ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

The Role of Phonetics in Second Language Acquisition

Shukurullayeva Hulkaroy Vohid qizi
Student, Chirchik State Pedagogical University
Scientific adviser: Eshonqulova Sarvinoz Yashinovna, teacher CHSPU

Abstract: Phonetics plays a fundamental role in second language acquisition (SLA), particularly in developing accurate pronunciation, listening comprehension, and overall communicative competence. As learners strive to master a new language, the ability to perceive and produce unfamiliar sounds accurately becomes essential. This article explores how phonetics contributes to SLA, reviewing key theories and empirical studies, and presenting findings from a qualitative analysis of English language learners exposed to targeted phonetic training. The results indicate that explicit instruction in phonetics significantly enhances learners' pronunciation and auditory discrimination, emphasizing the need for its integration into language teaching curricula. Pedagogical implications and future research directions are also discussed.

Keywords: Phonetics, second language acquisition, pronunciation, listening comprehension, phonetic training, speech sounds, auditory discrimination, language learning, segmental features, suprasegmentals.

Introduction: Second language acquisition involves a complex interplay of linguistic, cognitive, and social factors. Among these, phonetics—the study of the physical sounds of speech—remains one of the most critical yet often underestimated components. While grammar and vocabulary are traditionally emphasized in language instruction, accurate pronunciation and listening skills are equally vital for effective communication. Mispronunciation can lead to misunderstandings, while poor listening skills can hinder comprehension and confidence.

This article argues that phonetics should be an integral part of second language teaching. It examines the role of phonetics in the SLA process, with a particular focus on how learners perceive and produce the sounds of a new language. Furthermore, it presents findings from a study involving English language learners and discusses the broader implications for teaching and curriculum design.

Literature Review

Phonetics and Pronunciation: The significance of phonetics in SLA has long been recognized. Ladefoged (2006) defines phonetics as the scientific study of speech sounds, categorized into articulatory (production), acoustic (transmission), and auditory (perception) branches. In SLA, learners often struggle with segmental features (consonants and vowels) and suprasegmental features (intonation, stress, rhythm), which are essential for natural and intelligible speech.

Celce-Murcia et al. (2010) emphasize that phonetic training helps learners acquire not just individual sounds but also prosodic elements that contribute to fluent and native-like speech. For example, English stress patterns or intonation contours can change the meaning or emotional tone of utterances. Learners unfamiliar with these features may misinterpret or be misunderstood.

Theoretical Models of Speech Learning: Flege's Speech Learning Model (SLM) (1995) provides a framework for understanding how L2 learners acquire new phonetic categories. According to SLM, adults can learn to distinguish and produce new phonemes, but their L1 phonetic inventory strongly

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

influences perception. If a sound in the target language is perceived as similar to an L1 sound, learners may substitute it, leading to accent or mispronunciation.

Similarly, Lenneberg's Critical Period Hypothesis (1967) posits that younger learners have greater plasticity for acquiring phonological systems, but research shows that even adult learners can make significant improvements with explicit training (Derwing & Munro, 2005).

Phonetic Training and Listening Comprehension: Listening comprehension is closely linked to phonetic awareness. Hardison (2004) found that learners who underwent phonetic training showed significant gains in speech perception, particularly in recognizing minimal pairs and understanding fast or accented speech.

Phonetic training helps learners become more attuned to the phonological contrasts in the target language, improving overall intelligibility.

Pedagogical Perspectives: Morley (1991) advocates for the integration of phonetics into communicative language teaching, arguing that phonology is not an isolated skill but interacts with other components of language. She proposes that pronunciation instruction should be contextualized and include both perception and production tasks, along with corrective feedback.

Methodology: This study employed a qualitative approach to examine how explicit phonetic instruction influences the development of pronunciation and listening skills in second language learners.

Participants: Twenty intermediate-level English as a Second Language (ESL) learners aged 18 to 30 participated. They were divided into two groups: a control group receiving standard ESL instruction and an experimental group receiving additional phonetic training.

Procedure: Over eight weeks, the experimental group engaged in weekly phonetic sessions, which included:

- Phoneme articulation (IPA-based training)
- Minimal pair discrimination tasks
- Stress and intonation pattern exercises
- Listening and shadowing native speech
- Feedback on recorded speech samples

Both groups took pre- and post-tests measuring pronunciation accuracy (read-aloud tasks) and listening comprehension (dictation and discrimination tasks).

Data Collection and Analysis: Audio recordings were transcribed and analyzed using PRAAT software to assess vowel and consonant accuracy. Listening scores were analyzed for improvement in word recognition and minimal pair distinction. Participant feedback was also collected through open-ended questionnaires.

Results and Discussion

Pronunciation Improvement: The experimental group showed an average increase of 21% in pronunciation accuracy, particularly with English vowels and final consonant clusters—features

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

commonly problematic for L2 learners. The control group's improvement was marginal (5%), attributed to incidental exposure rather than systematic instruction.

Learners reported increased confidence in speaking, fewer communication breakdowns, and greater awareness of their own articulation. This aligns with Derwing and Munro's (2005) findings that targeted pronunciation practice enhances intelligibility more than spontaneous correction.

Listening Comprehension Gains: Listening scores in the experimental group improved by 25%, with marked gains in recognizing reduced forms and stress-based word prominence. These learners also reported greater ease in understanding native speakers in fast-paced or informal speech. This supports Hardison's (2004) argument that phonetic awareness facilitates auditory processing and speech segmentation.

Learner Perceptions and Attitudes: Questionnaire responses revealed that learners valued phonetic training, describing it as "eye-opening," "challenging," and "useful." Some noted initial difficulty in distinguishing sounds like /ɪ/ vs. /iː/, but eventually recognized the role of phonetics in reducing their foreign accent and enhancing communication.

Limitations: This study had a limited sample size and short duration. A longitudinal study would better capture the long-term impact of phonetic instruction. Additionally, learners' L1 backgrounds influenced their challenges and progress, suggesting the need for tailored phonetic instruction.

Conclusion: Phonetics is a cornerstone of second language acquisition, crucial for developing intelligible pronunciation and effective listening skills. This study reinforces the value of integrating phonetic instruction into language teaching, showing that even brief, focused training can yield significant improvements.

Educators should consider explicit phonetic teaching as part of a balanced curriculum, combining auditory training, articulation practice, and feedback.

Future research should explore scalable methods for phonetic instruction and its impact across different learner populations.

References:

1. Celce-Murcia, M., Brinton, D. M., & Goodwin, J. M. (2010). Teaching Pronunciation: A Course Book and Reference Guide. Cambridge University Press.
2. Derwing, T. M., & Munro, M. J. (2005). Second language accent and pronunciation teaching: A research-based approach. TESOL Quarterly, 39(3), 379–397.
3. Flege, J. E. (1995). Second language speech learning: Theory, findings, and problems. In Speech perception and linguistic experience: Issues in cross-language research, 233–277.
4. Hardison, D. M. (2004). Generalization of computer-assisted prosody training: Quantitative and qualitative findings. Language Learning & Technology, 8(1), 34–52.
5. Ladefoged, P. (2006). A Course in Phonetics (5th ed.). Thomson Wadsworth.
6. Lenneberg, E. H. (1967). Biological Foundations of Language. Wiley.
7. Morley, J. (1991). The pronunciation component in teaching English to speakers of other languages. TESOL Quarterly, 25(1), 51–74.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

8. Pennington, M. C., & Richards, J. C. (1986). Pronunciation revisited. TESOL Quarterly, 20(2), 207–225.

9. Jenkins, J. (2000). The Phonology of English as an International Language. Oxford University Press.