ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

ISSUES OF WORKING WITH FAMILIES BASED ON STATE REQUIREMENTS

Nurniyazova Gulmira Dauletniyazovna

1st year master of NSPI named after Ajiniyaz

Abstract. This article explores the key issues related to working with families in Uzbekistan within the framework of current state requirements and legislative policies. It examines the legal foundations, state programs, and institutional responsibilities that guide family support services, as well as the challenges faced by educators, social workers, and policymakers in implementing these directives. The discussion also highlights the socio-cultural characteristics of Uzbek families, the evolving expectations of state-family interaction, and the need for coordinated efforts to strengthen family resilience and child welfare.

Keywords: Uzbekistan, family policy, social work, legal framework, family support, state requirements.

INTRODUCTION

The family is the cornerstone of Uzbek society, serving not only as a unit of kinship but as a key institution for moral, educational, and cultural development. Recognizing its foundational role, the government of Uzbekistan has enacted a series of legal and social policies to protect and support families. These measures are aligned with the country's commitment to social justice, child welfare, and the development of a stable civil society.

However, despite state-level efforts, professionals working with families—such as social workers, educators, and community organizers—often face significant challenges in aligning their work with formal regulations. This article critically examines the state requirements governing family support in Uzbekistan and the practical issues arising from their implementation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Uzbekistan has developed a comprehensive legislative base to protect families, women, and children. Key legal instruments include the Constitution of the Republic of Uzbekistan, the Law "On the Guarantees of the Rights of the Child", the Family Code, the Law "On Prevention of Domestic Violence" (2020), and various presidential decrees concerning family welfare and women's empowerment.

State programs such as the "Obod Qishloq" and "Obod Mahalla" initiatives emphasize community development and social integration, indirectly supporting family well-being. Additionally, the Agency for Youth Affairs and the Committee on Women and Family are tasked with overseeing and coordinating services related to family and gender issues.

Despite these policies, there remains a gap between legal declarations and the realities on the ground, where local specialists often struggle with limited resources, institutional overlaps, and insufficient professional training.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Uzbek families are traditionally multigenerational, hierarchical, and strongly patriarchal. While these characteristics provide emotional support and social cohesion, they can also create challenges in addressing sensitive issues such as domestic violence, gender inequality, and child protection. Social workers must navigate these complexities while adhering to state regulations that may not always account for local customs or social resistance to external intervention.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

Furthermore, rapid urbanization, labor migration, and the influence of global media are reshaping family structures and expectations. These changes require family services to become more adaptive and culturally responsive, without compromising the legal obligations imposed by the state.

One of the main challenges in working with families based on state requirements is the lack of intersectoral coordination. Responsibilities are often fragmented among various ministries, leading to duplication of services or bureaucratic delays. For example, while schools may identify at-risk children, social services may lack the authority or capacity to intervene promptly.

Another critical issue is the shortage of trained personnel. Many family support specialists lack sufficient preparation in psychology, legal procedures, or community engagement techniques. The absence of a standardized curriculum for family-centered social work further exacerbates the problem.

There is also a deficit in public awareness and trust. Families are often unaware of their rights under the law or mistrustful of government authorities, especially in cases involving domestic conflict. As a result, underreporting and limited cooperation hinder the effectiveness of official support programs.

State requirements increasingly emphasize the protection of women and children as vulnerable groups. However, in practice, gender-sensitive approaches are often inadequately applied. Shelters for victims of domestic violence are limited, and the legal process can be lengthy and emotionally taxing for survivors.

Child protection systems are in place but often lack mechanisms for early identification and holistic support. Psychological services in schools and communities are insufficient, particularly in rural areas. While legal norms are clear about child rights, enforcement is inconsistent, and preventive work is still underdeveloped.

A further layer of complexity in addressing family-related work within Uzbekistan's legal and administrative framework lies in the divergence between centralized policy formation and local-level implementation. While state requirements often follow top-down directives embedded in national programs and presidential decrees, local execution frequently suffers from lack of contextual adaptation, logistical support, and human capital. This disconnect can be particularly observed in remote or economically disadvantaged regions where family vulnerability is often highest, yet institutional infrastructure is weakest.

For instance, mahalla-based social oversight—long considered a culturally integrated mechanism for managing family and community affairs—has been formalized under state supervision. The transformation of the traditional mahalla into a quasi-governmental structure has yielded mixed outcomes. On the one hand, it has enhanced the reach of state policy into the household; on the other hand, it has often politicized what were once informal and trust-based community relations. This transition has, in some cases, led to reduced confidentiality, community fatigue, and skepticism towards formal intervention.

Another pressing issue in practice is the over-bureaucratization of family support services, where excessive documentation, rigid administrative hierarchies, and overlapping jurisdictions hinder timely and responsive aid. Social workers are often required to prioritize form-filling and procedural compliance over human-centered engagement. As a result, families in crisis situations may experience delayed assistance, or in some cases, avoid seeking help altogether due to perceived inefficiency or fear of formal exposure.

Moreover, there is a growing recognition of the need for differentiated approaches when dealing with various family typologies, such as migrant households, single-parent families, multi-child families,

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-6

and those with disabled members. Current state policies tend to adopt a universalistic framework which may fail to address the unique psychological, economic, and social pressures faced by each group. For example, children left behind by labor migrants often suffer from emotional detachment and academic decline, yet existing support mechanisms remain generalized and reactive rather than specialized and preventive.

In addressing such gaps, cross-sectoral collaboration remains more aspirational than operational. Although coordination is mandated between educational institutions, law enforcement bodies, healthcare providers, and social protection services, in reality, these entities frequently operate in parallel rather than in synergy. The absence of unified case management systems, shared data platforms, and multidisciplinary intervention teams makes comprehensive support difficult, especially for high-risk families.

Additionally, the psychological dimension of family work remains underdeveloped in the current state paradigm. While legal and material support is increasingly prioritized, the need for emotional counseling, trauma-informed care, and mental health services is often marginalized or outsourced. Many schools lack professional psychologists, and when present, these specialists are overwhelmed with administrative tasks rather than therapeutic engagement. As societal stressors—such as unemployment, substance abuse, and generational conflict—intensify, there is an urgent need to embed psychological resilience-building into family welfare policy.

An emerging area of attention is the digitalization of family services, as Uzbekistan advances its e-government strategy. Initiatives such as the "E-Navbat" registration system for kindergartens and the "Yagona ijtimoiy reyestr" (Unified Social Registry) aim to streamline public access to family-related services. While promising in theory, these systems often lack integration, are inaccessible to digitally illiterate populations, and are subject to occasional technical failures. Without accompanying digital inclusion policies—particularly for rural women, the elderly, and low-income families—digital solutions may inadvertently deepen service gaps rather than close them.

From a human rights standpoint, ensuring the dignity and agency of families within state interactions is critical. Cases have been reported where state intervention in family matters—especially those related to parental competence or child neglect—were perceived as coercive or stigmatizing. This raises ethical concerns around the balance between state protection and family autonomy. Professionals need training not only in administrative compliance, but also in empathy, cultural sensitivity, and ethical discretion.

Furthermore, family education programs mandated or encouraged by the state often follow a didactic and prescriptive model, focusing on instructing parents about responsibilities rather than engaging them in participatory dialogue. While such programs are useful for conveying legal expectations, they may fall short in cultivating deeper behavioral change or in addressing systemic stressors like poverty, discrimination, or intergenerational trauma. Innovative approaches such as parenting circles, peer mentoring, and family counseling hubs should be more actively explored and supported at policy level.

Finally, the growing engagement of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and international development partners has provided a valuable counterbalance to purely state-driven models. Organizations working in areas such as domestic violence prevention, disability advocacy, and child protection bring with them flexible methodologies, community-based outreach, and cross-cultural expertise. However, these actors often face regulatory hurdles, limited access to public institutions, and unstable funding streams. For a truly inclusive and effective family support system, partnerships

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

between state and civil society actors must be institutionalized, with clear frameworks for collaboration, accountability, and mutual capacity building.

CONCLUSION

Working with families in Uzbekistan within the framework of state requirements involves navigating a complex interplay of legal, institutional, and cultural factors. While national policies provide a strong foundation for protecting family welfare, challenges in implementation remain significant. Addressing these issues requires a coordinated, culturally sensitive, and professionally competent approach that empowers both families and the professionals who serve them. As Uzbekistan continues to modernize its social institutions, sustained investment in family support services will be essential for building a resilient, inclusive society.

REFERENCES

1. Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On the Guarantees of the Rights of the Child” (2008).
2. Law “On Prevention of Domestic Violence”. (2020).
3. Decree of the President of Uzbekistan No. PP-4235 “On Measures to Strengthen the Institution of the Family” (2019).
4. Agency for Youth Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan – official reports and strategies, 2022–2024.
5. Максетова Н., Утешова З. Linguistic characteristics of english dictionaries and their new ways of compiling //Ренессанс в парадигме новаций образования и технологий в XXI веке. – 2022. – №. 1. – С. 77-79.
6. Kosherbaevna Z. G. Ways of Using the Karakalpak Oral Folk Art in the Upbringing of the Future Generation //International Journal on Integrated Education. – 2021. – Т. 4. – №. 9. – С. 87-89.
7. Avezovna I. L., Khurliman E. Exploring the Role of Technology in Early Childhood Education: Benefits and Challenges //American Journal of Advanced Scientific Research. – 2024. – Т. 1. – С. 85-86.
8. Allambergenovna E. D., Avezovna L. I. Educational Views Of The Hero Of Uzekstan Allaniyaz Uteniyazov, Activities Of The Hero Of Uzbekstan Allaniyas Uteniyazov In The Path Of Well-Being Of The People //Solid State Technology. – 2020. – Т. 63. – №. 4. – С. 5103-5108.
9. Ибрагимова Л. А., Садуллаева Р. Модели непрерывного образования воспитателей ДОУ //Молодой ученый. – 2019. – №. 4. – С. 392-394.
10. Esbosynovna O. P., Berdimuratovna S. Z. Effective methods of developing children's speech. – 2022.
11. Sh O., Oteniyazova P. ADAPTATION OF YOUNG CHILDREN TO THE CONDITIONS PRESCHOOL EDUCATIONAL ORGANIZATION //Norwegian Journal of Development of the International Science. – 2021. – №. 74-2. – С. 32-34.
12. Отениязова, П. Е. (2023). ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКИЕ ТРЕБОВАНИЯ ПРИ ВОСПИТАНИИ МЕНТАЛЬНОСТИ У ДЕТЕЙ ДОШКОЛЬНОГО ВОЗРАСТА В УСЛОВИЯХ КАРАКАЛПАКСТАНА. In *НАУКА И ПРОСВЕЩЕНИЕ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ* (pp. 229-231).
13. Юлдашева, У., & Тлеумбетова, К. (2024). АДАПТАЦИЯ РЕБЕНКА К ДОУ. *IN SITU*, (7), 72-74.