ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

PRAGMATIC AND LINGUOCULTURAL FUNCTIONS OF PARALINGUISTIC MEANS IN SPEECH ACTS

Raximova Feruzaxon Toxirjon qizi
Fergana State University, basic doctorate
f.raximova@pf.fdu.uz ORCID ID 0009-0002-2475-8003

Abstract: This article explores the functional and cultural significance of paralinguistic means in the formation and interpretation of speech acts within the framework of modern pragmatics and communicative linguistics. It argues that paralinguistic elements—such as gestures, facial expressions, intonation and tone are not merely supplementary tools but essential communicative components that shape illocutionary force and pragmatic meaning. The paper emphasizes the role of cultural context in determining the interpretation of these means and highlights their variability across linguistic communities. Through linguistic and literary examples, including a close analysis of an excerpt from F. S. Fitzgerald's The Great Gatsby, the study demonstrates how paralinguistic features contribute to expressive, directive, representative, and interrogative speech acts. The findings suggest that integrating an understanding of paralinguistic communication into foreign language teaching and intercultural communication training is crucial for developing pragmatic competence and enhancing communicative effectiveness.

Key words: paralinguistic means, speech acts, intercultural communication, pragmatic competence, non-verbal communication, expressive acts, directive acts.

In contemporary linguistics, particularly within pragmatic and communicative frameworks, it is increasingly acknowledged that speech is conveyed not only through words but also via various non-verbal means. Among these, paralinguistic elements occupy a prominent place, actively participating in expressing a speaker's emotional state, attitude, intention, and communicative stance. Non-verbal cues such as facial expressions, body language, eye contact, voice modulation, pauses, intonation, timbre and tempo enrich communication by enhancing contextual clarity, contributing pragmatic depth and often imparting unique shades of meaning.

The content and usage of paralinguistic means are often shaped by linguocultural factors. Each culture interprets and assigns social status to non-verbal expressions differently. Consequently, exploring the linguocultural characteristics of paralinguistic tools holds significant importance for intercultural communication, the development of pragmatic competence, and methodologies in foreign language instruction.

Although paralinguistic means may appear universal at first glance, their use and semantic load are deeply rooted in cultural context. For example, in some cultures, heightened intonation signifies sincerity and enthusiasm, whereas in others, it might be interpreted as aggression, pressure, or disrespect. Such distinctions underline the need to regard paralinguistic markers as integral components of a linguocultural system. In intercultural communication, it is essential not only to use grammatically correct language but also to accurately convey the pragmatic meaning of speech acts. From this perspective, paralinguistic elements function as pragmatic indicators that either clarify or alter the illocutionary force of spoken utterances.

The term "intercultural communication" was first introduced in 1954 by G. Trager and E. Hall in their works on culture and communication. In their research, intercultural communication was interpreted

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

as an ideal objective that individuals should strive for in order to better and more effectively adapt to the external world [8, 12].

Examining the linguocultural features of speech acts involving paralinguistic elements is among the essential issues in linguistics. It is well established that acts of consent and affirmation can be expressed not only through linguistic units such as yes, alright, fine, but also via paralinguistic elements like nodding or blinking. These devices may be employed either in combination with verbal forms or as silent acts accompanied by gestures, i.e., silence + paralinguistic means. For example:

Nega pishmas ekan? – dadam yarq etib menga qaradi. – Kim o‘zi, o‘sha? Ko‘ngling bormi?

Indamay bosh irg‘adim. (O‘. Hoshimov. Ikki eshik orasi. 690)

In the excerpt above, the act of nodding silently (indamay bosh irg‘amoq) constitutes a paralocutionary act (silence + nod), aiming to convey confirmation. This generates an illocutionary act, which the interlocutor interprets as affirmation, thereby continuing the communicative chain through a perlocutionary response.

U-chi? Rozimi? Ahd-paymon qilganmisanlar?

Dadamning shu fe’lini yaxshi ko‘raman-da! Masalani ko‘ndalang qo‘yadi.

Xa! – dedim dangaliga ko‘chib.

Both the silent nod and the verbal response yes function as acts of affirmation. However, each carries additional psychological intent: the former softens the situation, while the latter demonstrates assertiveness. The speaker chooses paralinguistic or linguistic means depending on the desired psychological impact.

Paralinguistic means convey additional semantic and emotional information. Through them, one can identify social roles, emotional states, attitudes, and moods. These functions are well illustrated in the following passage from F. S. Fitzgerald’s The Great Gatsby:

He followed me wildly into the kitchen, closed the door, and
whispered:
“Oh, God!” **in a miserable way.**
“What’s the matter?”
“This is a terrible mistake” he said, **shaking his head from**
side to side, “a terrible, terrible mistake”.
“You’re just embarrassed, that’s all” and luckily I added: “Daisy’s embarrassed too”.
“She’s embarrassed?” he **repeated incredulously**.
“Just as much as you are”.
“Don’t talk so loud”.

Paralinguistic Means	Effect/Function	Speech Act Type	Semantic Role
wildly	Uncontrolled emotional action	Expressive	Signifies excitement, stress
closed the door	Ensures privacy	Indirect Directive	Encourages secrecy

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

whispered	Low voice, whispering	Expressive + Directive	Suggests embarrassment, caution
Oh, God! in a miserable way	Tone of despair	Expressive	Expresses regret and suffering
shaking his head	Gesture of denial	Representative	Signals negative judgment
She's embarrassed? incredulously	Question with disbelief	Interrogative + Expressive	Expresses doubt and astonishment
Don't talk so loud	Lower voice command	Directive	Call for silence

Speech Act Analysis:

a) Expressive Acts: Utterances like "Oh, God!" and "This is a terrible mistake" are expressed with a miserable tone and head-shaking, conveying regret, anxiety, and distress. Without paralinguistic reinforcement, these might seem like neutral statements of fact.

b) Directive Acts: "Don't talk so loud" is an explicit command. However, combined with whispering, closing the door, and speaking in a low tone, it conveys the directive indirectly, revealing the speaker's embarrassment and concern about being overheard.

c) Representative (Assertive) Acts: The phrase "This is a terrible mistake" expresses a definitive judgment. This is reinforced by the accompanying head-shaking, reflecting a strongly negative evaluation both verbally and physically.

d) Interrogative + Expressive Acts: "She's embarrassed?" is grammatically a question, but the incredulous tone adds an expressive layer, signaling disbelief, surprise, and emotional tension through intonation and delivery.

Conclusion. The analysis of the excerpt demonstrates that paralinguistic means are not merely auxiliary tools, but pivotal components that define the illocutionary force of speech acts. Especially in expressive and directive acts, the integration of paralinguistic features intensifies communicative impact. This enhances a reader's or listener's understanding of not only the verbal but also the emotional and pragmatic dimensions of a message—crucial for interpreting both real-life interactions and literary discourse.

References:

1. Austin, J. L. (1962). How to Do Things with Words. Oxford University Press.
2. Searle, J. R. (1979). Expression and Meaning: Studies in the Theory of Speech Acts. Cambridge University Press.
3. Crystal, D. (2003). A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics. Blackwell Publishing.
4. Yule, G. (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford University Press.
5. Fitzgerald, F. S. (1925). The Great Gatsby. Charles Scribner's Sons.
6. Muxtor A. (2018). Chinor. – Toshkent: Yoshlar nashriyot uyi.
7. Hoshimov O'. (2012). Ikki eshik orasi. – Toshkent: Sharq.
8. Usmanova Sh, Rixsiyeva G. (2017) Madaniyatlararo muloqot. O'quv qo'llanma. Toshkent.