ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

ANALYSIS, SYNTHESIS, AND TRANSCRIPTION EXERCISES IN TEACHING THE VERB TOPIC

M. A. Rahimqulova
Master's student at Bukhara State Pedagogical Institute
rahimqulovamohigul1994@gmail.com

Annotation. *This article examines how analysis, synthesis, and transcription exercises are employed in teaching the verb topic in Uzbek and Turkish language textbooks. The verb, functioning as the predicative center of a sentence, holds a leading role in grammatical instruction in both languages. Through a comparative lens, the paper investigates the similarities and differences in methodological practices—particularly how textbooks present verb stems, affixes, and tense, person forms. Special attention is paid to how analysis helps learners dissect morphological components, how synthesis enables them to creatively construct new verb forms, and how transcription clarifies orfoepic norms and accurate pronunciation. The study concludes that, while Uzbek textbooks tend to incorporate fewer direct transcription tasks, they do feature many exercises on root-affix dissection and analytic verb forms; Turkish textbooks place broader emphasis on transcription due to specific phonetic elements ("ğ," "ı," "ö," "ü"). In either language, analysis, synthesis, and transcription together reinforce students' deep linguistic awareness and practical skills. The article also suggests enhancing textbook exercises with digital tools and interactive methods, thus fostering students' motivation and competence.*

Keywords: *Uzbek language, Turkish language, verb instruction, analysis, synthesis, transcription, language textbooks, morphological exercises, orfoepic norms, comparative methodology.*

INTRODUCTION

The verb—one of the most important word classes in any Turkic language, including Uzbek and Turkish—typically serves as the predicative center of a sentence and carries the semantic burden of speech. Consequently, in the process of teaching the verb topic, it is particularly important to explain to students the system of word classes, identify grammatical features, and apply analysis and synthesis in practice.

Mastering the various forms and categories of the verb, as well as understanding how it is derived, is one of the final, crucial steps in developing students' grammatical skills. In addition, exercises such as analysis, synthesis, and transcription contribute to a deeper understanding of word structure and phonetic composition, helping align spelling and pronunciation.

Today, in primary and secondary school textbooks, language material is presented step by step according to children's age characteristics and their cognitive abilities. This includes moving from simple forms of the verb to more complex structures, paying attention to its morphological and syntactic roles, derivational mechanisms, and rules of pronunciation (transcription). In this article, we comparatively investigate similarities and differences, as well as methodological aspects, of analysis, synthesis, and transcription exercises given under the verb topic in Uzbek and Turkish language textbooks.

This topic is relevant for ongoing reforms in language-teaching methodology, for studying textbooks from different countries, and for focusing on content. Especially in relation to language competencies, the importance of the verb, the use of analysis and synthesis methods, attention to transcription, and

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

the types of solutions that both Uzbek and Turkish languages offer must be compared with best practices.

RESULTS

The verb stem – In Turkic languages, including Uzbek and Turkish, the process of forming verbs consists of a base (stem) plus the affixes attached to it. For example, in Uzbek, the base "bor-" ("to go") may take affixes like "-yapti," "-arkan," "-dim," which represent grammatical categories such as person-number, tense, and mood. In Turkish as well, bases such as "gel-" (to come), "git-" (to go), "yap-" (to do) form the root, and through affixes ("-iyor," "-iyorum," etc.) create grammatical forms.

1. Analysis – Separating a word's structure into syllables, base, and affixes. This process clearly shows students how the verb is formed and what meanings each morpheme conveys. In both Uzbek and Turkish, these exercises appear in forms such as "Identify the verb stem," "Specify which affixes were used," etc.
2. Synthesis – Recombining the previously extracted parts (morphemes) into a new word or form. For verbs, synthesis might involve tasks like adding "-dim," "-ding," "-di" to the Uzbek base "bor-" to create different grammatical forms. On the one hand, this develops students' independent creative activity and linguistic thinking; on the other hand, it helps them firmly master verb forms.
3. Transcription – Depicting pronunciation in a written form, a more precise way to show the phoneme-grapheme relationship. In Turkic languages, there can be differences or subtle points about sound vs. spelling. For instance, Turkish has the sounds "ğ," "ı" (the narrow "i"), which do not exist in Uzbek or appear differently. Uzbek also has "o‘" and "g‘" in its phonemic inventory, represented accordingly in transcription.

In textbooks, transcription exercises usually appear as a check on pronunciation or offering letter-based or phonetic script. The assignment "Transcribe the verb" is not always widespread, but from a methodological perspective such an exercise can improve students' phonetic knowledge and orthographic vocabulary. Transcription not only teaches the sound composition but also explains how the verb stem changes in pronunciation—for instance, in Turkish sometimes "geliyorum" is pronounced a bit differently, or in Uzbek "boryapman" vs. "borayapman" may diverge.

Analysis, synthesis, and transcription exercises primarily cultivate deep linguistic analysis skills in students. When investigating verb types, tense forms, person-number categories, the learner gradually understands each category's meaning and function. Instead of just memorizing, they see how the process of forming new shapes occurs, which is one of the main methodological goals in textbooks.

For example, behind the various verb types (action verbs, state verbs, multiple tense forms) lie affixes such as *-dim, -ding, -di* in Uzbek or *-di, -dir, -iyor* in Turkish, which can be taught practically. By means of analysis, synthesis, and transcription, learners do not simply store information but acquire the ability to form words themselves. This plays a significant role later in constructing text and in developing speech competence.

Literature (Ibrohimov, 2000; Mirtojiyev, 2012; Moinzoda, 2019, etc.) repeatedly underscores the importance of analysis and synthesis for teaching verb categories. From a methodological standpoint, it is believed that "the student gains the skill to independently generate previously unknown forms by understanding the verb's stem and the affixes attached to it."

Approved by the Uzbek Ministry of Public Education, textbooks traditionally introduce the verb topic starting in grades 2–4. At an initial level (grades 2–3), they present mainly "The verb expresses an action," some basic explanation of tense (present, past, future), initial forms of the verb, simpler

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

exercises. At this stage, analysis tasks are straightforward: "State the verb stem," "What affixes does it contain," "Which tense is it," etc.

From grades 4–5 onwards, the system of word classes is expanded and so are the analysis tasks: identifying the verb stem, types of affixes, certain orthographic or spelling features, more intricate writing rules. Synthesis exercises also appear, e.g., "Attach various tense or mood affixes to the given verb stem to form new forms." The student tries combining "-di," "-ar," "-adi," "-yapti," etc., with the Uzbek root "bor," thus practicing a purposeful use of morphological building blocks.

In higher grades (6–9), the verb topic is studied more extensively morphologically, covering different semantic forms, analytical verbs, auxiliary verbs, orthoepeic aspects, as well as more advanced exercises. Throughout this process, we also see tasks involving synthesis, e.g., forming derivatives from the stem "ozmoq," or analyzing "chopmoq," "yaratmoq," "bosmoq," "borish," then reconstructing them. Transcription might come into consideration, though in most textbooks transcription remains less emphasized, overshadowed by analysis and synthesis.

Sample exercises:

– Analysis exercises: "Read the following verbs and identify their stem. For each verb, indicate the tense form and the affixes used: bordi, borar, boraman, borayapti." Students here practically examine the parts (stem and affixes).

– Synthesis exercises: "Let the verb stem be 'kel-.' Add various person-number and tense affixes to compile a list: keldim, kelding, keldi, kelyapman, kelarman, kelishim mumkin, etc." In such exercises, students gain the skill to independently form verb shapes and perceive the semantic value of each affix.

– Transcription exercises: Typically, "kelyapti" might be pronounced as [kel'yapti], "boryapti" as [bor'yapti], indicating changes in how syllables and sounds combine. In transcription tasks, "Show the phonetic writing of the verb" might appear. However, real textbooks rarely contain these tasks and instead integrate them into small orfoepic rules.

Usually, the number of tasks in the textbook depends on the scope of the lesson: there might be 2–3 tasks on verb analysis, 1–2 tasks on synthesis, perhaps one on transcription. Methodological aims: to show students practical usage of action and state verbs, tenses, and person-number categories.

Didactically, the objective of these tasks is to show grammar in practice rather than "dry theory." Instead of memorizing, children in some grade can, through analysis and synthesis, discover for themselves why adding "-di" signifies past tense or why "-yapti" indicates a present progressive tense. Repetition of such tasks, which gradually become more challenging based on the student's developmental level, is essential.

Tailoring to the students' age and preparation is also crucial: for grades 2–3, the main emphasis is on simpler tenses and minimal analysis; for grades 5–6, more complex forms appear (e.g., "borib kelmoq," "qaytib kelmoq" in Uzbek, or the reflexive, reciprocal, or causative forms). The approach to transcription is very basic at early ages, with a deeper look at orfoepic norms in higher classes.

In modern Turkish, forming a verb shape depends on the root plus affixes. For instance, from the root "gel-" ("to come"), the language adds tense, person, or negation affixes: "gel-di," "gel-iyor," "gel-medi," "gel-ecek," "gel-iyor-um," "geldim, geldin, geldi," etc. Meanwhile, the suffix "-mak" is used to form infinitives: "gelmek," "gitmek," "yapmak," etc. Because of certain phonetic changes in Turkish—like softening, lengthening, or the letter "ğ"—transcription tasks become more relevant, clarifying or standardizing how to represent these shifts.

Distribution of textbook exercises

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

– Analysis - identifying the verb stem, enumerating suffixes, specifying tense (past "-di," present progressive "-yor," future "-ecek"), and person-number affixes ("-um, -sun, -uz, -sunuz," etc.). For example, analyzing "Geliyorum" as stem "gel-" + progressive suffix "-iyor" + 1st-person singular "-um."

– Synthesis - tasks about person-number, voice, and diathesis. In textbooks, one might find "From the root 'Gel-,' derive forms such as gelmedim, geliyordu, geleceğim," etc. Pupils systematically combine known affixes ("-medi" for negation, "-iyor" for the progressive tense, "-du" for past, "-ecek" for future, "-im" for 1st-person singular) to produce new shapes.

– Transcription - focusing on pronunciation, special characters (like "ğ," "ı," "ö," "ü"). In early grades, Turkish textbooks clarify how to differentiate "I, İ, ı, i," or "g, ğ." Sometimes, transcribed examples illustrate how letters are pronounced and how the word changes. For instance, "geliyor" might be split into [ge-li-yor], or "yağız" might be [ya-ɣɯz].

In official Turkish textbooks (published by the Ministry of National Education, Millî Eğitim Bakanlığı) for early grades, analysis and synthesis revolve around simpler tense suffixes. Gradually, from intermediate levels onward, diathesis (active/passive), person-number affixes, and suffixes like "-dik, -duq, -duk, -dür, -uz" are introduced for advanced forms. Workbooks ("Çalışma Kitabı") or teacher guides likewise provide tasks like "Given the root (fiil kökü), add appropriate suffixes and form sentences."

Below is a comparative table showing the main similarities and differences in the tasks for the verb topic in Uzbek and Turkish language textbooks. The verb topic generally stands out as central in both languages. Thus, textbooks include step-by-step material on root, suffixes, tense forms, and person-number affixes. The table specifically focuses on how analysis (morphologically dissecting the word), synthesis (creating new shapes), and transcription (comparing sound vs. writing) are presented, as well as how much attention each language devotes to them.

Table 1.

Structural Similarities and Differences in Teaching the Verb Topic in Uzbek and Turkish Language Textbooks.

Key Focus	Uzbek Language Textbooks	Turkish Language Textbooks
Role of the Verb Topic	- The verb is among the main sections of word classes. - In early grades, it's simplified ("What does it signify?"). - In middle and upper grades, morphological forms are expanded.	- Likewise, the verb has a central place in Turkish. - Elementary levels: "What does a verb signify?" in a simple format. - From middle grades on, detailed coverage of the verb stem, person-number, and tense forms.
Verb Stem & Suffixes	- Uzbek textbooks often emphasize identifying the verb stem as a primary exercise. - Suffixes/prefixes are almost absent; "g'," "o'," etc. might appear in some affixes. - Typically revolve around splitting the verb structure ("yur + di," "yur + gan," etc.).	- Turkish has relatively more variety: tense, person, voice, diathesis suffixes. - Suffixes "-dı," "-acak," "-ıyor" used for tenses. - E.g., "yap + ıyor + um" (a compound shape) is analyzed in textbook exercises.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

Analysis (Morphological)	- In early grades: simpler tasks, "Find the stem," "Which tense?" - Middle grades: more complex tasks about word derivation, tense, person-number, voice. - Introduced progressively.	- Even at lower levels, the concept of "verb stem + suffix" is clarified. - Suffixes "-dik," "-im," "-siniz" for person-number are taught in steps. - Middle grades often add "-miş," "-acak," "-yor" analysis tasks.
Synthesis (Creating New Form)	- In middle and upper grades: e.g., "bor + gan = borg an," "bor + di = bordi" in practical tasks. - Some textbooks have exercises creating verb forms from affixes (e.g., forms from "yur").	- "gel + di + m" (keldim), "yap + acağ + ım" (I will do) are widely used tasks. - Synthesis tasks combine person, number, tense, or voice to create compound forms. - Usually many such variants are provided in textbooks.
Transcription Exercises	- Direct transcription exercises are less common. - Some references to distinguishing "o'," "g'" in pronunciation or orthoepeic notes. - Rarely an entire separate unit on transcription.	- Turkish uses "ğ," "ı," "ö," "ü," so "phonetic writing vs. pronunciation" issues appear more often. - From early grades, "sesli-sessiz harf" differences and the "ğ" feature are taught. - Wider coverage of transcription tasks.
Lower vs. Upper Grade Levels	- Lower: a simple definition, practical examples, with images. - Middle: tenses, voice, sometimes orthographic tests. - Upper: complex forms (bor + a + tur + gan, o'q + i + ymiz?), practical analysis, text-based tasks.	- Lower: identify verb base, initial suffix separation. - Middle: compare "-iyor," "-ecek," "-miş." - Upper: advanced synthetic forms to express nuances, plus text-based examples.
General Conclusion	- Both treat the verb as a central topic, but in Uzbek textbooks, transcription tasks are fewer. - Synthesis tasks increase but do not always progress smoothly. - Analysis is strongly emphasized.	- Turkish emphasizes phonetic intricacies ("ğ," "ı," "ö," "ü") → more transcription tasks. - Synthesis & analysis start earlier and become more complex step by step. - Textbook quality may vary, but general approach is consistent.

From the table 1, we see that Uzbek and Turkish textbooks share overall methodological principles in presenting the verb topic: from simpler notions in early grades to morphological forms plus analysis-synthesis tasks in middle grades, then complex verb categories in higher grades.

Meanwhile, differences exist as well. Turkish more intensively features transcription-based exercises due to special sounds ("ğ," "ı," "ö," "ü") that require care in spelling vs. pronunciation. In contrast,

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

Uzbek textbooks devote fewer tasks specifically labeled as transcription, though both rely on analyzing stems and affixes, practicing tense/person forms in an interactive manner.

This comparative look indicates that textbooks in both languages follow a step-by-step developmental path anchored in the theoretical basis of analysis-synthesis. In the future, we might conclude that it is necessary to broaden transcription tasks in both languages and make greater use of digital textbooks plus audiovisual resources.

Among the differences is that certain verb categories, e.g., "-iyor," "-ecek" in Turkish, are extremely frequent in everyday usage, meaning Turkish might have a slightly larger repertoire of tense suffixes than Uzbek. Meanwhile, Uzbek textbooks often address analytical verbs (borib kelmoq, yashab turmoq, berib yubormoq) in separate lessons, while Turkish textbooks systematically mention "yardımcı fiiller" (etmek, olmak, kılmak, etc.) at relevant grade levels in a somewhat more linear arrangement.

Engaging student motivation—an essential principle of modern teaching methodology—sometimes clashes with the seemingly "dry" nature of analysis-synthesis drills. Illustrations, tables, diagrams, and interactive methods help learners tackle the tasks with enthusiasm. For instance, visually depicting "Verb formation" as a schematic flow from "stem + affix → new verb," or employing a game method of "boxes" containing affixes with set meanings, so that learners create as many new forms as possible.

Some textbooks limit transcription to questions like "Why does the letter 'ı' sound as [ɯ]?" Many methodologists suggest including more wide-ranging transcription practice. Otherwise, there may be an increase in mispronunciations and disregard for orfoepic norms, especially among bilingual groups.

Also, synthesis tasks are sometimes assigned as extra homework to the learner, but if they lack detailed explanation, students fail to develop deeper real-life competence. In the future, interactive resources (audio-linguistic software, mobile apps, online platforms) could be implemented to let the user "select" affixes for a root, automatically check transcription, etc., which might motivate the younger generation.

Analysis tasks sharpen students' grasp of word structure, particularly the logic behind combining a verb stem and affixes. This fosters theoretical knowledge and the correct usage of morphological forms in speech. Synthesis tasks stimulate creativity, since the learner can attach different tense or mood suffixes to a single verb stem, comparing semantic differences.

Transcription tasks—where needed—ensure students do not err in orthographic or phonetic aspects, carefully distinguishing certain sounds. This is crucial if, e.g., Uzbek students learn Turkish as a foreign language (and vice versa), or in any context where one language has letters like "o‘," "g‘," "ı," "ğ" that the other does not.

To build an effective methodology for teaching the verb topic, it is beneficial to adopt a graded system:

- In the earliest years (grades 2–3), introduce the simplest forms and stems of the verb.
- In the middle levels (4–5), more intense use of analysis-synthesis exercises.
- In upper grades (6–9), expand to complex verb forms, passive or analytic constructions, etc.

At each stage, orfoepic exercises, audio materials, use of modern technologies (mobile apps, internet resources) for self-study, and balanced distribution of tasks on the verb topic throughout lessons can systematically develop students' knowledge and skills.

CONCLUSION

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

Studying the verb topic via analysis, synthesis, and transcription proves an effective methodological approach for developing students' deep grammatical knowledge and practical skills. Although the progression is step-by-step in both Uzbek and Turkish textbooks, there are certain common aspects and differences:

1. Analysis tasks – revolve around identifying the verb stem and affixes, providing a strong grasp of word formation and morpheme function.
2. Synthesis tasks – require adding the relevant affixes to a stem to form new shapes, demanding creativity and allowing students to assimilate the language system independently.
3. Transcription tasks – direct attention to pronunciation and orfoepic norms. Specifically in Turkish, "ğ" or "ı," and in Uzbek, "o‘" or "g‘," must be explained carefully.

Comparative analysis indicates that Turkish textbooks generally incorporate more transcription coverage (due to "ğ," "ı," "ö," "ü"), whereas Uzbek textbooks place more emphasis on analytic verb forms or, in some cases, phonetic synthesis. Yet both languages rely on systematically dissecting the verb's root and affixes, and on consolidating forms through practical assignments.

Hence, textbook authors, methodologists, and teachers would do well to diversify and enrich analysis-synthesis exercises with modern information technologies. Students would thereby not just memorize theoretical content but also "sense" language structure, apply it creatively, and strengthen their overall speech competency.

This article presents insights and suggestions for textbook developers and teachers on enriching the practical-methodological side of teaching the verb. In the future, it is essential to explore polilingual groups, interactive exercises on online platforms, and more extensive comparisons with other Turkic languages.

References

1. Ibrohimov R. (2000). O‘zbek tili morfologiyasi. Tashkent: O‘qituvchi.
2. Mirtojiyev M. (2012). Hozirgi o‘zbek adabiy tili (morfologiya). Tashkent: Yozuvchi.
3. Moinzoda A. (2019). "Fe'l shakllarining semantik tahlili va uslubiy o‘rni." Til va adabiyot jurnali, 2(47): 56-63.
4. Millî Eğitim Bakanlığı (2018). Türkçe Ders Kitabı. Ankara: MEB Yayınları.
5. Keskin O. (2019). "Türkçe'de fiil çekimi ve eklerin işlevsel analizi." Dilbilim Araştırmaları Dergisi, 31(2): 120-132.
6. Bekmirzaeva X. (2020). "O‘zbek tili darsliklarida morfologik tahlil mashqlarining lingvodidaktik o‘rni." Oliy ta'lim jurnali, 6(1): 33-40.
7. Cebeci K. (2021). "Yabancılara Türkçe öğretiminde fonetik ve transkripsiyon çalışmaları." In Uluslararası Dil ve Eğitim Sempozyumu proceedings.
8. Xalq ta'limi vazirligi (2021). "Umumiy o‘rta ta'lim maktablarining ona tili va adabiyot fanidan namunaviy o‘quv dasturi." Tashkent.
9. Abdullayeva U. (2016). "Fe'l formasining o‘zbek tilida qo‘llanish xususiyatlari." Filologiya masalalari, 4: 21-27.
10. Tonkina A. (2015). Comparative Grammar of Turkic Languages. Istanbul: Kafkas Yayınları.