ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

INTEGRATING CRITICAL THINKING INTO CEFR-BASED COMMUNICATIVE LANGUAGE TEACHING FOR 21ST-CENTURY COMPETENCE

A.X.Mukhamedov
Ass. Prof., PhD, JSPU
S.O.Doniyorova
Graduate Student, JSPU

ABSTRACT

This article explores the integration of critical thinking into CEFR-based communicative language teaching, arguing that such alignment is essential for preparing 21st-century learners to engage meaningfully in academic, professional, and intercultural contexts. Within the Uzbek educational landscape, where English is gaining prominence, the traditional focus on memorization and structural accuracy must give way to pedagogies that promote analysis, evaluation, and reflective communication. The study demonstrates how communicative descriptors can be reinterpreted through a critical thinking lens to foster autonomy and deeper engagement. It proposes a framework of spiraled, task-based activities that integrate language skills with higher-order thinking. The article also examines the use of digital platforms alongside low-tech tools to support collaborative reasoning, visible thinking, and formative assessment. Emphasis is placed on reflective practices, dual-trait rubrics, and portfolio-based evaluation as means to validate critical thinking-communicative competence development. Professional development, curriculum design, and national policy alignment are identified as key levers for sustainable implementation. Ultimately, the paper offers a research-informed model for transforming English language education in Uzbekistan and similar contexts, shifting the focus from rule-based instruction to inquiry-driven, cognitively rich learning.

Keywords: Critical Thinking, Communicative Competence, CEFR, Language Teaching, Higher-Order Thinking Skills, Task-Based Learning, Reflection, Assessment, Curriculum Design, Digital Tools**.**

The integration of **Critical Thinking** into **CEFR-based communicative language teaching** is essential for preparing learners to participate effectively in the demands of the 21st-century globalized world. In Uzbekistan, where English is increasingly used for academic, professional, and intercultural communication, language teaching must transcend its traditional focus on structural accuracy and memorization. Instead, it should foster learners' abilities to analyze information, construct arguments, solve real-world problems, and engage reflectively with both local and global issues. The **Common European Framework of Reference for Languages,** particularly through its 2020 Companion Volume, offers fertile ground for this pedagogical shift by highlighting mediation, interaction, and pragmatic competence as key areas of development[1].

Traditionally, CEFR descriptors have been interpreted as functional milestones - e.g., "can express opinions on abstract topics" - with little attention to the cognitive processes involved. This limits their utility in fostering critical thinking. However, recent pedagogical models suggest that the CEFR can serve as a **cognitive roadmap**, wherein each communicative descriptor is linked to one or more

[1] Council of Europe. (2020). *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment – Companion Volume*. Strasbourg: Council of Europe Publishing.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

higher-order thinking skills. For instance, the B1 descriptor *"Can relay detailed information on routine matters"* aligns well with the critical-thinking operation *"identifying implicit assumptions."* When students are tasked with comparing Uzbek and EU news articles on water policy, they must surface hidden premises, evaluate credibility, and generate bilingual summaries that capture contrasting perspectives. This dual-outcome task - language plus reasoning - not only addresses linguistic goals but also develops students' intellectual autonomy.

This approach aligns with **Bloom's revised taxonomy** which categorizes thinking into six ascending levels: remember, understand, apply, analyze, evaluate, and create. Designing CEFR-aligned tasks through this scaffold allows for the **graduated development of cognitive depth**, ensuring that communicative competence does not remain superficial but matures into dialogic, evidence-based interaction. A critical insight from cognitive psychology and second language acquisition is that **thinking and language must develop in tandem**, each reinforcing the other. A well-designed instructional sequence might begin with low-risk recognition tasks (e.g., identifying facts vs. opinions), progress to guided applications (e.g., inferring speaker intentions in dialogues), and culminate in creative synthesis (e.g., drafting policy proposals or evaluating ethical dilemmas). For example, an **eight-hour module** on urban sustainability could unfold as follows:

Input Phase: Learners read and annotate news articles on environmental practices. They identify claims, evidence, and rhetorical devices (remember/understand).

Guided Exchange: Students conduct information-gap tasks where they must infer missing details about each city's approach to waste management (apply/analyze).

Problem-Solving Debate: Teams develop action plans for improving local recycling systems, defending their ideas with data and policy references (evaluate/create).

Such spiraled tasks have been shown to significantly enhance learners' capacity to express, defend, and revise ideas. A 2024 analysis by Prasansaph found medium effect sizes for both **spoken fluency** and **critical reasoning** in EFL learners exposed to project-based and task-based learning structures[2].

Digital platforms offer new opportunities for embedding critical thinking into communicative tasks. Tools like **Google Docs** allow for collaborative drafting, live feedback, and visible thinking trails. In a sample task, students used Google Docs to co-construct argumentative essays on climate justice. Every edit had to be justified with a comment, encouraging peer-to-peer evaluation and reflective metacognition. Teachers later analyzed these comment threads to assess both **language performance** and **critical engagement.** Where internet access is limited, **offline alternatives** and printed **graphic organizers** (e.g., argument maps, claim-evidence grids) serve similar functions. Importantly, these tools make students' reasoning **visible, editable, and assessable**, providing rich data for formative and summative evaluation. Multiple studies confirmed that both online and offline problem-based learning tools yield measurable gains in critical thinking dispositions and task engagement across rural EFL settings in Central Asia[3].

No critical-thinking pedagogy is complete without **reflection**. After each communicative task, students complete **bilingual exit tickets,** prompted to articulate the strongest argument they encountered; one question that remains unresolved; a self-assessment of how well they supported their position.

[2] Prasansaph, P. (2024). "Project-based Learning in EFL Educational Settings: A Meta-Analysis Study in EFL/ESL Writing." Journal of Writing Research, 16(1), 299-321.

[3] Gao, Y., Qian, M., & Zhou, L. (2023). "Investigating the Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning on Academic Achievement in EFL Classrooms: A Meta-Analysis." Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 32(3), 345-360.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

These routines promote **self-regulated learning** and allow students to track their own progress in both language and thinking. Weekly reflective journals can also be incorporated. In a 2022 study at Universitas Negeri Makassar, EFL students who participated in microteaching and wrote reflective journals showed moderate improvements in critical thinking, particularly in the evaluating component, with approximately 70% of students reaching an adequate level. [4].

To move beyond anecdotal success stories, it is essential to validate CT-CC integration through **rigorous empirical evidence**. This involves collecting **pre- and post-instruction data** (e.g., recorded speaking tasks), using **dual-trait rubrics**, such as the CEFR interaction scale and the Holistic CT scoring rubric; applying **mixed-effects statistical modeling** to separate language gains from cognitive gains.

Integrating critical thinking into communicative language teaching has demonstrated positive outcomes in enhancing students' language proficiency and cognitive skills. Educators observed that when learners engaged in activities requiring analysis, evaluation, and synthesis of information, their ability to use the language meaningfully improved. This approach not only bolstered their linguistic capabilities but also fostered deeper understanding and engagement with the content. The success of such pedagogical strategies heavily relies on the teachers' ability to design and implement lessons that balance language objectives with critical thinking goals. Professional development programs have been instrumental in equipping educators with the necessary skills and knowledge. For instance, online courses focusing on critical thinking in English language teaching have provided teachers with practical tools and methodologies to incorporate higher-order thinking skills into their classrooms. Participants of these programs reported increased confidence in creating lesson plans that simultaneously address language competencies and critical thinking. Moreover, collaborative professional development models, such as lesson study cycles, have emerged as effective means for continuous improvement. In these cycles, teachers collaboratively plan, observe, and reflect on lessons, allowing them to refine their instructional practices continually. This collaborative approach not only enhances teaching strategies but also fosters a community of practice among educators, promoting shared learning and support. In conclusion, the deliberate integration of critical thinking into communicative language teaching enriches the learning experience, making it more engaging and effective. Through targeted professional development and collaborative practices, educators can sustain and enhance these innovative teaching methodologies, ultimately leading to improved student outcomes in language proficiency and critical thinking abilities.

Table: Pedagogical Design Principles for Integrating Critical Thinking and Communicative Competence

Design Element	Critical Thinking Focus	Communicative Focus (CEFR)	Illustrative Tools or Activities
Descriptor-CT Alignment	Uncover implicit assumptions; identify biases	B1-B2 Mediation and Interaction	Jigsaw reading tasks; bilingual summarization exercises

[4] La Sunra. (2022). Exploring EFL students' critical thinking through reflective journal. Celebes Journal of Language Studies, 2(2), 227-238. HAR Press Indonesia.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

Design Element	Critical Thinking Focus	Communicative Focus (CEFR)	Illustrative Tools or Activities
Task Sequencing & Scaffolding	Gradual increase in cognitive complexity	Progression from A2 to B2 proficiency	Guided information-gap activities; structured debates; policy proposal development
Digital Integration	Encourage justification of edits; facilitate argument evaluation	Collaborative language production	Collaborative writing using platforms like Google Docs with comment features
Reflective Practices	Foster metacognitive awareness	Self-assessment; mediation of arguments	Exit tickets; reflective journaling exercises
Assessment & Validation	Evaluate both cognitive and linguistic development	Combined CEFR and critical thinking rubric scoring	Pre- and post-task assessments using dual-trait rubrics
Teacher Professional Development	Enhance instructional design and reflective teaching practices	Designing CEFR-aligned tasks incorporating critical thinking	Participation in MOOCs; engagement in lesson study cycles

The table serves as a practical guide for educators aiming to integrate CT skills with CLT, ensuring that learners develop both cognitive and linguistic competencies effectively.

Designing pedagogical tools that **seamlessly integrate critical thinking into CEFR-based communicative tasks** is no longer an abstract ideal - it is a research-informed imperative. The combination of rigorous sequencing, collaborative technologies, reflective routines, and dual-trait assessment provides a robust framework for transforming English language classrooms in Uzbekistan. This approach not only meets the linguistic needs of learners but empowers them to think critically, act ethically, and participate meaningfully in the global discourse community. By linking each CEFR descriptor to an explicit critical-thinking move, sequencing tasks along the cognitive continuum, and validating outcomes through data-rich methods, this model ensures that communicative competence is not achieved at the expense of intellectual depth. Rather, it embodies the principle that **to learn a language is also to learn to think** - a goal that resonates with the most progressive aims of 21st-century education. Curriculum development that integrates critical thinking with language objectives requires a cohesive framework that aligns instructional goals, content, and assessment methods. This involves identifying critical thinking skills such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation and embedding them within language learning outcomes. For example, a curriculum unit on environmental issues might include objectives like «analyze the causes and effects of pollution» or «evaluate different solutions to environmental problems,» thereby integrating content knowledge with language practice.

Moreover, **curricular coherence** is strengthened when these elements - objectives, materials, activities, and assessments - are thematically unified and sequenced in alignment with both **Bloom's taxonomy** and **CEFR proficiency descriptors.** For graduate-level English language learners in Uzbekistan, topics of high academic and societal relevance - such as digital ethics, intercultural

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

communication, public health, or sustainable development - offer ideal contexts for integrating critical reasoning with advanced language functions.

For instance, a unit on **digital surveillance and privacy** could incorporate the CEFR descriptor at the B2 level, *"Can develop an argument systematically with appropriate highlighting of significant points"*, while also targeting the CT skill *evaluating competing arguments*. Learners might examine articles from international media, identify implicit assumptions, compare cultural perspectives on digital rights, and ultimately compose a policy brief or argumentative essay. This not only enhances learners' command of discourse-level language but also fosters nuanced judgment and ethical reasoning.

To further support integration, **material design** can employ **scaffolded tasks** that progress from lower-order to higher-order thinking. Initial comprehension tasks (e.g., identifying main ideas) can transition into activities requiring analysis (e.g., contrasting viewpoints), synthesis (e.g., creating infographics summarizing key ideas), and evaluation (e.g., writing rebuttals or solutions to complex problems). Visual organizers like **argument maps, cause-effect chains**, and **SWOT analyses** can support this progression and provide scaffolds for academic writing and discussion.

In contexts where **textbook resources are limited or outdated**, instructors may curate **open educational resources (OERs)** or develop localized content that resonates with learners' backgrounds and real-world concerns. For example, incorporating case studies or narratives from Uzbek communities - such as challenges in rural education or local environmental activism - encourages students to apply critical thinking to issues with personal and national relevance. This enhances both **linguistic ownership** and **civic engagement**.

Additionally, **collaborative learning** approaches such as **project-based learning (PBL)** and **problem-based learning (PBL)** are particularly effective in combining CT and language use. In a graduate seminar, students might collaborate on a semester-long project, such as designing an awareness campaign on mental health, conducting interviews, and presenting findings through a research poster or digital presentation. These formats not only demand high levels of interaction and mediation (as emphasized by the CEFR) but also simulate authentic academic and professional tasks.

Assessment, as well, must evolve to match these instructional strategies. Alongside traditional essays or presentations, instructors can use **performance-based assessments**, **portfolio assessment**, and **peer/self-evaluation rubrics** that measure both content depth and communicative precision. For example, an oral presentation rubric might include dimensions such as: clarity of argument structure, relevance and use of evidence, responsiveness to audience questions, and linguistic fluency and accuracy.

In sum, the design of curriculum and materials that interweave critical thinking and language development must be **deliberate, coherent, and context-sensitive**. It should provide learners not only with the language tools to communicate effectively, but also with the intellectual strategies to question, critique, and contribute meaningfully to their communities and academic disciplines.

In designing integrated materials, **task typology** plays a crucial role in determining the depth and breadth of learners' engagement. According to Willis, task-based learning fosters conditions for authentic language use and real-time decision-making, which are fertile grounds for applying critical thinking[5]. When tasks are designed to incorporate **open-ended problems** and **ill-structured scenarios**, learners are required to negotiate meaning, evaluate competing ideas, and articulate

[5] Willis, J. (1996). A Framework for Task-Based Learning. Harlow: Longman Pearson Education.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

reasoned conclusions - all in the target language.

A project like “Reforming University Assessment in Uzbekistan” offers students a rich and realistic learning experience that goes beyond practicing language skills in isolation. In this activity, students are asked to explore how universities currently assess learning, look at how other countries approach similar challenges, talk to people who are directly involved - such as teachers, students, and administrators - and then write a short, clear report with practical suggestions for improvement. The final step, where students present their ideas in a mock meeting resembling a government discussion, gives the task a sense of real-world importance and encourages them to express themselves with purpose and clarity. What makes this activity especially valuable is how it brings together multiple layers of thinking. Students are not just collecting information or repeating facts - they are comparing ideas, weighing arguments, spotting problems, and imagining possible solutions. These are skills that prepare them to think independently and respond thoughtfully in a variety of situations, both in their studies and in life. The task is also more engaging because students are given roles to play. Whether they act as a policymaker, a teacher, or a student spokesperson, they have to see the issue from different points of view. This helps them practice not only what to say, but how to say it depending on who they are speaking for or to. As a result, the activity strengthens their ability to communicate clearly, respectfully, and effectively in complex discussions. In short, this kind of project helps learners develop both their thinking and their communication. It shows that learning a language isn’t just about getting grammar right - it’s also about using language to understand real issues, express informed opinions, and interact meaningfully with others.

This task design supports advanced language learning by aligning with communicative goals typical of upper-intermediate to advanced levels (e.g., the ability to present clear, well-structured arguments on complex topics). At the same time, it activates a wide range of critical thinking abilities, such as analyzing evidence, integrating diverse viewpoints, and reflecting on ethical implications. The inclusion of role assignments - such as policy analyst, faculty member, or student representative - further deepens the activity by fostering perspective-taking and context-sensitive communication, both of which are vital for developing flexible and responsive language use. In addition, instructional materials should draw from a variety of academic and professional disciplines to encourage learners to form connections across different fields of knowledge. Topics from sociology, environmental science, or digital media, when adapted with appropriate supports such as vocabulary glossaries, guided reading tasks, and structured summaries, not only aid comprehension but also stimulate critical reflection. As scholars note, the lines between digital literacy and critical literacy are increasingly blurred, particularly as learners are expected to assess the reliability of sources, detect authorial bias, and unpack underlying assumptions - competencies that are essential in today’s media-saturated world.[6].

An essential consideration in designing curricula that integrate critical thinking is the principle of differentiation - especially in linguistically and cognitively diverse graduate classrooms. Learners possess varied strengths: while some may thrive in structured argumentative writing, others may express their ideas more effectively through spoken or visual formats. Providing a range of output options - such as essays, podcasts, infographics, or video presentations - enables students to demonstrate both their language proficiency and their cognitive engagement in ways that align with

[6] Dudeney, G., Hockly, N., & Pegrum, M. (2013). Digital Literacies: Research and Resources in Language Teaching. Pearson Education Limited.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

their individual learning profiles. This approach not only fosters greater motivation but also promotes fairness by accommodating different modes of expression and assessment of conceptual understanding.

Equally important is the integration of formative assessment throughout the instructional process. Ongoing practices such as peer feedback, self-assessment tools, and scaffolded reflective activities help students become more aware of both their language use and their thinking processes. For instance, reflective journals with targeted prompts can guide learners to critically examine their performance and reasoning. Prompts might include:

- "What assumption did I challenge in today's reading?"
- "Which part of my argument lacked sufficient support?"
- "How did I respond to opposing viewpoints in the discussion?"

Research by Andrade and Brookhart (2016) demonstrates that such metacognitive reflection significantly enhances learner autonomy and academic performance when applied consistently. Embedding these reflective routines not only supports the development of critical thinking but also nurtures self-regulated learning, making the educational experience more meaningful and transformative.[7]. To validate the learning outcomes of such curriculum designs, **triangulated assessment models** are recommended. This may include a**nalytic rubrics** for written and oral tasks that assess reasoning clarity, use of evidence, organization, and linguistic control; p**ortfolio-based assessments** tracking growth in critical thinking-communicative competence integration over time; p**eer-evaluation protocols** using structured feedback sheets aligned with Bloom's and CEFR criteria.

The study by Haipa Abdurahim-Salain (2024) titled *«Analysis of Portfolio for Critical Thinking and Creativity Development among Education Students»* explores the impact of portfolio-based assessment on the development of critical thinking and creativity among education students. Conducted at Basilan State College in the Philippines, the research utilized open-ended online surveys and in-depth interviews to gather qualitative data from participating students.

The findings reveal that engaging in portfolio creation required students to adopt a thoughtful and analytical approach, enhancing their problem-solving and critical thinking skills. The process also fostered creativity, as students had to structure ideas innovatively, manage tasks effectively, and develop unique solutions. Reflection and observation were integral, allowing students to assess their teaching methods critically and make informed adjustments. Overall, the study underscores the value of portfolio-based assessment as a tool for cultivating essential skills in future educators.[8]

CONCLUSION

This study has argued that the integration of critical thinking into CEFR-based communicative language teaching is not merely a pedagogical innovation but a fundamental necessity for preparing 21st-century learners in Uzbekistan and beyond. The demands of contemporary academic, professional, and intercultural communication require individuals who can do more than use language accurately-they must be able to think analytically, reflect ethically, and act responsively in diverse, dynamic contexts. Traditional language instruction in Uzbekistan, still heavily influenced by rote

[7] Andrade, H., & Brookhart, S. M. (2019). Classroom Assessment as the Co-Regulation of Learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 26(1), 103–117.

[8] Abdurahim-Salain, H. (2024). Analysis of portfolio for critical thinking and creativity development among education students. Environment and Social Psychology, 9(11).

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

memorization and rule-based practices, is insufficient to meet these demands. A transformation is required, one that positions language education as a space for intellectual empowerment and civic preparation.

The article has shown how CEFR descriptors, when viewed through the lens of cognitive engagement and Bloom's taxonomy, can guide the systematic development of both communicative competence and critical thinking. By aligning tasks not only with proficiency levels but also with levels of cognitive complexity-such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation-teachers can move students from mechanical performance to reflective expression. Practical examples, such as bilingual policy debates, digital collaborative writing, and scenario-based role-plays, demonstrate that language learning can-and should-be structured as a process of inquiry and meaning-making.

The use of spiraled, task-based instruction, as proposed here, ensures that critical thinking is embedded across all four language skills rather than confined to isolated activities. Moreover, incorporating digital platforms like Google Docs, alongside analog supports like graphic organizers, makes visible the processes of reasoning, collaboration, and revision-creating opportunities for deeper formative assessment. This approach is particularly valuable in under-resourced classrooms where high-tech tools may not be consistently available.

Equally important is the reconfiguration of assessment. Traditional summative models fail to capture the richness of learners' intellectual development. Instead, this paper advocates for dual-trait rubrics, reflective journals, and portfolio-based evaluation, which together provide a more nuanced and equitable picture of student progress. These methods assess not only what students know, but how they think, justify, and adapt their language use across contexts. Empirical evidence from studies in Central Asia and Southeast Asia, including the 2022 Makassar study and 2024 Abdurahim-Salain portfolio research, affirms that reflective practice and structured assessment significantly boost critical thinking and learner autonomy.

The role of the teacher, however, remains central. The success of this integration ultimately depends on the capacity of educators to design cognitively rich tasks, facilitate dialogic learning environments, and guide learners toward both linguistic and intellectual self-regulation. As such, targeted professional development-particularly in the form of collaborative lesson study, MOOCs, and communities of practice-must be prioritized. Teachers must be equipped not just with content knowledge, but with the pedagogical and assessment literacy necessary to embed critical thinking meaningfully into their instruction.

On a systemic level, curriculum planners, policymakers, and institutions must work in concert to mainstream this integration. Syllabi must explicitly articulate critical thinking outcomes alongside language objectives. Assessment standards should reward not only accuracy, but reasoning and communicative adaptability. National education policies must reflect a shift from transmission-based models to ones rooted in inquiry, evidence, and reflection. The CEFR's updated focus on mediation, pragmatic competence, and interaction provides an ideal scaffold for this transition, particularly in multilingual societies like Uzbekistan.

In conclusion, embedding critical thinking into CEFR-aligned language teaching is not an ancillary enhancement-it is the defining feature of a future-ready curriculum. It reimagines language education as a platform for social agency, ethical communication, and lifelong learning. As Uzbekistan continues its integration into the global educational and economic arena, it is imperative that its language classrooms become sites of transformation-not only teaching students how to speak, write, and comprehend English, but also how to question, justify, and act responsibly in a complex,

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

interconnected world. This article offers both the theoretical rationale and practical blueprint for achieving that vision.

References

1. Abdurahim-Salain, H. (2024). *Analysis of portfolio for critical thinking and creativity development among education students*. *Environment and Social Psychology, 9*(11).
2. Council of Europe. (2020). *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, teaching, assessment - Companion volume*. Strasbourg: Council of Europe Publishing.
3. Dudeney, G., Hockly, N., & Pegrum, M. (2013). *Digital literacies: Research and resources in language teaching*. Pearson Education.
4. Gao, Y., Qian, M., & Zhou, L. (2023). Investigating the effectiveness of problem-based learning on academic achievement in EFL classrooms: A meta-analysis. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 32*(3), 345-360.
5. La Sunra. (2022). Exploring EFL students' critical thinking through reflective journal. *Celebes Journal of Language Studies, 2*(2), 227-238. HAR Press Indonesia.
6. Prasansaph, P. (2024). Project-based learning in EFL educational settings: A meta-analysis study in EFL/ESL writing. *Journal of Writing Research, 16*(1), 299-321.
7. Willis, J. (1996). *A framework for task-based learning*. Longman Pearson Education.