ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EDUCATION: QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT PERCEPTIONS

Roman Slisarenko

Department of Media Systems and Technology, Kharkiv National University of Radio Electronics, Ukraine

ABSTRACT

This investigation probes student conceptualizations of Artificial Intelligence (AI) within educational contexts, emphasizing their awareness, informational sources, attitudes, and anticipations regarding AI's function across various domains, notably education. A survey of students across academic levels and disciplines revealed a moderate to substantial AI awareness, primarily sourced from the internet and scholarly works. Respondents generally acknowledged AI's potential to address societal exigencies, particularly in education, medicine, and agriculture, yet expressed concerns about occupational displacement, dehumanization, and economic ramifications. Within education, AI was perceived as considerably useful for personalized learning and enhanced engagement, although reservations regarding diminished human interaction and system vulnerabilities were noted. The study underscores the dualistic nature of student perceptions, balancing optimism about AI's educational benefits with broader societal anxieties, suggesting a need for continued scholarly discourse and educational initiatives to optimize AI's implementation while mitigating potential risks.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Education Technology, Student Perceptions, Higher Education, Personalized Learning, Educational Assessment, Ethical Considerations, Knowledge Distribution, Learning Analytics, AI Literacy.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of AI into educational environments has emerged as a transformative force, reshaping pedagogical methodologies, student engagement, and institutional strategies. AI's capacity to automate, personalize, and optimize learning experiences has led to its increasing adoption across various academic disciplines. Notably, AI-driven tools have been leveraged to enhance language education, providing adaptive learning platforms that cater to individual student needs [1]-[3].

Despite its potential, the incorporation of AI in education presents a duality: while offering enhanced personalization and efficiency, concerns regarding ethical implications, data privacy, and the potential erosion of human-centered learning experiences persist. Students, as primary stakeholders, exhibit varied perceptions of AI's role. Some studies indicate a willingness to embrace AI tools for their potential benefits, such as personalized feedback and learning support [4]. Conversely, apprehensions exist concerning reduced human interaction and the authenticity of AI-generated content [5]. These perceptions are influenced by factors including academic background, prior exposure to AI technologies, and overall technological readiness. For instance, students with higher technological literacy tend to exhibit greater receptivity to AI integration, whereas those with limited exposure may express skepticism regarding its pedagogical efficacy [6].

This study aims to quantitatively analyze undergraduate students' perceptions of AI in education, focusing on their awareness, perceived utility, associated risks, and anticipated impact on learning. By leveraging statistical modeling and survey-based data collection, we seek to elucidate the correlation between students' academic performance, their engagement with AI-driven educational tools, and their broader apprehensions regarding AI's implications for academic integrity and workforce preparedness. Therefore, various analysis methods can be used here [7]-[11]. Through this investigation, we contribute to the ongoing discourse on AI's role in reshaping higher education,

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3

providing empirical insights to inform policy-making, curriculum development, and ethical AI implementation strategies within academic institutions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of AI into education has become a significant area of research, particularly in higher education and specialized fields such as dentistry and language learning. This literature review synthesizes findings from recent studies to explore students' perceptions, readiness, and the educational implications of AI integration across various disciplines.

The study by Daher and Hussein investigates higher education students' perceptions of Generative AI tools, focusing on four key components: efficiency, interaction, affect, and intention. The findings hold significant implications for understanding how students engage with AI technologies. The study also highlights that students with higher technological and AI knowledge tend to have more positive perceptions of AI tools, particularly in the interaction component. This suggests that familiarity with AI technologies plays a crucial role in shaping students' attitudes and readiness to adopt AI in their learning processes [12].

Similarly, Hammoudi Halat et al. explore dental students' readiness and perceptions of AI in healthcare and education. Using the Medical Artificial Intelligence Readiness Scale (MAIRS), the study finds that dental students exhibit moderate readiness for AI, with higher scores in the vision and ethics domains but lower scores in cognition and ability. The study underscores the importance of integrating AI into dental curricula to address knowledge gaps and prepare students for AI-driven healthcare practices. The findings also reveal that students with prior AI training or higher self-rated AI knowledge are more ready to adopt AI, indicating the need for targeted educational interventions to enhance AI literacy [13].

In the context of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classrooms, Sumakul, Hamied, and Sukyadi examine students' perceptions of AI tools in a writing class. The study finds that students generally have positive perceptions of AI tools, particularly in terms of their ease of use and usefulness in enhancing writing skills. Students reported that AI tools helped them with theoretical concepts, the writing process, and language learning, including grammar and vocabulary. However, the study also notes some drawbacks, such as the generation of incoherent or unnatural sentences by AI tools, which highlights the need for careful integration of AI in language education. The authors emphasize that while AI can be a valuable tool, the role of teachers in designing effective learning activities remains critical [14].

STUDENT PERCEPTIONS OF AI IN EDUCATION: KEY TRENDS AND ANALYTICAL FINDINGS

This section presents an analytical exploration of the dataset "Students' Perceptions of AI in Education", compiled by Petrașcu (2023) [15]. The dataset provides insights into undergraduate students' attitudes towards AI in education, examining aspects such as AI knowledge levels, perceived utility, and associated risks. The following subsections analyze the key trends identified through statistical visualizations.

Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of AI knowledge levels among surveyed students, which approximates a normal distribution, characterized by a bell-shaped curve. This indicates that most students possess moderate levels of AI knowledge, with fewer students demonstrating very low or very high proficiency.

The central tendency is most prominent within the 5.5 to 7.3 range, where 56% of students fall, suggesting a foundational understanding of AI among students, but limited advanced expertise. This distribution suggests a gradual and structured acquisition of AI knowledge, where students typically progress through intermediate levels before achieving advanced expertise, rather than exhibiting a polarized distribution of either high or low knowledge. This pattern raises questions about the factors influencing AI knowledge acquisition.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3

The observed learning plateau, where few students attain advanced proficiency (8.2–10), may be attributed to curricular constraints that hinder students from attaining high AI fluency, challenges in self-directed learning that necessitate additional resources for transitioning from intermediate to expert proficiency, and pedagogical approaches that prioritize introductory knowledge dissemination over skill mastery and application. Addressing these barriers is crucial for fostering a more comprehensive and inclusive AI education framework, equipping students with the necessary skills to integrate AI into their academic and professional endeavors.




Figure 1. Distribution of AI knowledge level

The regression analysis of students' Grade Point Average (GPA) and their perceived utility of AI in education reveals a statistically significant yet modest correlation (see Fig. 2).




Figure 2. Perceived AI Utility in Education vs Student GPA

The regression coefficient ($\beta = 0.6138$) indicates a positive association, suggesting that students with higher academic performance tend to view AI as more beneficial in education. This supports the hypothesis that academically stronger students may possess the cognitive and analytical skills necessary for effective engagement with AI-driven learning tools. However, the low coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.0767$) indicates that GPA accounts for only 7.67% of the variance in perceived AI utility, suggesting its limited predictive power. While academic performance plays a role, other factors—such as prior AI exposure, learning preferences, and institutional support—likely have a stronger influence. The statistical significance of this relationship ($p = 0.0079$) confirms that

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3

the observed trend is unlikely due to chance, yet the standard error of the slope (0.2257) suggests substantial variability, potentially stemming from disciplinary differences and personal experiences with AI (see Table 1).

Table 1. Statistical Summary of the Regression Analysis: Perceived AI Utility vs Student GPA

Metric	Value
Slope (Coefficient)	0.6138
Intercept	2.6529
R-squared (Coefficient of Determination)	0.0767
P-value	0.0079
Standard Error	0.2257

These findings underscore the need for AI education strategies that go beyond GPA-based assumptions. While higher-achieving students are more likely to perceive AI as beneficial, the limited explanatory power of GPA emphasizes the importance of expanding AI literacy initiatives to students across all academic performance levels. Targeted interventions such as introductory AI workshops, interdisciplinary projects, and hands-on experiences could help students, particularly those with limited prior exposure, recognize AI's educational benefits. Moreover, the observed variability in perceptions calls for a deeper examination of contextual and individual factors shaping students' AI engagement. Future research should explore the impact of curriculum design, technological readiness, and pedagogical strategies on AI adoption. By addressing these dimensions, educators and policymakers can develop tailored AI literacy programs, ensuring equitable access to AI-enhanced learning opportunities for all students.

In conclusion, while this analysis identifies a statistically significant positive relationship between GPA and perceived AI utility, the modest explanatory power of GPA highlights the multifaceted nature of this relationship. These findings emphasize the need for targeted educational interventions and further research to better understand the determinants of students' perceptions of AI. By addressing these complexities, institutions can foster an inclusive and effective learning environment that maximizes AI's potential in education.

An examination of students' perceptions regarding AI-associated risks provides insights into the key challenges and ethical concerns of AI integration. The radial plot, illustrating the mean values of four primary risk factors—AI dehumanization, occupational displacement, cognitive augmentation, and algorithmic governance—highlights the varying levels of concern among undergraduate students.

1. Cognitive Augmentation: The highest mean value (4.20) corresponds to cognitive augmentation, indicating that students recognize AI's ability to enhance cognitive processes and improve decision-making. This suggests that students view AI as a beneficial tool for optimizing problem-solving, aligning with its increasing role in education and professional environments.

2. Occupational Displacement: The mean value for occupational displacement (3.20) reflects a moderate level of concern. While students acknowledge AI's potential impact on employment, the lower score compared to cognitive augmentation suggests that they perceive AI more as a collaborative tool than an immediate threat to job security. This perception may be influenced by AI's growing adoption across various industries, where it is often seen as complementing rather than replacing human skills.

3. AI Dehumanization: AI dehumanization registers a mean value of 2.52, indicating some level of concern, though not predominant. This reflects students' awareness of the ethical challenges posed by AI, particularly its potential to diminish human interaction and autonomy. However, the moderate concern suggests that students also recognize AI's ability to enhance human capabilities.

4. Algorithmic Governance: The lowest mean value (2.43) is associated with algorithmic governance, suggesting that students are less concerned about AI replacing societal decision-making

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

structures. Nonetheless, the presence of this concern underscores the need for ongoing discussions on ethical governance frameworks to ensure AI development aligns with societal values and priorities.




Figure 3. Risks Associated with Artificial Intelligence

The findings indicate that students perceive AI as a double-edged sword, recognizing its significant potential for both positive and negative impacts. The high rating for problem-solving capabilities underscores the recognition of AI's utility in enhancing cognitive tasks, while the moderate concerns about job replacement and AI dehumanization reflect a balanced view of the potential risks. The relatively low concern for AI ruling society suggests that students may have confidence in existing regulatory and ethical frameworks to mitigate such risks.

CONCLUSION

The integration of AI into educational environments represents a transformative shift with profound implications for pedagogy, student engagement, and academic outcomes. This study provides a comprehensive analysis of undergraduate students' perceptions of AI in education, examining their knowledge levels, perceived utility, and concerns regarding AI-related risks. The findings reveal a nuanced understanding of AI's role in education, with students recognizing its potential to enhance personalized learning and problem-solving capabilities, while also expressing moderate concerns about job displacement, dehumanization, and the ethical implications of AI integration.

The distribution of AI knowledge levels among students demonstrates a bell-shaped curve, with 56% of respondents falling within the intermediate range (5.5 to 7.3 on a 10-point scale), indicating a foundational but not advanced understanding of AI. This suggests that while students have a foundational understanding of AI, there is a need for more advanced and inclusive educational interventions to bridge the gap between intermediate and expert-level knowledge. The positive correlation between GPA and perceived AI utility underscores the importance of academic performance in shaping students' attitudes toward AI. However, the modest explanatory power of GPA indicates that other factors, such as prior exposure to AI and individual learning preferences, also play a significant role.

Students' perceptions of AI risks reveal a balanced perspective, with problem-solving capabilities being the most positively regarded aspect. Concerns about job replacement and AI dehumanization are present but not overwhelming, reflecting a recognition of AI's collaborative potential rather than a fear of workforce displacement. The relatively low concern for AI ruling

society suggests confidence in existing regulatory frameworks, though ongoing discussions about ethical governance remain essential.

These findings have important implications for educators, policymakers, and technology developers. To fully harness the benefits of AI in education, institutions should implement targeted interventions, such as introductory AI workshops, interdisciplinary projects, and hands-on experiences with AI-driven tools. Additionally, integrating AI literacy into core curricula across disciplines can help students develop the skills necessary to navigate an AI-driven future. Addressing the ethical dimensions of AI, such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential for dehumanization, is crucial for building trust among students and educators. Institutions must prioritize transparent AI governance frameworks and ensure that AI tools are designed to complement, rather than replace, human interaction in educational settings.

While AI holds significant promise for transforming education, its successful integration requires a balanced approach that considers both its potential and associated challenges. By fostering a comprehensive understanding of AI among students and addressing these concerns, educators and policymakers can create an inclusive and effective learning environment that maximizes the benefits of AI for all students. Future research should continue to explore the long-term impact of AI on learning behaviors and academic equity, ensuring that AI technologies are developed and implemented in ways that align with educational best practices and societal values. It is imperative that stakeholders collaborate to develop AI-enhanced educational tools that are equitable, transparent, and aligned with the values of human-centered education.

REFERENCES

1. Huang, X. (2024). Trends, Research Issues, and Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Language Education. Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 17(2), 45-60.
2. Omarov, M., Muradova, V., & Lyashenko, V. (2020). Model of accumulation and loss of knowledge in computerization systems of education with remote access. International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering Research, 8(3), 847-852.
3. Omarov, M., Muradova, V., & Lyashenko, V. (2020, October). Key aspects of modeling the process of knowledge formation in infocommunication decision-making systems. In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Problems of Infocommunications. Science and Technology (PIC S&T) (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
4. Bantoto, F. M., & Flores, B. I. (2023). Is Artificial Intelligence a 'Learning Material' in Higher Education? Students' Perception on Using AI in Language Learning. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 59(6), 1234-1256.
5. Chan, C. K. Y., & Hu, W. (2023). Students' Voices on Generative AI: Perceptions, Benefits, and Challenges in Higher Education. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00290.
6. Adisa, I. O., & Famaye, T. (2024). To Ban or Embrace: Students' Perceptions Towards Adopting Advanced AI Chatbots in Schools. Proceedings of the International Conference on Educational Technology, 22-28.
7. Lyashenko, V., & et al.. (2021). Wavelet ideology as a universal tool for data processing and analysis: some application examples. International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR), 5(9), 25-30.
8. Deineko, Zh., & et al.. (2021). Color space image as a factor in the choice of its processing technology. Abstracts of I International scientific-practical conference «Problems of modern science and practice» (September 21-24, 2021). Boston, USA, pp. 389-394.
9. Lyashenko, V. V., Deineko, Z. V., & Ahmad, M. A. Properties of wavelet coefficients of self-similar time series. In other words, 9, 16.

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875, 2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

10. Sotnik S., & et al.. (2022). Key Directions for Development of Modern Expert Systems. International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS), 6(5), 4-10.
11. Deineko, Z., Sotnik, S., & Lyashenko, V. (2022). Multimedia Systems in Education. Multimedia Systems, 6(7), 23-28.
12. Daher, W., & Hussein, A. (2024). Higher Education Students' Perceptions of GenAI Tools for Learning. Information, 15(7), 416.
13. Hammoudi Halat, D., Shami, R., Daud, A., Sami, W., Soltani, A., & Malki, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Readiness, Perceptions, and Educational Needs Among Dental Students: A Cross-Sectional Study. Clinical and Experimental Dental Research, 10, e925.
14. Sumakul, D. T. Y. G., Hamied, F. A., & Sukyadi, D. (2022). Students' Perceptions of the Use of AI in a Writing Class. Journal of Language and Education, 8(2), 52-62.
15. Petrașcu, G.M. (2023, March) . Student's Perceptions of AI in Education, Version 1. Retrieved [Date Retrieved] from https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/gianinamariapetrascu/survey-on-students-perceptions-of-ai-in-education.