ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-4

INTERNATIONAL TERMINOLOGY OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN RUSSIAN AND UZBEK LANGUAGES

Sulaymanov Bakhadir Kurbanovich,
Senior teacher of Asian university of technologies (Karshi, Uzbekistan)

Abstract

This article explores the integration of international public administration terminology into the Russian and Uzbek languages. It analyzes the origins, borrowing strategies, and adaptation methods of administrative terms that have entered both languages due to globalization, institutional reforms, and international cooperation. The study highlights the differences in linguistic policy, translation approaches, and sociopolitical influences that have shaped how these terms are understood and standardized in Russian and Uzbek. Special attention is given to types of borrowing such as direct loanwords, calques, and descriptive translations.

Key words: public administration, international terminology, Russian, Uzbek, loanwords, calques, translation, language policy

Introduction

Globalization has accelerated the exchange of administrative concepts and terminology across nations. With the rise of international organizations like the United Nations, the World Bank, and the OECD, many administrative terms have spread globally, becoming part of national languages and governance practices. English, in particular, has played a dominant role in shaping the language of international public administration.

Both Russian and Uzbek have incorporated international administrative terms into their lexicons. However, the processes, preferences, and outcomes differ due to linguistic, historical, and political factors. This paper analyzes how international terminology in public administration is adopted, localized, and used in Russian and Uzbek, focusing on examples such as governance, audit, transparency, and public service.

Borrowing and Adaptation in Russian

The Russian language often uses direct borrowing of English terms, especially in academic, economic, and administrative contexts. For example:

Audit → аудит

Benchmarking → бенчмаркинг

Outsourcing → аутсорсинг

These borrowings are adapted to Russian phonology and morphology but retain their original meaning. In other cases, calques or semantic equivalents are used:

Transparency → прозрачность

Governance → государственное управление or in some academic texts as гавернанс

Public service delivery → предоставление государственных услуг

Russian tends to prefer accuracy and global consistency, especially in legal and bureaucratic documents. Its terminological base is well-established, benefiting from decades of terminological planning during the Soviet period (Kobrin, 2019; Dudko, 2021).

Borrowing and Adaptation in Uzbek

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

Uzbek, as a Turkic language, experienced a shift after independence in 1991, moving from strong Russian influence toward more autonomous language policy. Many administrative terms were inherited from Russian, but recent reforms have introduced direct English borrowings and native equivalents.

Examples include:

Audit → auditi

Transparency → oshkoralik (a calque from the root oshkor, meaning "open")

Governance → boshqaruv or davlat boshqaruvi

Reform → islahot (of Arabic origin but widely used with international meaning)

Uzbek generally favors descriptive translations and localization rather than phonetic borrowings. This strategy supports the national agenda of linguistic identity while ensuring compatibility with global concepts (Komilov & Sodiqova, 2020; Khujiev, 2019).

Translation Strategies and Challenges

Several strategies are observed in the integration of international administrative terms:

Direct borrowing

Russian: аудит, реформа

Uzbek: auditi, reforma

Calques (loan translations)

Russian: прозрачность for transparency

Uzbek: oshkoralik for transparency

Descriptive translation

Russian: предоставление государственных услуг

Uzbek: davlat xizmatlarini ko‘rsatish tizimi

One challenge in both languages is standardization. Terms may vary across institutions, and newly borrowed or translated terms often lack universal recognition. Another issue is semantic precision, especially when administrative concepts have no clear equivalent in the target language.

Language Policy and Terminological Planning

In Russia, terminological development is supported by formal institutions and longstanding practices. The Russian Academy of Sciences and various federal bodies maintain legal and administrative term databases (Pravo.gov.ru).

Uzbekistan, meanwhile, continues to build its corpus of official terminology. The government supports the use of Uzbek in administration, but English remains a major source of influence, especially in economic and legal domains (Lex.uz).

Language policy plays a crucial role in how international terms are localized. Russian prefers transliteration and standardization, while Uzbek emphasizes national identity and linguistic innovation (UNTERM, 2023; OECD, 2023).

Conclusion

The influence of international public administration terminology is evident in both Russian and Uzbek. While Russian incorporates terms through direct borrowing and calques, Uzbek prefers localized and descriptive equivalents. These differences reflect broader linguistic, political, and historical contexts.

Understanding how these terms are adopted and used helps improve translation accuracy, policy communication, and cross-cultural governance. Continued research is needed to support standardization and linguistic clarity in the field of public administration.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-4

References

1. Ahmanova, O. S. (2004). Dictionary of Linguistic Terms. Moscow: KomKniga.
2. Dudko, V. I. (2021). International Terminology in Public Administration. Minsk: BSU.
3. Kobrin, M. Yu. (2019). Issues of Borrowing in the Russian Language. Voprosy Jazykoznaniya, (2), 67–74.
4. Komilov, N. H., & Sodiqova, G. (2020). Terminology of Social and Humanitarian Sciences. Tashkent: Fan.
5. Khujiev, A. (2019). National Naming and Modern Uzbek Terminology. Tashkent: Fan va texnologiyalar.
6. UNTERM – United Nations Multilingual Terminology Database. https://unterm.un.org