ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-4

Finding a Balance Between Learner Autonomy and Classroom Discipline / TTT and STT / Grammar, Vocabulary, and Functional Language

Sodiqjonova Gulhayo Akbar qizi
Teacher of the Department of Foreign Language Practice and Theory
gulhayosodiqjonova@gmail.com

Abstract

In modern language education, striking a balance between fostering learner autonomy and maintaining effective classroom discipline is vital for student-centered learning. This paper explores the importance of balancing teacher talking time (TTT) and student talking time (STT), while integrating grammar, vocabulary, and functional language to enhance communicative competence. The article draws from theoretical frameworks, practical strategies, and a case study to demonstrate how educators can effectively manage classroom dynamics, ensure linguistic development, and promote learner engagement. Practical recommendations are provided to guide teachers in creating responsive, balanced, and effective language learning environments.

Introduction

The role of the teacher in a modern communicative classroom has transformed significantly. Gone are the days of rigid, teacher-centered instruction. Today, successful language classrooms aim to empower learners by encouraging independence while maintaining appropriate structure. Three key components influence the success of this dynamic: learner autonomy vs. classroom discipline, the balance between Teacher Talking Time (TTT) and Student Talking Time (STT), and the integration of grammar, vocabulary, and functional language.

This paper explores these interconnected elements in depth. It highlights how promoting learner autonomy must not come at the cost of classroom control, how optimizing TTT and STT contributes to communicative competence, and how teaching language systems must reflect real-life use. By synthesizing pedagogical theory and classroom experience, the paper offers educators practical tools to create balanced, learner-centered environments that are both disciplined and liberating.

Section 1: Learner Autonomy and Classroom Discipline

Learner autonomy refers to students' ability to take charge of their learning process (Little, 1991). In autonomous classrooms, learners actively participate in decision-making, goal setting, and self-assessment. However, autonomy must coexist with discipline, especially in secondary classrooms where behavioral challenges may arise. Teachers must develop routines and expectations that support independent learning without compromising control. This includes co-creating classroom rules, establishing structured tasks with clear outcomes, and gradually transferring responsibility to learners.Example: In a B1 classroom, students are encouraged to choose reading materials for group work. The teacher provides a list of graded readers and a worksheet to guide their discussion. This balance allows students to feel ownership while ensuring accountability.

Theoretical Insight: Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) emphasizes scaffolding as a way to gradually build autonomy. The teacher's role evolves from controller to facilitator, providing necessary support until learners become independent.

Section 2: TTT and STT

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

Teacher Talking Time (TTT) and Student Talking Time (STT) are crucial indicators of classroom interaction. Excessive TTT can limit student participation and hinder speaking skills development. Conversely, sufficient STT fosters fluency, confidence, and real-time language processing.Strategies to Reduce TTT and Increase STT:

Use open-ended questions.

Employ pair and group work.

Set up role-plays and debates.

Use task-based learning (TBL) frameworks.

Sample Lesson Example: In a lesson on expressing opinions, the teacher introduces the topic briefly, models expressions, and assigns a jigsaw discussion activity. Students spend the majority of class time exchanging ideas, while the teacher observes and provides feedback.

Research Insight: Walsh (2011) emphasizes that teachers who monitor their TTT and actively design opportunities for STT see significant improvements in learner confidence and speaking performance.

Section 3: Grammar, Vocabulary, and Functional Language.Effective language instruction integrates grammar, vocabulary, and functional language in meaningful contexts. Rather than teaching these systems in isolation, they should be embedded in communicative tasks.

Grammar: Use inductive approaches where learners discover rules through guided examples. Vocabulary: Focus on high-frequency words and collocations, taught through context and recycling. Functional Language: Prioritize expressions used in real-life interactions (e.g., making requests, giving advice). Example: A lesson on polite requests might start with a short dialogue, followed by analysis of modal verbs (e.g., “Could you...?” “Would you mind...?”). Students then role-play making polite requests in a restaurant setting. Theoretical Framework: The Lexical Approach (Lewis, 1993) advocates for teaching language in chunks rather than isolated grammar or word lists. Functional language teaching supports communicative competence (Canale & Swain, 1980).

Section 4: Case Study – Integrating All Three in a B2 Classroom

At a vocational school in Uzbekistan, a B2-level class was observed over four weeks. The teacher aimed to increase student autonomy, reduce TTT, and integrate grammar and vocabulary into functional speaking tasks.

Observation Summary:

Learners selected real-world topics (e.g., job interviews, travel).

Tasks involved planning and performing role-plays with minimal teacher guidance.

Grammar (e.g., present perfect), vocabulary (e.g., employment terms), and functional phrases (e.g., “I’m writing to apply...”) were taught in context.

Results: STT increased from 30% to 65%.

Learners showed improved fluency and accuracy.

Surveys revealed greater learner satisfaction and motivation.

Section 5: Challenges and Solutions

1. Too Much Freedom, Not Enough Control:

Solution: Use structured autonomy—let learners choose within boundaries.

2. Unequal Participation:

Solution: Assign roles and rotate leadership in groups.

3. Grammar Neglected in Functional Tasks:

Solution: Embed grammar points in post-task feedback or pre-task input.

4. Teachers Dominating Talk Time:

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-4

Solution: Use timers or apps (e.g., ClassTime) to track TTT/STT ratios.

Section 6: Recommendations for Teacher

Use lesson plans that explicitly allocate STT opportunities.

Conduct regular self-reflection or peer observation to monitor talk time.

Plan thematic units integrating grammar, vocabulary, and functions.

Use project-based learning to promote autonomy within structured contexts.

Encourage learners to set goals and reflect on progress.

Conclusion

The central conclusion of this study is that a balanced and integrated approach to language teaching—one that simultaneously promotes learner autonomy, maintains classroom discipline, regulates Teacher Talking Time (TTT) and Student Talking Time (STT), and incorporates grammar, vocabulary, and functional language into communicative contexts—results in significantly enhanced language acquisition and learner engagement.Teachers must move away from traditional, teacher-dominated models and adopt roles as facilitators and guides, allowing learners to take more responsibility for their own progress. However, this autonomy should not be absolute; it must be supported by clear structures, routines, and expectations to maintain productive classroom behavior and academic focus.Moreover, maximizing STT through interaction-based strategies such as role-plays, discussions, and collaborative projects empowers students to practice authentic communication, which is essential for fluency. Reducing TTT strategically does not diminish the teacher's role but reshapes it into a more impactful one—designing and guiding meaningful interaction rather than dominating it.Finally, instruction that integrates language systems (grammar, vocabulary, functional language) into relevant, real-life situations enhances retention and applicability. Rather than teaching these elements in isolation, weaving them into learner-centered tasks ensures that language is learned in context, thereby improving both accuracy and fluency.Ultimately, the harmony among autonomy, discipline, communication balance, and integrated content leads to a transformative classroom experience—one where learners are not only well-behaved and linguistically competent but also intrinsically motivated and prepared for real-world language use. Creating a classroom that balances learner autonomy with discipline, TTT with STT, and form-focused instruction with communicative use is a dynamic but achievable goal. Through reflective practice, structured strategies, and learner-centered planning, educators can foster classrooms where learners are active participants, not passive recipients. Such balanced instruction leads to greater engagement, improved language skills, and lifelong learning habits.

References

Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). *Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing.* Applied Linguistics, 1(1), 1-47.

Lewis, M. (1993). *The Lexical Approach: The state of ELT and a way forward. Hove: Language Teaching Publications.*

Little, D. (1991). *Learner Autonomy 1: Definitions, Issues and Problems*. Dublin: Authentik.

Walsh, S. (2011). *Exploring classroom discourse: Language in action*. Routledge.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.