ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3

Terminological minimum selection criteria in teaching ESP to engineering students

Shakhlo Urunova

Doctor of philosophy in pedagogical sciences (PhD) Senior teacher of Andijan state technical institute e-mail: urunovashahlo23@gmail.com

Abstract

This article reveals the principles and criteria in choosing terminological minimum in teaching English for specific purpose (ESP) to engineering students. The concept of terminological minimum was analyzed. The importance of choosing a terminological minimum in the organization of the methodology for teaching terminological lexicon is based on the fact that, it is necessary to create a solid terminological base that provides understanding of the maximum number of terms when reading original scientific and technical literature in its specialty. The choice of the terminological minimum was based on the fact that it is necessary to rely on statistical, methodological and linguistic criteria that guarantee the effective formation of a terminological reserve that covers the maximum.

Key words: terminological minimum, criteria, terminological lexicon, English for specific purpose, semantic meaning, statistic range, lexical minimum.

The tasks of compilers of the special terminological minimum include: determining the size of the terminological minimum depending on the level of the students of a particular stage, developing the structure of the terminological minimum, determining the peculiarities of interpreting the meaning of a special lexicon, taking into account necessary vocabulary, determining the principles and criteria for mastering language.

When choosing a lexical minimum in teaching a foreign language, the scientist J.Jalolov says that it is necessary to sort lexical minimum mainly by relying on 3 principles, and they are: “source of choice”, “criterion of choice”, and “unit of choice” [3]. ” Source of choice " is understood as the separation of the formed lexicon from the language system, and the lexical minimum is obtained from sources in oral and written speech. Accordingly, we can conclude that the terminological minimum is obtained mainly from sources in the form of oral and written texts belonging to the specialty, in particular, advertisements, brochures, menus, tables used in everyday life, recipes, songs, articles in newspapers and magazines, films, movie trailers, pictures, documentaries, interviews, various broadcasts."Selection criteria" are measures and indicators that are important in determining the importance of the lexicon.

Analysis of work in the field of lexicology in recent years shows that when determining the minimum selection criteria - the minimum of a special word, the authors adhere to different point of view. Researchers calculate that terminological minimum construction is based on methods of quantitative and qualitative indicators, such as lexicography construction. Some recognize the principle of frequency of use of words as dominant when constructing a lexical minimum, while others note the unreliability of this criterion and do not consider it fundamental. This criterion was first established by M. West [9].

V.G.Kostomarov believes that the frequency characteristic of a word is an important factor in choosing a lexical minimum and points out as a leader principle of thematic selection and linking the vocabulary under study with the grammar . In addition, in his opinion, it is always necessary to take into account the compatibility of words, its methodological features, semantic value and ambiguity

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3

[5]. S.Grusza also considers it an important criterion in his research work to make lists of words that are most commonly used in lexical minimum construction [2]. Mark Lukasik believes that the criterion of frequency of use of words should be taken as a basis in constructing a lexical minimum, and argues that this lexical minimum is used as a glossary in foreign language lessons [6].

However, for the first time, on the basis of the frequency of application for Foreign Language Teaching, E.L. Thorndike compiled the lexical minimum proved by its imperfection, and found its proof that the qualitative characteristics of words should also be considered at different stages of Language Teaching [7]. Michael West, in his work on general terms (general service List), takes the following qualitative criteria as a basis in lexical minimum construction: [9]

the level of training to be easy;
semantically significant;
can cover all equivalent meanings;
be stylistically and emotionally neutral.

M.West's proposal is notable for considering elements such as glottodidactic (easy to learn), semantic (semantically significant), and communicative (able to cover all equivalent meanings). Another structured dictionary related to frequency of multiple uses was the Basic English dictionary compiled by Charles Key Ogdan in 1930, covering a total of 850 words, separated philosophically and logically into topics. However, we can say that M. West's "qualitative" approach pays attention only to subjectivity, contrary to modern linguistic studies. And we believe that it is necessary to take into account both qualitative and quantitative methods in the construction of a terminological or lexical minimum. For example, a researcher using such a method is X.Kaszmarek who considered the following both statistical and qualitative criteria in constructing a lexical minimum:

Frequency of multiple applications;
Stylistic neutrality;
Communicative significance;
Word-making productivity [4].

C.Grusza distinguishes 3 methodological steps when choosing a lexical minimum:

1. Making a list of the most frequent lexemes in the language. The process is carried out on the basis of a statistical study of the frequency of the most common lexemes in written and oral speech. At the next stage, the list is arranged in the desired way.
2. This is the extension of lexical material by adding functional lexemes (auxiliary and prepositions).
3. On the basis of pragmatic expansion and completion, lexical spaces are identified and filled through the following criteria: lexical connections, communicative relevance, communicative necessity, communicative efficiency, and glottodidic relevance [2].

Depending on the above, it follows that the question of the criteria for choosing a lexical and terminological minimum is complex. Attempts to solve it only on a statistical basis do not objectively solve this problem, since it does not take into account the important informative nature of words. Given only the statistical orientation, many highly informative words, as well as components that interpret the main structural meaning and content of the text, remain outside the lexical minimum. We can say that statistical, methodological and linguistic criteria are the basis in the construction of a terminological minimum, summing up these points. We can see this in a more accurate form in the drawing below.(Figure 1)

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3




Figure 1. Terminological minimum selection criteria

According to the statistical criterion, when constructing a terminological minimum, indicators of multiple application and prevalence of words are taken into account. We have given the opinion of many scientists about this criterion.

We believe that the methodological criterion takes into account the purpose of teaching and the essence of colloquial topics. According to the methodological criterion terminologic construction of a minimum belonging to their field are selected based on the user's need and interest. X.Kaszmarek notes:" it is essential for lexical minimum authors to notice the demand of their users before they make a minimum and that they build them based on topics in appropriate clarity for their contributions " [4]. M.Warchal, on the other hand, gives his opinion that each lexical minimum should be directed to the user's perception, taking into account the age of users, communicative competence and the conditions of their learning process in the lesson [8]. When drawing up a terminological minimum, the goal of the formation of professional competence in students of a particular specialty lies, and topics, texts on studies are selected within the framework of the specialty.

When students collide with lexicographic language exercises during the course of the lesson, they resort to lexical minimums for information. Such situations bring to the surface 4 mastering skills, namely reading, listening, speaking, writing, as well as translating. When the reading and listening skills of the type of receptive skills are being formed, a passive terminological minimum is formed in the understanding of the meaning of texts, an active terminological minimum in the development of speaking and writing skills, which is considered a type of reproductive skills.

In the construction of a terminological minimum, we have separated such criteria as the combination of terms with other words, word-making structures, monoscience, delimitation of synonyms, stylistic neutrality and participation in sentence-making into linguistic criteria. In the terminological minimum, as a unit of choice, one-meaning terms, terminological compounds equal to one-meaning are obtained. According to the conjugation criterion, the term should give the property of obtaining more uniformity with other words. For example, manipulators used in industry. According to the word-making criterion, for example, several lexical units are intended to be made from one term. It should be noted separately that in the construction of a terminological minimum, only one of the words belonging to the synonymic row is obtained.

Experiments show that in non-philological universities, the skills of using terminological lexicon in English by students in oral and written speech have been shown to be poor. It is known that the lower level of language proficiency, the more the reader switches to additional analysis of the language

ISSN: 2582-4686 SJIF 2021-3.261,SJIF 2022-2.889,
2024-6.875 ResearchBib IF: 9.948 / 2024

THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME-5, ISSUE-3

tools contained in the text. This means that they cannot distinguish the semantic meanings of terminology. Each word in the text has certain information, and ignorance of these words, therefore, leads to the loss of information in this text. From this it follows that the content of the text is not determined by the level of the number of words that are not clear to everyone, but how these words provide information to the recipient, depends on the content of the text in the specialty.

Often, keywords that reveal the main meaning of the text are precisely low-frequency words. We can say that the promotion of the frequency principle when choosing a terminological minimum, as described above, does not bring sufficient results. In this regard, we agree that when compiling terminological minimum in the specialty, it is necessary to derive not only from the frequency of data, but also from the motivational and other purely psychological aspects of the student's personality [1]. In our opinion, when choosing a terminological minimum, it is necessary to rely on criteria that guarantee the effective formation of a terminological minimum, which will cover the maximum, and to study the problem in detail and develop its main strategies in the formation of terminological competence, and take into account the peculiarities of the perception of terminological unity in the text. The structured terminological minimum go through the stages such as methodological classification, distribution and statistical presentation.

References:

1. Fedorov V.A. Frequency dictionaries in the methodological aspect / Questions of continuity in teaching foreign languages in secondary and higher schools. Sverdlovsk, 1984. 120-126 p.
2. Grucza S. Didactics of translation. Terminological preparation of didactic specialized. – Warsaw, 2004. – 267 p.
3. Jalolov J.J.Chet tili o‘qitish metodikasi: Chet tili oliy o‘quv yurtlari talabalari uchun darslik – T: O’qituvchi, 2012 – 431b
4. Kaczmarek H. Lexical Minimum and communicative orientation in teaching a foreign language // A few remarks on the use of German as a language 63.Applied Linguistics T: 2008. – № 5. – 27-35 p.
5. Kostomarov V.G. Principles of lexical minimum selection/ Materials of the fourth international methodological seminar for teachers of the Russian language in socialist countries. Moscow: Publishing House Moscow, 1964. 81-95 p.
6. Łukasik Marek. Lexical Minimum (Re)Defined. Lingwistyka Stosowana. 23, 2017. – 47-63 p.
7. Stevick E.W. Teaching and learning languages. – Cambridge University Press, 1992. – 215 p.
8. Warchal M. Minimum of lightness in children's education-corpus life language in intercultural teaching // Social functionality language: (aspects, criteria, definitions). –Lublana, 2013. – P. 491- 496.
9. West M. A General Service List of English Words. – London/ New York: Addison Wesley Longman, 1953 – 64 p