S1), S91–S100.

22. Fang, K., Wang, S., He, J., Fang, C., & Jia, X. (2021). Mapping the environmental footprints of nations partnering the Belt and Road Initiative. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *164*, Article 105068.

23. Forkutsa, I., Sommer, R., Martius, C., Tischbein, B., & Vlek, P. L. G. (2009). Modeling irrigated cotton with shallow groundwater in the Aral Sea Basin of Uzbekistan: II. Soil salinity dynamics. *Irrigation Science*, *27*(4), 319–330.

24. Froebrich, J. (2005). Enhanced reservoir operation - Concept for improving water quality and water management in water stressed areas (a comparison and synthesis from case studies in Central Asia and North-Africa). *International Agricultural Engineering Journal*, *14*(4), 147–160.

25. Gafforov, K. S., Bao, A., Liu, T., Duulatov, E., & Rakhimov, S. (2020). The assessment of climate change on rainfall-runoff erosivity in the Chirchik-Akhangaran Basin, Uzbekistan. *Sustainability Switzerland*, *12*(8), Article 3369.

26. Giese, E., Sehring, J., & Trouchine, A. (2004). Interstate conflicts about water use in Middle Asia. *Geographische Rundschau*, *56*(10), 10–16.

27. Groll, M., Opp, C., Kulmatov, R., Ikramova, M., & Normatov, I. (2015). Water quality, potential conflicts and solutions—an upstream–downstream analysis of the transnational Zarafshan River (Tajikistan, Uzbekistan). *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *73*(2), 743–763.

28. Gulakhmadov, A., Chen, X., Gulahmadov, N., Rizwan, M., & Anjum, M. N. (2020). Simulation of the potential impacts of projected climate change on streamflow in the Vakhsh River Basin in Central Asia under CMIP5 RCP Scenarios. *Water Switzerland*, *12*(5), Article 1426.

29. Hafeez, M., & Awan, U. K. (2022). Viewpoint: Irrigation water management in a space age. *Irrigation and Drainage*, *71*(S1), 39–50.

30. Hill, A. F., Wilson, A. M., Minbaeva, C. K., & Satylkanov, R. (2017). Hydrologic controls and water vulnerabilities in the Naryn River Basin, Kyrgyzstan: A socio-hydro case study of water stressors in Central Asia. *Water Switzerland*, *9*(5), Article 325.

31. Howard, K. W. F., & Howard, K. K. (2016). The new “Silk Road Economic Belt” as a threat to the sustainable management of Central Asia’s transboundary water resources. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *75*(11), Article 976.

32. Jalilov, S.-M., Ward, F. A., & Amer, S. A. (2013). Reducing conflict in development and allocation of transboundary rivers. *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, *54*(1), 78–109.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

33. Karimov, A., Smakhtin, V., Mavlonov, A., & Gracheva, I. (2010). Water 'banking' in Fergana valley aquifers-A solution to water allocation in the Syrdarya river basin? *Agricultural Water Management*, *97*(10), 1461–1468.

34. Kasymov, U., & Hamidov, A. (2017). Comparative analysis of nature-related transactions and governance structures in pasture use and irrigation water in Central Asia. *Sustainability Switzerland*, *9*(9), Article 1633.

35. Khasanov, S., Li, F., Sultonov, K., Kholov, N., & Chen, G. (2023). Impact assessment of soil salinity on crop production in Uzbekistan and its global significance. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, *342*, Article 108262.

36. Kulmatov, R., Taylakov, A., & Khasanov, S. (2024). GIS approach for the evaluation of water resources quality indicators of the Aydar-Arnasay Lakes System in Uzbekistan. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, *10*(1), Article 36.

37. Libert, B., Orolbaev, E., & Steklov, Y. (2009). Playing it safe in Central Asia. *International Water Power and Dam Construction*, *61*(11), 14–16.

38. Ma, Y., Li, Y. P., Zhang, Y. F., Ding, Y. K., & Huang, G. H. (2022). Planning water-food-ecology nexus system under uncertainty: Tradeoffs and synergies in Central Asia. *Agricultural Water Management*, *266*, Article 107549.

39. Malsy, M., aus der Beek, T., & Flörke, M. (2015). Evaluation of large-scale precipitation data sets for water resources modelling in Central Asia. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *73*(2), 787–799.

40. Matyakubov, B. (2003). How efficient irrigation can ensure water supply in the Lower Amudarya basin of Uzbekistan. *International Water and Irrigation*, *23*(3), 26–27.

41. Matyakubov, U., Filimonau, V., & Ermolaev, V. A. (2022). The (de)motives to conserve the environment among small independent hotels in a resource scarce destination. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality and Tourism*, *23*(6), 1596–1622.

42. Mukhammadiev, M. M., & Dzhuraev, K. S. (2020). Justification of the energy and economic parameters of pumped storage power plants in Uzbekistan. *Applied Solar Energy (English Translation of Geliotekhnika)*, *56*(3), 227–232.

43. Rakhmatullaev, S., Bakiev, M., Huneau, F., Celle-Jeanton, H., & Motelica-Heino, M. (2013). Water reservoirs, irrigation and sedimentation in Central Asia: A first-cut assessment for Uzbekistan. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *68*(4), 985–998.

44. Rakhmatullaev, S., Bakiev, M., Huneau, F., Le Coustumer, P., & Motelica-Heino, M. (2010). Facts and perspectives of water reservoirs in Central Asia: A special focus on Uzbekistan. *Water Switzerland*, *2*(2), 307–320.

45. Rakhmatullaev, S., Huneau, F., Le Coustumer, P., Motelica-Heino, M., & Hrkal, Z. (2010). Groundwater resources use and management in the Amu Darya River Basin (Central Asia). *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *59*(6), 1183–1193.

46. Reddy, J. M., Jumaboev, K., Matyakubov, B., & Eshmuratov, D. (2013). Evaluation of furrow irrigation practices in Fergana Valley of Uzbekistan. *Agricultural Water Management*, *117*, 133–144.

47. Różkowski, J., & Rzętała, M. (2021). Uzbekistan's aquatic environment and water management as an area of interest for hydrology and thematic tourism. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism*, *12*(3), 642–653.

48. Soliev, I., Wegerich, K., & Kazbekov, J. (2015). The costs of benefit sharing: Historical and institutional analysis of shared water development in the Ferghana Valley, the Syr Darya Basin. *Water Switzerland*, *7*(6), 2728–2752.

49. Wang, M., Chen, X., Demaeyer, P., Sidike, A., & Cao, L. (2021). Optimal allocation of surface water resources at the provincial level in the Uzbekistan region of the Amudarya River Basin. *Water Switzerland*, *13*(11), Article 1446.

50. Wang, X., Chen, Y., Fang, G., & Li, Z. (2022). The growing water crisis in Central Asia and the driving forces behind it. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *378*, Article 134574.

51. Wang, X., Chen, Y., Li, Z., Fang, G., & Wang, Y. (2020). Development and utilization of water resources and assessment of water security in Central Asia. *Agricultural Water Management*, *240*, Article 106297.

52. Webber, H. A., Madramootoo, C. A., Bourgault, M., Horst, M. G., & Stulina, G. (2006). Water use efficiency of common bean and green gram grown using alternate furrow and deficit irrigation. *Agricultural Water Management*, *86*(3), 259–268.

53. Zhang, Y. F., Li, Y. P., Huang, G. H., & Ma, Y. (2021). A copula-based stochastic fractional programming method for optimizing water-food-energy nexus system under uncertainty in the Aral Sea basin. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *292*, Article 126037.

54. Zhupankhan, A., Tussupova, K., & Berndtsson, R. (2017). Could changing power relationships lead to better water sharing in Central Asia? *Water Switzerland*, *9*(2), Article 139.

55. Zinzani, A., & Bichsel, C. (2018). IWRM and the politics of scale: Rescaling water governance in Uzbekistan. *Water Switzerland*, *10*(3), Article 281.