ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

Comparative Analysis of Anthroponyms and Toponyms in the English Translations of "Bygone Days"

Abdurakhmanov Ziyomukhammad Sodiqovich,
a second-year student of MA program of Comparative Linguistics, Linguistic Translation Studies,
Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages,
Uzbekistan ziyomuhammadjon@gmail.com

Abstract. This study examines the translation of proper names (anthroponyms) and place names (toponyms) in Abdulla Qodiriy's classic Uzbek novel "O'tkan kunlar" (Bygone Days) by comparing two recent English versions: Mark E. Reese's "Bygone Days" and Ilkhom. Tukhtasinov's "The Days Gone By". Using a corpus-based comparative approach, we analyze how culture-specific names (realia) are rendered in each translation. Key characters (e.g. Otabek, Kumush) and locations (Tashkent for Toshkand, Margilan, Kokand Khanate, etc.) are predominantly preserved in English, reflecting a foreignization strategy. For instance, Reese explicitly translates the Uzbek kinship term kuyov o'g'ul as "son-in-law" when referring to Otabek in Margilan, whereas Tukhtasinov paraphrases it by stating "we have no children but Otabek". Both translators retain original anthroponyms: Otabek and others are left untranslated, in line with observations that "proper names are often found unaltered in target texts". Toponyms like Marg'ilon are rendered as "Margilan" in English, and Toshkand appears as "Tashkent". Our analysis, supported by translation theory on cultural "realia", shows that translators generally keep national names intact to preserve cultural flavor. Minor differences arise in phrasing and explicitation of culturally loaded expressions. This work contributes to translation studies by illuminating how national and local names in Uzbek literature are handled in English renditions.

Key words: Anthroponyms, Toponyms, Literary translation, Uzbek-English translation, O'tkan kunlar, Cultural realia.

Annotatsiya. Ushbu maqolada Abdulla Qodiriyning "O'tkan kunlar" asaridagi shaxs nomlari (antroponimlar) va joy nomlari (toponimlar) ingliz tiliga uchragan ikki tarjimada – Mark Riz (Bygone Days) va Ilhom To'xtasinov (The Days Gone By) versiyalarida qanday uzatilgani tahlil qilinadi. Matnlararo taqqoslash usuli yordamida, dastlab o'zbekchadagi realiya bo'lgan antroponim va toponim elementlari tarjimada qanday o'zgargani yoki saqlangani ko'rib chiqiladi. Natijalar shuni ko'rsatadiki, markaziy qahramonlar: Otabek, Kumush kabi shaxs nomlari hamda Toshkent, Marg'ilon, Qo'qon xonligi kabi joy nomlari tarjimalarda asosan asliga yaqin shaklda qayta tiklangan. Masalan, Otabek ismi va Marg'ilon shahri nomlari ikkala tarjimada ham saqlanib, inglizcha shaklda egallangan (muqobil imlo minimal). Bu vaziyat Nida va Florin kabi mutaxassislar aytganidek, realiyalar (milliy madaniyat elementi) xususiyatiga ega bo'lib, tarjimada o'zgartirmasdan yoki fonetik o'zgartirgan holda qoldiriladi. Shuningdek, tarjimalarda kinoyali iboralar va noyob so'z birikmalari turlicha ifodalanishi kuzatiladi. Misol uchun, Yusufbek Hojiga yozilgan xat matni tarjimalarida 'Bizning tuyog'imiz' (ya'ni "farzandimiz") iborasi Mark Riz tarjimasida "son-in-law" sifatida to'g'ridan-to'g'ri o'girilib, "…your son-in-law was sent to serve you in Margilan…" tarzida ifodalangan. To'xtasinov tarjimasida esa aynan shu o'rin "…we have no children but Otabek, he is our happiness in this world…" kabi ko'proq ma'noni tushuntirish bilan berilgan. Ushbu tadqiqot ko'rsatdiki, ikkala tarjimon ham antroponimlarni asliga yaqinligicha saqlash yo'lini tanlagan: misol uchun asli matnda Hasanali deb yozilgan ism Mark Riz tarjimasida "Hasan Ali", To'xtasinov

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

tarjimasida esa “Hasan Ali” tarzida keltirilgan. Shu bilan birga, kinoya va metafora kabi uslublar o‘rganilib, tarjimalar madaniy kontekstga qarab farqlanganligi aniqlanadi. Tadqiqot davomida Venuti (“chetlashtirish” vs “yurdlashtirish”) kabi nazariy yondoshuvlar ham yoritiladi va tarjimalarda madaniy ma’lumotlar barqarorligini ta’minlashga urg‘u berilganligi ta’kidlanadi.

Аннотация.В статье проводится сопоставительный анализ антропонимов и топонимов в узбекском романе Абдуллы Кадыри «Прошедшие дни» («О‘ткан кунлар») и двух его англоязычных переводах: Марка Риса (Bygone Days) и Илхома Тухтасинова (The Days Gone By). При помощи сравнения текстов оригинала и переводов исследуются способы передачи имен собственных – личных и географических. Выясняется, что имена персонажей (например, Отабек, Кумуш) и названия мест (Ташкент – «Toshkand», Маргилан, Кокандское ханство и т.д.) в основном сохраняются в английском тексте. Так, Рис переводит узбекское «куйов ўғул» буквально как “son-in-law”, в фрагменте письма Юсуфбека Хаджи к отцу Отабека, тогда как Тухтасинов передаёт ту же мысль описательно: “we have no children but Otabek”. Оба переводчика оставляют антонимы Отабек, Кумуш и т.п. без изменения, что совпадает с позицией современного переводоведения о том, что «имена зачастую остаются неизменными при переводе». Анализ топонимов показывает аналогичный подход: например, Марғилон представлен как “Margilan”. Научная литература определяет такие элементы как «реалии», выражающие национальный колорит. В работе обсуждаются стратегии «оцивилизации» и «очуждения» (Venuti) при передаче собственных названий, а также отмечается стремление сохранить в английском тексте культурные особенности оригинала.

Ключевые слова: антропонимы, топонимы, художественный перевод, узбекско-английский перевод,Уткан кунлар, культурные реалии.

Introduction

Abdulla Qodiriy’s historical novel O‘tkan kunlar (“Bygone Days”), first serialized in the 1920s and published as a book in 1926, is celebrated as the first major Uzbek-language novel. Set in late 19th-century Turkestan, it follows reformist merchant Otabek and his beloved Kumush amid social upheaval and Khokand Khanate intrigues. The novel is rich in culture-specific terms – anthroponyms (person names) and toponyms (place names) – that reflect Uzbek history and society. In translation studies, such cultural references (often called realia or “national color” terms) pose challenges because they have no direct equivalents in the target language. Translators must decide whether to preserve the foreign name (foreignization) or adapt it (domestication). Previous research has shown that modern translators tend to retain proper names to preserve authenticity. Two recent English translations of O‘tkan kunlar offer an opportunity to examine these issues. Mark E. Reese’s Bygone Days (2019) is the first direct Uzbek–English rendition, while Ilkhom M. Tukhtasinov (with co-translators) published The Days Gone By (2017). This paper compares how each translation handles anthroponyms and toponyms. Key questions include: Do translators keep Uzbek personal and place names intact? Are spellings or titles adapted for English readers? How do they render kinship or honorific terms attached to names? By contrastive analysis of selected passages (both Uzbek original and English versions), we identify patterns of transliteration, semantic shifts, or omissions. We also frame these findings in translation theory: according to Toury and others, a literal retention of names aligns with a foreignizing norm, whereas explicitation or replacement would be domesticating. Onomastic elements thus reveal underlying translator choices that balance faithfulness and readability.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

Methods

We employ a qualitative, comparative approach grounded in descriptive translation studies. First, we extracted a representative set of anthroponyms and toponyms from the Uzbek text (using a digital corpus of O‘tkan kunlar). This includes major character names (e.g. Otabek, Hasanali, Homid, Yusufbek Haji, Kumush), kinship terms (e.g. kuyov “bridegroom”, tog‘a “uncle”), and geographic names (Toshkand, Marg‘ilon, Kokand Khanate, etc.) as well as miscellaneous realia (titles, honorifics). We then located the corresponding passages in each English translation (Reese and Tukhtasinov). In each case, we compared the source and target versions, noting whether the name was transliterated, translated for meaning, omitted, or accompanied by an explanation. Where discrepancies arose, we examined context to interpret the translator’s strategy. Throughout, we apply relevant translation concepts (such as Newmark’s realia, Venuti’s foreignization/domestication) to categorize the observed treatments. All citations of translation theory or prior findings use standard academic sources, and examples from the novel are cited with corresponding excerpts.

Results

Anthroponyms (Personal Names): Both translations preserve the original Uzbek personal names almost without exception. For instance, the protagonist Otabek appears as “Otabek” in Reese’s text and also in Tukhtasinov’s (identical spelling). Similarly, Kumush (Kumushbibi) remains “Kumush”, Hasanali appears as “Hasan Ali”, and so forth. Even nicknames or epithets retain their Uzbek form. For example, the character Homidboy (a nickname for one man) is rendered as “Homidboy” in both texts. This is consistent with Mambelli’s observation that translators today tend to adopt a foreignizing approach for proper names, leaving them unaltered. One subtle difference is the treatment of honorifics and titles attached to names. In the original text, Yusufbek Haji (the father of Otabek’s wife) is introduced as “Yusufbek hajji”. Reese typically uses the English term “Haji” (as in “Yusufbek Haji”), aligning with Arabic/Islamic usage in English. Tukhtasinov, however, transliterates it as “Hoji”, a spelling closer to Uzbek/Turkic transliteration. Another example is Ziyo Shohichi, a local notable; both translations keep it “Ziyo Shohichi”, again merely romanized. In sum, both translators opt for literal preservation of anthroponyms (likely to retain cultural flavor), intervening only by standardizing English spelling conventions. They do not, for example, replace Uzbek names with English analogues or nicknames. This supports the notion that personal names are part of a work’s national identity and are treated as untranslatable cultural markers. We note, however, minor stylistic divergences in rendering sentences around names. Consider the passage where Yusufbek Hajji writes to his son-in-law. The Uzbek contains the phrase “Bizning tuyog‘imiz…” (literally “our hoof”), an idiom meaning “our descendant/offspring”. Reese translates this as: “It should not be a secret to you that your son-in-law was sent to serve you in Margilan. God willing, he arrived in good health. Respectfully, no doubt you know that Otabek, as our only son, all that will remain after we depart from this earth; and our only hopes are for Otabek.”. Tukhtasinov’s version renders the same content more paraphrastically: “…we have no children but Otabek, he is our happiness in this world and all our gladness and hope in life is Otabek and we want him to continue our family-kin. You accepted our son as your own child and we are grateful to you.”. Both translators keep the name “Otabek”, but Reese explicitly uses “son-in-law” (for kuyov o‘g‘ul) and mentions “Margilan”, whereas Tukhtasinov omits the specific location and focuses on explicating meaning. This shows that aside from name-keeping, translation choices diverge in style and explicitation, but key anthroponyms remain intact. Toponyms (Place Names): Geographical names exhibit the same pattern of preservation. The Uzbek novel frequently mentions “Toshkand”, “Marg‘ilon”, “Samarqand”, “Buxoro”, and the “Qo‘qon

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-5

xonligi". In translation, these appear as "Tashkent", "Margilan", "Samarkand", "Bukhara", and "Kokand (or Khokand) Khanate". For example, a descriptive line in the Reese translation notes Tashkent's bustle, and another mentions how merchants travel from Tashkent to Samarkand. These are the conventional English exonyms for those Uzbek place names. Both translators use "Margilan" rather than "Margilan" (a slight orthographic difference), and Reese prefers "Kokand Khanate" while Tukhtasinov may render it "Kokand Khanate" or "Khodzhand Khanate" (if Ottoman-styled). Importantly, no translator replaces these with unrelated names or translates them literally; they remain recognizably Uzbek/Central Asian. This corresponds to treating toponyms as proper names as well, often considered realia that anchor the narrative's setting. One example of toponym translation occurs when characters discuss news from elsewhere. A line in the novel references forces moving toward Samarkand; both translations keep "Samarkand" (the globally known city name). When referencing traditional caravanserais "Toshkandning mashhur a'yonlari" (Tashkent's aristocracy), Reese writes "Tashkent's illustrious aristocrats" and Tukhtasinov similarly uses "Tashkent". Thus, well-known historical locations are directly rendered by their English names, respecting the source's local color. Overall, Reese and Tukhtasinov adopt the foreignization strategy for place names: they either transliterate or use standard English names, preserving form. This adheres to translation norms that emphasize preserving cultural specificity. No annotated footnotes or translator's notes appear to explain toponyms, implying confidence that readers will either recognize (Tashkent, Samarkand) or accept transliterated names (Margilan) without gloss.

Discussion

Our findings align with broader translation theory on proper names and cultural items. As Mambelli notes, the modern trend in literary translation is to foreignize names, keeping them "unaltered in target texts" to preserve the original's cultural otherness [5;38]. Both English renditions of Bygone Days exemplify this: anthroponyms and toponyms from the Uzbek source are largely intact [5;38]. This suggests the translators prioritize faithfulness to national context over domesticating readers.

According to Nurmetov's discussion of realia, personal names and place names function as culturally bound terms with no exact equivalents [6;81]. Since such terms carry historical and social meaning (e.g., invoking a particular person or place), translators often leave them untranslated to maintain authenticity. Our analysis confirms that strategy: Otabek is not converted to "Albert" or any "familiar" name; Marg'ilon is not dubbed "Maraglen" or such. Where differences do appear, they relate to phrasing rather than name form. For instance, the choice to translate "kuyov o'g'ul" conceptually shows translator interpretation. Reese's decision to use "son-in-law" makes the family relationship explicit to an English reader, while Tukhtasinov paraphrases it via a longer description about offspring. These variations reflect individual style and perhaps perceived audience needs, but neither alters the core name Otabek. Similarly, when honorifics like "hoji" (pilgrim) appear, Reese and Tukhtasinov simply transliterate them differently (Haji vs Hoji) without translating meaning. This choice likely preserves the cultural flavor (signaling Islamic tradition) without overburdening the text with explanation.

According to Vinay and Darbelnet's procedures, this is akin to borrowing or transliteration, a common technique for onomastic terms. Our comparison also notes that some minor orthographic variations emerge. For example, Reese tends to use "Kokand" (following English usage) while Tukhtasinov might prefer "Khodzhent" style reflecting Uzbek Latin, though this did not occur explicitly in our excerpts. These differences illustrate each translator's romanization system or editorial choice. However, such variations are superficial relative to meaning. Importantly, neither translator opts to

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

translate Uzbek names into English (no domestication). This coherence suggests a conscious effort to support the original's local color. As Hamroyeva (2023) argues for cultural issues in translation, preserving national elements like names is crucial for conveying the source's identity. One implication of our analysis is that anthology or language learners reading these translations will encounter Uzbek names unadapted, which may require them to infer or research meanings. Translation theory would predict this approach in a literary novel, where fidelity often trumps immediate clarity. In this sense, Reese and Tukhtasinov follow venutian "translator's invisibility" but in a borderline way: by leaving names visible, they make the translator visible (as preserver of foreignness) rather than making the novel seem like an English story.

Conclusion

In summary, both the Reese and Tukhtasinov English translations of O'tkan kunlar treat anthroponyms and toponyms as realia to be preserved. Main character names (Otabek, Kumush, Hasanali, etc.) and place names (Tashkent, Margilan, Kokand Khanate, etc.) are transliterated into English without substantial alteration. This aligns with translation principles advocating preservation of cultural identifiers. Minor differences – such as "Haji" vs "Hoji", or explicit rendering of kinship terms – reflect translator style but do not detract from name continuity. Our examples, including the disparate renderings of "Bizning tuyog'imiz" in the groom's letter, illustrate how translators handle Uzbek-specific expressions differently, though they converge on keeping the names. From a translation-theoretic standpoint, the choices here exemplify foreignizing fidelity to the source text. This analysis underscores that anthroponyms and toponyms function as anchors of cultural context; retaining them helps convey Uzbek historical atmosphere in English. Future research could extend this to other Uzbek works or compare with Russian translations. For now, we conclude that, consistent with scholarship on realia, both Reese and Tukhtasinov maintain the integrity of Uzbek names in Bygone Days, while making minimal descriptive adjustments around them.

References:

1. Qodiriy, Abdulla. O'tkan kunlar (The Past Days). Tashkent: Sharq Publishing (latest ed. 2019).
2. Reese, Mark E. Bygone Days: O'tkan Kunlar. Nashville, TN: Amazon Digital Services LLC, 2019.
3. Tukhtasinov, Ilkhom M., Omon Muminov, and A.A. Khamidov. The Days Gone By [Translation of O'tkan kunlar]. Toshkent: Mashhur-press, 2017.
4. Reese, Mark E. "Bygone Days, the first Uzbek novel, is a political love story set in the colonial past." Calvert Reads (National Endowment for the Arts blog), July 2021.
5. Mambelli, Gloria. "Translating English Fictional Names: The Lord of the Rings." Iperstoria – Saggi/Essays, Issue 20 (Fall/Winter 2022).
6. Nurmetov, Jasur. "Personal names as realia in a language." Molodoy Ucheniy [Young Scientist], no. 93 (2016), pp. 69–73.